## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 30-Aug-15
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority	Sampling Point: SW15_T342_03
Investigator(s): AFW	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hillside
Local relief (concave, convex, none):concave	Slope: 5.2 % / 3.0 ° Elevation:
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat	Long.: Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:	NWI classification: Upland
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturall <b>SUMMARY OF FINDINGS -</b> Attach site map showing s	antly disturbed?       Are "Normal Circumstances" present?       Yes <ul> <li>No </li> <li>(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)</li> </ul> sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?       Yes ●       No ●         Hydric Soil Present?       Yes ○       No ●         Wetland Hydrology Present?       Yes ○       No ●	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\odot$
Remarks:	
VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all s	Dominance Test worksheet:

Abs Tree Stratum %				Indicator Status	Number of Dominant Species					
1.					That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:5_ (A)					
2.					Total Number of Dominant					
2. 3.					Species Across All Strata:6 (B)					
3. 4					Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 83.3% (A/B)					
4. 5.										
5.					Prevalence Index worksheet:					
	Total Cover:				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:					
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	<u>0                                    </u>	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species x 1 =					
1.	Salix pseudomonticola	60	$\checkmark$	FAC	FACW Species <u>76</u> x 2 = <u>152</u>					
2.	Salix pulchra	25	$\checkmark$	FACW	FAC Species x 3 =297					
3.	Dasiphora fruticosa	8		FAC	FACU Species <u>30</u> x 4 = <u>120</u>					
4.	Empetrum nigrum			FAC	UPL Species x 5 =35					
5.	Salix reticulata	5		FAC	Column Totals: 212 (A) 604 (B)					
6.	Linnaea borealis	5		FACU						
7.	Salix richardsonii	2		FACW	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.849</u>					
8.					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:					
-		•			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%					
10.		0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0					
	Total Cover:	113			Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in					
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 56.5 20% of Total Cover: 22.6 Remarks or on a separate sheet)										
1.	Carex macrochaeta	35	$\checkmark$	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)					
2.	Petasites frigidus	12	$\checkmark$	FACW	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must					
3.	Solidago lepida	10	$\checkmark$	FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.					
4.	Valeriana sitchensis	10	$\checkmark$	FAC						
5.	Chamaenerion angustifolium	8		FACU	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>					
6.	Rubus arcticus(IAM)	7		FACU	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)					
7.	Boykinia richardsonii	7		UPL	% Bare Ground <u>25</u>					
8.	Festuca altaica	5		FAC	Total Cover of Bryophytes 70					
9.	Polemonium acutiflorum	3		FAC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
10.	Delphinium glaucum	2		FACW	Hydrophytic					
Total Cover: 99 Vegetation										
	50% of Total Cover:4	9.5 20%	of Total Cover:	19.8	Present? Yes $\bullet$ No $\bigcirc$					
Pemarke: Jusula namiflara 10/ arelat 20/ calcan E0/ non an 10/ anomana richardeanii 20/ callected cormas and calvia										

Remarks: luzula parviflora 1%, arclat 3%, calcan 5%, poa sp. 1%, anemone richardsonii 2%. collected carmac and salric.

Profile Descript Depth		the depth Matrix	needed to docur	ment the indicator or co	nfirm the al		cators)	_			
(inches)	Color (mo	oist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	<b>Loc</b> <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-2			100					Fibric Organics			
2-7			100					Hemic Organics			
7-12	10YR	3/2	100					Silt Loam	organic inclusions		
12-17	10YR	3/2	100					Loam	organic inclusions w cobbles and gravel		
		-1-									
	· ·		· ·					·			
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D	=Depletio	n. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location		-		annel. M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators for Pr	oblemat	ic Hydric S	oils: <sup>3</sup>				
Histosol o	r Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Cl		-		Alaska Gleyed Without H	lue 5Y or Redder		
Histic Epip	oedon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	•	,		Underlying Layer			
	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	With 2.5Y	Hue		Other (Explain in Remar	ks)		
	k Surface (A12	)		<sup>3</sup> One indicator of	hydrophy	tic vegetatio	on, one prin	mary indicator of wetland l	nydrology.		
Alaska Gle				and an appropriat					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Alaska Rei		E)		<sup>4</sup> Give details of c	olor chang	ge in Remar	ks				
	eyed Pores (A1	5)			-						
Restrictive Lay	er (if present):										
Туре:								Hydric Soil Present	:? Yes 🔾 No 🖲		
Depth (incl	nes):										
Remarks:											
no hydric soil ir	ndicators										
HYDROLO	-										
Wetland Hyd			a+)						icators (two or more are required)		
·	ators (any one	IS SUTTICIE	nt)			• • ·· : •   • • • • •		<ul> <li>Water Stained Leaves (B9)</li> <li>Drainage Patterns (B10)</li> <li>Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)</li> <li>Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)</li> <li>Salt Deposits (C5)</li> <li>Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)</li> </ul>			
	Vater (A1) er Table (A2)			Inundation V		5	, , ,				
Saturation	. ,			Marl Deposit		incave Suita	ice (bo)				
Water Ma	( )			Hydrogen Su	. ,	r (C1)					
	: Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season \							
Drift Dep				Other (Explai		• •			Geomorphic Position (D2)		
🗌 Algal Mat	or Crust (B4)					-		Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Iron Depo	osits (B5)							Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
□ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)									al Test (D5)		
Field Observa	ations:										
Surface Wate	r Present?			Depth (inche	es):						
Water Table F	Present?	Yes(	) No 🖲	Depth (inche	es):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Preser	nt? Yes 🔾 No 🖲		
Saturation Pre (includes capi		Yes(	) No 🖲	Depth (inche	es):						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Remarks:											