WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project/Site:	oject	Borough/City:	Matanuska-S	usitna Borough	_ Sampling Date:	29-Aug-15
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Samp	ling Point: S	W15_T341_05
Investigator(s): AFW		Landform (hill	side, terrace, h	ummocks etc.):	Hillside	
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummoc	cky	Slope: 5.2	%/ <u>3.0</u> °	Elevation:	-	
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.:		Lc	ong.:		Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:				NWI class	sification: Uplar	nd
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typic Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrol Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrol SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site	ogy 🗌 significan ogy 🗌 naturally p	tly disturbed? problematic?	(If needed		s" present? Yes wers in Remarks.	,
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YesHydric Soil Present?YesWetland Hydrology Present?Yes	○ No ⊙		the Sample thin a Wetl		íes \bigcirc No $oldsymbol{igstar}$	
Remarks: VEGETATION - Use scientific names of	plants. List all sp	ecies in the	plot.			
Tree Stratum 1. 2.	Absolute % Cove		Indicator Status N T	ominance Test wo umber of Dominant hat are OBL, FACW otal Number of Dom pecies Across All St	Species /, or FAC: hinant	<u>3</u> (A) <u>4</u> (B)
3						

2.					Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)
3.					Percent of dominant Species
4.					That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)
5.					Prevalence Index worksheet:
	Total Cover:	0	_		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:0	20	% of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$
					FACW Species $25 \times 2 = 50$
1.	Betula glandulosa	45	_	FAC	
2.	Empetrum nigrum	20		FAC	
3.	Salix pulchra	15	-	FACW	FACU Species <u>15</u> $x 4 = 60$
4.	Rhododendron tomentosum	10		FACW	UPL Species <u>3</u> x 5 = <u>15</u>
5.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	7		FAC	Column Totals: <u>127</u> (A) <u>377</u> (B)
6.	Vaccinium uliginosum	5	_	FAC	
7.	Spiraea stevenii	2		FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.969</u>
8.		0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
10.		0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0
	Total Cover:		Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in		
Her	b Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 5	2 2	0% of Total Cover:	20.8	Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1.	Cornus canadensis	10	\checkmark	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)
2.	Festuca altaica	7	\checkmark	FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3.	Artemisia norvegica ssp. saxatilis	3		FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4.	Diphasiastrum alpinum	2		FACU	
5.	Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	1		UPL	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>
6.		0			% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)
		0			% Bare Ground _ <u>35</u>
		0			Total Cover of Bryophytes 60
		0			
		0			Hydrophytic
	Total Cover:	23	_		Vegetation
	50% of Total Cover:11.	-		4.6	Present? Yes No
Dom	arks:				1
Keff	di KS.				

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features											
Depth (inches)	Depth		%	Color (moist)		%	Type ¹ Loc ²		Texture	Remarks	
0-1			100		10.52,		1100		Hemic Organics		
1-2	7.5YR	3/3	100						Loam		
2-14	10YR	3/3	70	7.5YR	2.5/3	30			Sandy Loam		
14-19			100	/1311	2.5/5				Sandy Clay Loam		
	2.31	4/2	100								
	·						·				
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration. D=	-Depletior	ı. RM=Redu				-		nnel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils. ³											
Histosol o	or Histel (A1)			Alas	ka Color Cha	ange (TA4)		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder	
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)				ka Alpine sv		-		Underlying Layer		
	n Sulfide (A4)			Alasl	ka Redox W	'ith 2.5Y H	ue	L	Other (Explain in Remark	s)	
	k Surface (A12))		³ One ir	ndicator of h	nvdronhvti	c vegetatio	on one prin	nary indicator of wetland h	vdrology	
	eyed (A13)							must be pre		ydrology,	
	edox (A14)	-1		⁴ Give (details of col	lor change	in Remar	ks			
	eyed Pores (A1))									
-	ver (if present):										
	ndy clay loam								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🔾 No 🖲	
Depth (inc	thes): 14										
Remarks:											
no hydric soil i	indicators										
HYDROLC									Casandan, Indi	(the state of the security of	
-	drology Indicators (any one		h +)							cators (two or more are required) ned Leaves (B9)	
-	Water (A1)	<u>5 Junicici</u>	()		undation Vis	eible on Af	erial Image	ary (R7)		Patterns (B10)	
	ter Table (A2)				arsely Vege		-			hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
Saturatio					arl Deposits		2010 001.0		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		
Water Ma	. ,				/drogen Sulf	. ,	(C1)		Salt Deposits (C5)		
	t Deposits (B2)				y-Season W				Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
	oosits (B3)				; her (Explain:		• •		Geomorphi	ic Position (D2)	
	Algal Mat or Crust (B4)							uitard (D3)			
Iron Dep	Iron Deposits (B5)										
Surface S	Soil Cracks (B6)								FAC-neutra	l Test (D5)	
Field Observ		. (
Surface Wate	er Present?		ΝοΘ		epth (inches	;):					
Water Table		Yes	🔾 No 🖲	De	epth (inches	s):		Wetlaı	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🔾 No 🖲	
Saturation Pr (includes cap		Yes 🤇	No 🖲	D€	epth (inches	;):					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Remarks:											
1.0											