## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

	ct/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Borough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 27-Aug-15			
Applic	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		-		Sampling Point: SW15_T351_01			
	igator(s): SLI, SCB		Landform (hills	side, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Crest			
	relief (concave, convex, none): convex		Slope: 8.7					
Subre	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.:			Long.: Datum: WGS84			
	ap Unit Name:				NWI classification: Upland			
	imatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this t	ime of ve	ar? Yes	● No ○	(If no, explain in Remarks.)			
			ntly disturbed?		lormal Circumstances" present? Yes  No  No			
		-	problematic?		eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)			
		-						
SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sho		ampling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.			
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes   No			le the Commissi Avec				
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No				e Sampled Area in a Wetland? Yes ○ No ◉			
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No		WI	tnin a w	retiand? Tes UNO S			
Rem	arks:							
/F C I	FTATION III III III III III III III III III							
/EGI	<b>ETATION</b> -Use scientific names of plants. L	ist all s	pecies in the l	plot.	I			
		Absolu		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
1.	ee Stratum	% Cov	er Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)			
			_		Total Number of Dominant			
2. 3.		_	_		Species Across All Strata: (B)			
4.		_	-		Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)			
5.		_	-					
0.	Total Cover	: 0	_		Prevalence Index worksheet:  Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sai	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 2	— 0% of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$			
	<u> </u>		<b>V</b>		FACW Species 10 x 2 = 20			
1. 2.	Betula nana	60 30		FAC FAC	FAC Species 117 x 3 = 351			
3.	Vaccinium uliginosum			FAC	FACU Species 0.2 x 4 = 0.800			
4.		10		FACW	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0			
5.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	5		FAC	Column Totals: 127.2 (A) 371.8 (B)			
6.					Coldilli Totals. <u>127.2</u> (A) <u>571.0</u> (b)			
	Picea glauca	0.1		FACU				
7.		0.1		FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.923			
7. 8.				FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.923  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
8.		0		FACU				
8. 9.		0		FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
8. 9. 10.	Total Cover	0 0 0 0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in			
8. 9. 10.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 0 0 0		25.02	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
8. 9. 10. <b>He</b>	Total Cover  rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica	0 0 0 0 125 62.55 2	20% of Total Cover	25.02 FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)			
8. 9. 10. <u>He</u> 1. 2.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica Carex bigelowii	0 0 0 0 : 125 62.55 2	20% of Total Cover	= 25.02 FAC FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2. 3.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica Carex bigelowii Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	0 0 0 0 125 62.55 2 1 1 0.1	20% of Total Cover	25.02 FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2. 3. 4.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica Carex bigelowii Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	0 0 0 0 125 62.55 2 1 1 1 0.3	20% of Total Cover	= 25.02 FAC FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)			
8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	0 0 0 0 125 662.55 2 1 1 0	20% of Total Cover	= 25.02 FAC FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes			
8. 9. 10. <b>Her</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	0 0 0 0 12555 2 1 1 0 0	20% of Total Cover	= 25.02 FAC FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
8. 9. 10. <b>Her</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	0 0 0 0 125662.55 2 1 1 0 0 0	20% of Total Cover	= 25.02 FAC FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
8. 9. 10. <b>Hee</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica Carex bigelowii Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	0 0 0 0 125 62.55 2 1 1 1 0.3 0 0	20% of Total Cover	= 25.02 FAC FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground			
8. 9. 10. Hell 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	0 0 0 0 125 62.55 2 1 1 1 0.3 0 0	20% of Total Cover	= 25.02 FAC FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground			
8. 9. 10. Hell 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	0 0 0 0 125 662.55 2 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	20% of Total Cover	FAC FAC UPL	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground  Total Cover of Bryophytes			

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW15\_T351\_01

		the depth ne	eded to docu	ment the indicator or co	nfirm the ab		cators)			
Depth (inches)	Color (mo	iet)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	_Loc_2	Texture	Remarks	
0-3	COIOI (IIIO	131)		Color (moist)		Турс	LOC	Hemic Organics		
3-5.5	10YR	2/2	100					Loam	high organic content	
5.5-16				-						
3.3-10	2.5Y	4/2						Sandy Clay Loam	fine to medium subangular gravels	
									-	
¹Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduc	ed Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location	n: PL=Por	e Lining. RC	C=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators for Pr	oblemati	c Hydric S	oils: <sup>3</sup>			
Histosol or Histel (A1)				☐ Alaska Color Change (TA4) ☐ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder						
Histic Epip	pedon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	swales (TA	5)		Underlying Layer		
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox \	With 2.5Y H	Hue		Other (Explain in Remarks)		
☐ Thick Dark	k Surface (A12)	ı		3.0				and the Breath of the state of the	d de	
Alaska Gle				and an appropria	nyaropnyi te landscar	tic vegetation i	on, one prin must be pre	nary indicator of wetland hesent	lydrology,	
Alaska Red	dox (A14)				•	•	•			
	eyed Pores (A15	5)		<sup>4</sup> Give details of c	olor chang	е іп кетагк	(S			
Restrictive Laye								Under Call Burner	? Yes○ No •	
Type: sandy clay loam  Depth (inches): 5.5								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes∪ No ©	
no hydric soil ir	ndicators									
HYDROLO	GY									
Wetland Hyd	rology Indica	tors:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)	
	itors (any one i	s sufficient	:)					Water Stained Leaves (B9)  Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Surface W				Inundation V	isible on A	erial Image	ry (B7)			
	er Table (A2)			Sparsely Veg		ncave Surfa	ce (B8)		hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
Saturation	` '			Marl Deposit	` '				of Reduced Iron (C4)	
	Water Marks (B1)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)				Salt Depos		
	Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season					Stressed Plants (D1) ic Position (D2)	
Drift Depo	or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)				✓ Shallow Ad	` '	
Iron Depo	` ,								graphic Relief (D4)	
	oil Cracks (B6)								Il Test (D5)	
Field Observa										
Surface Water		Yes C	No ●	Depth (inche	es):					
Water Table F			No •		•		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes ○ No •	
		_	_	Depth (inche	25):		· · · ·	na rryarology r resen	t. 163 © 110 ©	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes No			No 🔍	Depth (inche	es):					
Describe Recor	ded Data (stre	am gauge,	monitor we	ell, aerial photos, pre	vious inspe	ection) if ava	ailable:			
Remarks:										

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0