WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

| Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroele | ectric Project | Borough/City: | Matanusk | a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 28-Aug-15 | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Autho | prity | | | Sampling Point: SW15_T333_12 | | | | | | | |
| nvestigator(s): AFW | ·) | Landform (hi | llside, terrac | e, hummocks etc.): Hillside | | | | | | | |
| | convex | | 3 %/ 25.0 | | | | | | | | |
| | Lat | | | Long.: Datum: WGS84 | | | | | | | |
| Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains | Lai. | · | | | | | | | | | |
| Soil Map Unit Name: | | | 0 0 | NWI classification: Upland | | | | | | | |
| Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology inaturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology inaturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) CUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc. Image: Construct of the state st | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? | Yes 🕘 No 🔾 | | the Com | | | | | | | | |
| Hydric Soil Present? | Yes 🔿 No 🖲 | | Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes \bigcirc No \bigcirc | | | | | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? | Yes 🔿 🛛 No 🖲 | w | ithin a W | /etland? fes \bigcirc No \bigcirc | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: snowbed, partially vegetated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VEGETATION - Use scientific nai | mes of plants. List all s Absolu | • | plot. Indicator | Dominance Test worksheet: | | | | | | | |
| Tree Stratum | <u>% Cov</u> | ver Species? | Status | Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A) | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | Total Number of Dominant | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | Species Across All Strata:6(B) | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | Percent of dominant Species | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:66.7% (A/B) | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | Prevalence Index worksheet: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: | | | | | | | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum 509 | 6 of Total Cover: 2 | 0% of Total Cover | . 0 | OBL Species <u>3</u> x 1 = <u>3</u> | | | | | | | |
| 1. Salix polaris | 5 | \checkmark | FACW | FACW Species <u>5.1</u> x 2 = <u>10.2</u> | | | | | | | |
| 2 Occasions totas and | 1 | | FACU | FAC Species <u>14</u> x 3 = <u>42</u> | | | | | | | |
| 3. | 0 | | | FACU Species <u>8</u> x 4 = <u>32</u> | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | UPL Species x 5 =20 | | | | | | | |
| 5. | | | | Column Totals: <u>34.1</u> (A) <u>107.2</u> (B | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 0 | | | Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.144</u> | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 0 | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: | | | | | | | |
| 9 | 0 | | | Dominance Test is > 50% | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 0 | | | Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 | | | | | | | |
| Herb Stratum 50 | Total Cover: 6 % of Total Cover: 3 | 20% of Total Cove | r: <u>1.2</u> | Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) | | | | | | | |
| 1Agrostis scabra | 8 | | FAC | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) | | | | | | | |
| 2. Oxyria digyna | 5 | | FACU | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must | | | | | | | |
| 3. Trisetum spicatum | 3 | | FAC | be present, unless disturbed or problematic. | | | | | | | |
| 4. Carex bigelowii | 3 | | FAC | Plot size (radius, or length x width) 5x10 m | | | | | | | |
| 5. Geum glaciale | 3 | | UPL | Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes | | | | | | | |
| 6. Sibbaldia procumbens | 2 | | FACU | (Where applicable) | | | | | | | |
| 7. Carex lachenalii | 2 | | OBL | % Bare Ground65 | | | | | | | |
| 8. Ranunculus hyperboreus | 1 | | OBL | Total Cover of Bryophytes 35 | | | | | | | |
| 9. Micranthes nelsoniana | 1 | | FAC | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Arctagrostis latifolia | 0. | 1 | FACW | Hydrophytic | | | | | | | |
| | Total Cover: 28. | | | Vegetation Present? Yes • No · | | | | | | | |
| 509 | 6 of Total Cover: <u>14.05</u> 2 | 0% of Total Cover | 5.62 | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to do Depth Matrix | | | | ocument the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Redox Features | | | cators) | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | % | Color (moist) | | <u>% Туре¹</u> | | Texture | Remarks | | | | |
| 0-17 | 10YR | 3/3 | 100 | | | | | Sandy Loam | gravel and cobbles | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| | · · | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | centration D- | Depletion [| DM-Poduce | d Matrix ² Location | DI – Por | Lining P(| | nnel M-Matrix | | | | |
| ¹ Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: ³ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hydric Soil Ir | | | | | | 4 | oils: | 1 | | | | |
| Histosol or | Histosol or Histel (A1) | | | | | | | | Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Jnderlying Layer | | | |
| Histic Epipedon (A2) | | | | Alaska Alpine s | - | - | | , , , | | | | |
| | Sulfide (A4) | | | Alaska Redox V | Vith 2.5Y F | lue | | Other (Explain in Remar | KS) | | | |
| | Surface (A12) | | | ³ One indicator of | hydronhyt | ic vegetatic | n one nrin | nary indicator of wetland I | avdrology | | | |
| Alaska Gley | | | | and an appropriat | | | | | lydrology, | | | |
| Alaska Red | () | | | ⁴ Give details of co | olor change | a in Pomarl | /C | | | | | |
| Alaska Gley | ed Pores (A15 |) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Restrictive Laye | r (if present): | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Type: | | | | | | | | Hydric Soil Present | :? Yes 🔿 No 🖲 | | | |
| Depth (inch | es): | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| no hydric soil indicators | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HYDROLO | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | tors: | | | | | | Secondary Ind | icators (two or more are required) | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient) | | | | | | | Water Stained Leaves (B9) | | | | | |
| | | | | | isible on A | erial Image | | | | | | |
| | Surface Water (A1) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) High Water Table (A2) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) | | | | | Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) | | | | | | |
| | Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) | | | | | | Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) | | | | | |
| Water Mar | . , | | | Hydrogen Su | · · | (C1) | | Salt Depos | . , | | | |
| | Deposits (B2) | | | Dry-Season V | | | | | r Stressed Plants (D1) | | | |
| Drift Depo | | | | Other (Explai | | . , | | _ | ic Position (D2) | | | |
| Algal Mat | or Crust (B4) | | | • • • • • (+ • • | | | | | quitard (D3) | | | |
| Iron Depo | | | | | | | | | graphic Relief (D4) | | | |
| | il Cracks (B6) | | | | | | | | al Test (D5) | | | |
| Field Observa | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surface Water | | $_{ m Yes}$ \bigcirc | No 🖲 | Depth (inche | s): | | | | | | | |
| Water Table P | | Yes O | - | Depth (inche | , | | Wetla | nd Hydrology Preser | nt? Yes 🔿 No 🖲 | | | |
| Saturation Pre | sent? | Yes O | | Depth (inche | | | | | | | | |
| | (includes capillary fringe) Tes C NO C Deput (inclus). Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| no wetland hyd | rology indicato | rs | | | | | | | | | | |