WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project	/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Во	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 26-Aug-15								
Applica	Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority Sampling Point: SW15_T346_06												
Investigator(s): SLI, SCB Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hillside													
Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex Slope: 5.2 % / 3.0 ° Elevation:													
	ion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.:			Long.: Datum: WGS84								
_													
	p Unit Name:	<u> </u>	NWI classification: Upland										
	Are Climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology , significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)												
		,			ormar orreametarioco present:								
Are V	egetation . , Soil . , or Hydrology	naturally pro	oblematic?	(If nee	ded, explain any answers in Remarks.)								
SUMN	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sh	nowing sam	pling point	locations	, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ● No ○													
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No	•	Is	the Sam	npled Area								
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No		w	ithin a W	/etland? Yes ○ No ⊙								
1													
IXCIIIO	Remarks: looks like drainage on imagery, but not on ground. check topo.												
VEGE	TATION - Use scientific names of plants.	List all sne	cies in the	nlot									
	Ose scientific flames of plants.	List all spe	cics iii tiic	piot.	Dominance Test worksheet:								
Tro	e Stratum	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Number of Dominant Species								
	Picea glauca	10	<u> </u>	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)								
2.	D: .		✓	FACW	Total Number of Dominant								
3.				TACV	Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)								
4.					Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 87.5% (A/B)								
5.													
	Total Cov	ver:			Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:								
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	7.5 20%	of Total Cover	: 3	ODL Ossaiss								
		25	~		FACW Species 25 $x 2 = 50$								
	Alnus viridis		<u>v</u>	FAC	FAC Species 63.1 x 3 = 189.3								
2. 3.	Salix pulchra Betula glandulosa			FACW FAC	FACU Species 14 x 4 = 56								
4.	Coliv harelevi			FAC	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0								
5.	Vaccinium uliginosum			FAC									
6.	Salix reticulata			FAC	Column Totals: <u>103.1</u> (A) <u>296.3</u> (B)								
	Linnaea borealis			FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.874								
	Dasiphora fruticosa			FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:								
1	Empetrum nigrum			FAC	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%								
10.	p. 1. 2 ·			FAC	✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0								
	Total Cov	ver: 57			Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in								
Her	b Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	28.5 20%	of Total Cove	11.4	Remarks or on a separate sheet)								
1.	Cornus suecica	10	✓	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)								
2.	Equisetum variegatum	5	✓	FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must								
3.	Calamagrostis canadensis	5	✓	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.								
4.	Sanguisorba canadensis	5	✓	FACW	Plot size (radius, or length x width)								
5.	Equisetum sylvaticum	2		FAC	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes								
6.	Eriophorum angustifolium	1		OBL	(Where applicable)								
7.	Lycopodium clavatum			FACU	% Bare Ground								
8.	Rubus arcticus(IAM)			FACU	Total Cover of Bryophytes 30								
9.	Mertensia paniculata	$-\frac{1}{2}$		FACU									
10.	Aconitum delphiniifolium			FAC	Hydrophytic								
	Total Cov 50% of Total Cover:		of Total Cover	. (33	Vegetation Present? Yes ● No ○								
	50% OF FOLIAI COVER:	15.55 20%	or rotal cover	6.22									
Rem	arks: picgla woodland with tall alder understory. s	several dead, l	eaning spruc	e. add trace	of petfri								

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW15 T346 06

							ć : I:		r =	10 54415_1540_00		
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)												
Depth (inches)		Color (moist)		0-1				_Loc_2	Texture	Remarks		
0-8	Color (mo	oist)	<u> </u>	Color (n	noist)	<u>%</u>	Type ¹	_Loc_	Sapric Organics	Remarks		
	2.51/	- 1/0		10)/D								
8-13		4/2		10YR	5/4	40	_ <u>C</u>	PL	Sandy Loam	concentrations patchy		
13-18	2.5Y	4/3	100						Fine Sand			
¹Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduce	ed Matrix	² Location	: PL=Pore	e Lining. RO	C=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil I	ndicators			Indicat	ors for Pro	blematic	c Hydric S	oils: ³				
					ka Color Ch		4		Alacka Cloved Without H	ua 5V or Raddar		
	r Histel (A1)				ka Color Cir ka Alpine sv		-		☐ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer			
Histic Epip					ka Redox W	•	•		Other (Explain in Remarks)			
	Sulfide (A4)			Alas	Ka Kedox W	101 2.51 1	iue	_		-,		
	Surface (A12))		³ One i	ndicator of I	nydrophyt	tic vegetatio	on, one prir	mary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,		
Alaska Gle	, , ,				appropriate							
Alaska Red	. ,	-\		4 Give	details of co	lor change	e in Remark	ks				
Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A1	o)										
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):											
Type:									Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ○ No •		
Depth (inch	nes):											
Remarks:												
2.5Y hue problematic soil indicator, but no primary hydrology indicators, so not considered hydric soil												
							·					
HYDROLO	GY											
Wetland Hydi	rology Indica	itors:							Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)		
Primary Indica	tors (any one	is sufficient)						Water Stained Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)			
Surface W	/ater (A1)			In	undation Vi	sible on A	erial Image	ery (B7)				
High Water Table (A2)				☐ Sp	arsely Vege	tated Cor	ncave Surfa	ce (B8)	Oxidized R	hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)		
Saturation (A3)				Marl Deposits (B15)					Presence o	f Reduced Iron (C4)		
☐ Water Marks (B1)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)					Salt Depos	its (C5)		
Sediment	Sediment Deposits (B2)				y-Season W	ater Tabl	e (C2)		Stunted or	Stressed Plants (D1)		
☐ Drift Depo	osits (B3)			Ot	her (Explair	in Rema	rks)		Geomorph	ic Position (D2)		
Algal Mat	or Crust (B4)								Shallow Ac	juitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Depo	osits (B5)								☐ Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4)		
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)								✓ FAC-neutra	l Test (D5)		
Field Observa	ations:											
Surface Water	r Present?	Yes C	No 💿	De	epth (inches	s):						
Water Table P	resent?	Yes C	No 💿	D	epth (inches	:).		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes O No 💿		
Saturation Pre						•			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
(includes capil		Yes \bigcirc	No 💿	De	epth (inches	s):						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:												
Remarks:												
no primary hydrology indicators.												
,		-										

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0