WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric	Project	Borough/City: Matanuska	a-Susitna Borough	Sampling Date: 27	'-Aug-15
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority			Samp	oling Point: SW15_	T330_12
Investigator(s): AFW		Landform (hillside, terrace	e, hummocks etc.):	Valley bottom	
Local relief (concave, convex, none): humm	nocky	Slope: 0.0 % / 0.0	Elevation:		
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.:		Long.:	Datum	WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:			NWI clas	sification: PSS1/EM1B	
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydr Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydr SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach sit	rology 🗌 naturally p	problematic? (If need	ormal Circumstance ded, explain any ans , transects, impo	swers in Remarks.)	No
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Ye	es 🕘 No 🔾				
Hydric Soil Present? Ye	es 🔍 No 🔾	Is the Sam			
Wetland Hydrology Present? Ye	es 🕘 No 🔾	within a We	etland?	Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Remarks:					
VEGETATION - Use scientific names	of plants. List all sp		Dominance Test w	orksheet:	

Abso				Indicator	Number of Deminant Species		
		% Cove	r Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)		
1.					Total Number of Dominant		
2.					Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)		
3.					Percent of dominant Species		
4.					That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)		
5.							
	Total Cover:		-		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
6	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:		– % of Total Cover:	0	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sap		<u> </u>	76 OF FOLAT COVEL.		OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$		
1.	Betula glandulosa	45		FAC	FACW Species <u>47</u> x 2 = <u>94</u>		
2.	Salix pulchra	15		FACW	FAC Species <u>84</u> x 3 = <u>252</u>		
3.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	10		FAC	FACU Species <u>0</u> x 4 = <u>0</u>		
4.	Rhododendron tomentosum	7		FACW	UPL Species x 5 =		
5.		0			Column Totals: 131 (A) 346 (B)		
6.							
					Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.641		
			-				
					✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
		0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0		
	Total Cover:	77	-		Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in		
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 38.5				15.4	Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1.	Carex bigelowii	20	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)		
2.	Petasites frigidus	10		FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
3.	Rubus chamaemorus	7		FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4.	Arctagrostis latifolia	7		FACW			
5.	Calamagrostis canadensis	5		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>		
6.	Polemonium acutiflorum	3		FAC	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)		
7.	Juncus castaneus	1		FACW	% Bare Ground 40		
8.	Saussurea angustifolia	1		FAC	Total Cover of Bryophytes 55		
9		0					
		0	-		Underschutie		
10.	Total Cover:	54	_		Hydrophytic Vegetation		
50% of Total Cover: 27 20% of Total Cover: 10.8 Present? Yes • No							
Remarks:							

	Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features				ators)					
(inches) Color (moist)		st)	%	Color (moist)		<u>%</u> Type ¹		Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-3			100						Mucky Peat	
3-18	5B	4/1	85	10YR	4/4	15	C	PL	Silty Clay	few fine gravel throughout
									·	
¹ Type: C=Cor	centration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduce	ed Matrix	² Location	: PL=Por	e Linina. RC	=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix	
							-			
Hydric Soil II							c Hydric So	_		
	Histel (A1)				ka Color Ch		,	V	Alaska Gleyed Without H Underlying Layer	lue 5Y or Redder
Histic Epip	. ,				ka Alpine sv ka Redox W		,		Other (Explain in Remar	ke)
	Sulfide (A4)				Ka Redux W	/101 2.51 1	nue			
	Surface (A12)			³ One ii	ndicator of	hydrophy	tic vegetatio	n, one prir	mary indicator of wetland I	nydrology,
Alaska Gle				and an	appropriate	e landscap	pe position r	nust be pr	esent	
	ved Pores (A15	3		4 Give o	letails of co	lor chang	e in Remark	s		
)								
Restrictive Laye										
Type: silty									Hydric Soil Present	:? Yes 🖲 No 🔾
Depth (inch	es): 3									
Remarks:										
HYDROLO	-									
Wetland Hydu Primary Indica										icators (two or more are required)
		suncent							_	ined Leaves (B9)
	Surface Water (A1) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) High Water Table (A2) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)					Drainage Patterns (B10) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)				
					е (во)	_	of Reduced Iron (C4)			
Water Mar						Salt Deposits (C5)				
	Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)						Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Drift Depo	,				her (Explair		• •		_	ic Position (D2)
Algal Mat	or Crust (B4)				(Shallow A	()
Iron Depo	sits (B5)								Microtopo	graphic Relief (D4)
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)								✓ FAC-neutra	al Test (D5)
Field Observa	tions:	_	_							
Surface Water	Present?	Yes \bigcirc	No 🖲	De	epth (inches	5):				
Water Table P	resent?	Yes 🖲	No \bigcirc	De	epth (inches	5): 2		Wetla	nd Hydrology Preser	nt? Yes $oldsymbol{igstar}$ No $igstar$
Saturation Pre (includes capil		Yes 🖲	No \bigcirc		epth (inches					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:										
Domortica										
Remarks:										
small pools of water in microlows, the microlows formed by frost sorting. Do not believe it's enough surface water to meet intent of A1. D3silty clay.										