

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 27-Aug-15
 Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority Sampling Point: SW15_T351_03
 Investigator(s): SLI, SCB Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hillside
 Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky Slope: 14.0 % / 8.0 ° Elevation: _____
 Subregion: Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.: _____ Long.: _____ Datum: WGS84
 Soil Map Unit Name: _____ **NWI classification: PFO4B**

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/>
Remarks: seep similar to that described in SW15_T351_V02 runs through signature approx 10m from soil pit	

VEGETATION -Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

Tree Stratum	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. <u>Picea mariana</u>	35	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FACW	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>7</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>7</u> (B) Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
2. _____	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
3. _____	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
4. _____	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
5. _____	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
Total Cover: <u>35</u>				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL Species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW Species <u>48.2</u> x 2 = <u>96.4</u> FAC Species <u>42.1</u> x 3 = <u>126.3</u> FACU Species <u>0</u> x 4 = <u>0</u> UPL Species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>90.3</u> (A) <u>222.7</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.466</u>
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	50% of Total Cover: <u>17.5</u>	20% of Total Cover: <u>7</u>		
1. <u>Betula glandulosa</u>	15	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
2. <u>Salix pulchra</u>	5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
3. <u>Vaccinium uliginosum</u>	5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
4. <u>Vaccinium vitis-idaea</u>	5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
5. <u>Picea mariana</u>	5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
6. <u>Empetrum nigrum</u>	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
7. <u>Rhododendron groenlandicum</u>	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
8. <u>Alnus viridis</u>	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
9. <u>Arctous ruber</u>	0.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
10. <u>Chamaedaphne calyculata</u>	0.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
Total Cover: <u>40.2</u>				
Herb Stratum	50% of Total Cover: <u>20.1</u>	20% of Total Cover: <u>8.04</u>		
1. <u>Equisetum arvense</u>	10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
2. <u>Rubus chamaemorus</u>	2	<input type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
3. <u>Petasites frigidus</u>	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
4. <u>Equisetum sylvaticum</u>	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
5. <u>Calamagrostis canadensis</u>	1	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
6. <u>Juncus castaneus</u>	0.1	<input type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
7. _____	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
8. _____	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
9. _____	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
10. _____	0	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
Total Cover: <u>15.1</u>				
50% of Total Cover: <u>7.55</u>	20% of Total Cover: <u>3.02</u>			

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Dominance Test is > 50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0
 Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)
¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m
 % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) _____
 % Bare Ground 25
 Total Cover of Bryophytes 70

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: open canopy black spruce forest, including many small trees.

SOIL

Sampling Point: **SW15_T351_03**

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4		100					Peat	
4-7		100					Mucky Peat	
7-10		100					Muck	
10-16	2.5Y 3/2	85	7.5YR 3/6	15	C	PL	Silt Loam	fine sand lens at 16in
16-20		100					Loamy Sand	vareigated color

¹Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- Histosol or Histel (A1)
- Histic Epipedon (A2)
- Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- Alaska Gleyed (A13)
- Alaska Redox (A14)
- Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:³

- Alaska Color Change (TA4)⁴
- Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)
- Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue
- Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, and an appropriate landscape position must be present

⁴ Give details of color change in Remarks

Restrictive Layer (if present):

Type: seasonal frost
Depth (inches): 36

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient)

- Surface Water (A1)
- High Water Table (A2)
- Saturation (A3)
- Water Marks (B1)
- Sediment Deposits (B2)
- Drift Deposits (B3)
- Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- Iron Deposits (B5)
- Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- Marl Deposits (B15)
- Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (two or more are required)

- Water Stained Leaves (B9)
- Drainage Patterns (B10)
- Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- Salt Deposits (C5)
- Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- Geomorphic Position (D2)
- Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): 1
 Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): 6
 Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes No Depth (inches): 4

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Remarks:

small scattered pools of surface water at seep.