## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project	/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Borough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 30-Aug-15						
Applica	nt/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW15_T338_05						
Investigator(s): SLI, SCB Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Drainage											
Local r	elief (concave, convex, none): hummocky		Slope: 7.0								
Subrea	ion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.:			Long.: Datum: WGS84						
-					NWI classification: PSS1E						
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes  No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation  , Soil  , or Hydrology  significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes  No Are Vegetation  , Soil  , or Hydrology  naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.											
		•		locations							
		<b>0</b>	le	the Sam	nlod Aroa						
		<b>0</b>		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ● No ○							
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 💿 No	$\circ$	v								
Remarks: hummocky willow area with numerous pools of surface water and small channels feeding into larger channel which is visible on imagery         VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.         Absolute       Dominant         Indicator       Dominance Test worksheet:											
	Stratum	% Cove		Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)						
1. 2.					Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)						
3.					Percent of dominant Species						
4.					That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)						
5.					Prevalence Index worksheet:						
	Total Co	ver:	_		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:						
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	OBL Species <u>5.1</u> x 1 = <u>5.1</u>									
1.	Salix barclayi	50	$\checkmark$	FAC	FACW Species <u>13.1</u> x 2 = <u>26.20</u>						
2.	Salix pulchra	10		FACW	FAC Species <u>83.3</u> x 3 = <u>249.9</u>						
3.	Ribes hudsonianum	5		FAC	FACU Species <u>2.1</u> x 4 = <u>8.4</u>						
4.	Betula glandulosa	5		FAC	UPL Species x 5 =						
5.	Picea mariana	1	_	FACW	Column Totals: <u>103.6</u> (A) <u>289.6</u> (B)						
6.	Rhododendron tomentosum	0.1	_	FACW							
7.	Vaccinium uliginosum	0.1	-	FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.795</u>						
8.	Vaccinium oxycoccos	0.1		OBL	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:						
9.		0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%						
10.		0		OBL	✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0						
Her	Total Co b <u>Stratum</u> 50% of Total Cover:			r: <u>14.26</u>	<ul> <li>Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)</li> </ul>						
1.	Equisetum arvense	10	$\checkmark$	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)						
2.	Calamagrostis canadensis	10		FAC	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must						
3.	Carex aquatilis	5		OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.						
4.	Rumex arcticus	2		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width)						
5.	Petasites frigidus			FACW	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes						
6.	Rubus arcticus(IAM)			FACU	(Where applicable)						
7.	Polemonium acutiflorum	1		FAC	% Bare Ground _ <u>20</u>						
8.	Stellaria longipes	0.1		FAC	Total Cover of Bryophytes60						
9.	Chamaenerion angustifolium	0.1		FACU							
10.	Epilobium anagallidifolium	0.1	-	FAC	Hydrophytic						
	<b>Total Co</b> 50% of Total Cover:			6.46	Vegetation Present? Yes • No O						

Remarks: open willow, mixed low and tall, high graminoid cover in wet areas between willow hummocks. few scattered picmar. bare ground = surface water. add trace of acodel, 1% arclat, juncas.

SOIL
------

Profile Description	Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicator of the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicator of the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicator of the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicator of the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicator of the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicator of the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicator of the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicator of the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicator of the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicator of the depth needed to document the indicator of the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicator of the depth needed to document the the depth needed tocument the document the document the document th				cators)	_			
(inches)	Color (mois	t)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks
0-9								Peat	
9-11							<u>.</u>	Mucky Peat	
								·	
	·								
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=D	epletion. R	M=Reduce	d Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location	: PL=Por	e Lining. R	C=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil Ir	dicators:			Indicators for Pro	oblemati	c Hydric S	oils: <sup>3</sup>		
Histosol or	Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Ch		4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder
✓ Histic Epipe	. ,			Alaska Alpine s	wales (TA	5)		Underlying Layer	
	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	Vith 2.5Y I	Hue		Other (Explain in Remark	s)
Thick Dark	Surface (A12)								
Alaska Gley	/ed (A13)			<sup>3</sup> One indicator of and an appropriat				mary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,
Alaska Red	ox (A14)					•	•	esent	
Alaska Gley	ved Pores (A15)			<sup>4</sup> Give details of co	olor chang	e in Remarl	ks		
Restrictive Laye	r (if present):								
Type:	,							Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🖲 No 🔿
Depth (inch	es):								
Remarks:									
refusal at 11, stones to boulders. possible histosol as entire profile is organic soil to underlying rocks									
		5. possible	111500501 03	churc prome is orge		, anacriying			
	~V								
HYDROLO Wetland Hydr		rei						Cacandam, Indi	natara (tua ar mara ara raquirad)
-	ors (any one is								cators (two or more are required) ned Leaves (B9)
Surface W		sumcient)		Inundation Vi	cible on A	orial Image	vru (P7)	Water Stan	. ,
High Wate	· · ·			Sparsely Vege		-	, , ,		hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
Saturation				Marl Deposits					f Reduced Iron (C4)
Water Mar	. ,			Hydrogen Sul		(C1)		Salt Depos	· ,
Sediment				Dry-Season V					Stressed Plants (D1)
Drift Depo				Other (Explai		• •			c Position (D2)
Algal Mat o	or Crust (B4)					- /		Shallow Aq	uitard (D3)
Iron Depo								Microtopog	raphic Relief (D4)
Surface Sc	il Cracks (B6)							FAC-neutra	l Test (D5)
Field Observa	tions:								
Surface Water	Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿	Depth (inche	s): 8				
Water Table P	resent?	Yes 🖲	No 〇	Depth (inche	s): 2		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔾
Saturation Pre (includes capil		Yes 🖲	No $\bigcirc$	Depth (inche					
Describe Record	led Data (strear	n gauge, m	ionitor well	, aerial photos, prev	vious inspe	ection) if av	ailable:		
Remarks:									
20% of site cov	ered by surface	water. B10	)numerou	s seeps and springs	, drainage	e patterns. I	D4hummo	ocks.	