WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna	-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Вс	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 19-Aug-15						
Applicant/Owner: Ala	ska Energy Authority	Sampling Point: SW15_T327_03									
nvestigator(s): GVF Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hillside											
Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope: 17.6 % / 10.0 ° Elevation:											
•											
Subregion : Cook Inle	Mountains	Lat.: _									
Soil Map Unit Name: NWI classification: Upland											
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? Are Thormal Circumstances present? Yes No No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)											
Hydrophytic Veg	etation Present? Yes No										
Hydric Soil Pres	ent? Yes O No 🤄		Is	the Sam	ipled Area						
Wetland Hydrol			within a Wetland? Yes ○ No •								
Remarks:	<u> </u>		ı								
	e scientific names of plants. L	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species						
Tree Stratum 1.		% Cover	Species?	Status	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3(A)						
-					Total Number of Dominant						
					Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)						
4					Percent of dominant Species That Are ORL FACW or FAC: 75.00/c (A/R)						
4. 5.					That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)						
J	Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet:						
Cauling /Church Church			of Total Cover:		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:						
Sapling/Shrub Strat	<u></u>			0	OBL Species 0 x1 = 0						
Salix barclayi		55	V	FAC	FACW Species 15 x 2 = 30						
Salix pulchra				FACW	FACI Species 93 x 3 = 279						
					FACU Species 35 x 4 = 140						
_		_			UPL Species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u>						
		_			Column Totals: <u>143</u> (A) <u>449</u> (B)						
					Prevalence Index = B/A =3.140_						
7											
8					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:						
		0			Dominance Test is > 50%						
10	Total Cover	-			Prevalence Index is ≤3.0						
Herb Stratum	50% of Total Cover:		of Total Cover	: 12	Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)						
Athyrium cyclos	_	25	✓	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)						
Gymnocarpium		15	~	FACU	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must						
3. Sanguisorba ca	• •	10	<u></u>	FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.						
4. Phegopteris co				FACU	District of all and booth and the						
5. Chamaenerion		5		FACU	Plot size (radius, or length x width)						
6. Calamagrostis	canadensis	5		FAC	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)						
7. Geranium eriar	thum	5		FACU	% Bare Ground _5						
8. Heracleum ma	rimum	5		FACU	Total Cover of Bryophytes 10						
9. Rubus arcticus		5		FAC							
10. Veratrum viride		3		FAC	Hydrophytic						
	Total Cover		Vegetation Present? Yes ● No ○								
	50% of Total Cover:	41.5 20% o	of Total Cover:	16.6	Present? Yes ● No ○						
Remarks: trace of t	ree-sized picgla, bare ground conside	ered to be lit	ter alone.								

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW15 T327 03

Profile Descripti	(Describe to	the depth of	and to docur	and the indicator or co	-firm the al	sance of indic	torol	· -	1 Oint. 511 15_1527_05		
Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)											
Depth (inches)	Color (m			Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	_Loc_2	Texture	Remarks		
0-3		olse,		Color (c.c.,	. <u></u>	1,50		Fibric Organics			
3-6								Sapric Organics			
6-11	7.5YR	2.5/3	100					Silt Loam	few gravel		
11-18	10YR	 3/4						Loamy Sand			
11-18			100					LOAITIY SATIU	few large rounded gravel		
¹Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D	=Depletion	. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix ² Locatio	n: PL=Por	e Lining. RO	=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators for P	roblemati	ic Hydric S	oils: ³				
Histosol or	Histel (A1)			Alaska Color C		4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder		
Histic Epip	. ,			Alaska Alpine	swales (TA	5)		Underlying Layer			
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox	With 2.5Y	Hue		Other (Explain in Remarks)			
☐ Thick Dark	Surface (A12	2)		• • • • • •							
Alaska Gle	yed (A13)			One indicator of and an appropria				nary indicator of wetland hesent	ydrology,		
Alaska Red	dox (A14)						•				
Alaska Gle	yed Pores (A1	15)		⁴ Give details of c	olor chang	je in Remari	(S				
Restrictive Laye	er (if present)	:									
Type:								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ○ No •		
Depth (inch	nes):										
Remarks:							<u> </u>				
No hydric soil ir	ndicators, neg	ative reacti	on to alpha,	alpha dipyridol strip	(no reduc	ed iron pres	sent).				
,	•		•		•	•	•				
HYDROLO	CV										
Wetland Hydi		ators:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)		
Primary Indica			:)						ned Leaves (B9)		
Surface W			-,	Inundation \	/isible on A	Aerial Image	rv (B7)		Patterns (B10)		
	☐ Surface Water (A1)☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B'☑ High Water Table (A2)☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B)						, , ,	_	hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)		
✓ Saturation (A3)							()		of Reduced Iron (C4)		
☐ Water Mai	rks (B1)			Hydrogen Su	,	(C1)		Salt Depos	its (C5)		
Sediment Deposits (B2) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)								Stunted or	Stressed Plants (D1)		
☐ Drift Depo	☐ Drift Deposits (B3) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)							Geomorph	ic Position (D2)		
Algal Mat	or Crust (B4)							Shallow Ac	juitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Depo	sits (B5)							Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4)		
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)						FAC-neutra	l Test (D5)		
Field Observa	tions:	_	-								
Surface Water	Present?		No 💿	Depth (inche	es):						
Water Table P	resent?	Yes 🤄	No O	Depth (inche	es): 1		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 💿 No 🔾		
Saturation Pre		Yes 🧿	No O	Depth (inche	es): 1						
(includes capil											
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Domarks:											
Remarks: negative reaction to alpha, alpha dipyridol strip (no reduced iron present). pit in center of concavity, recent rains likely the cause of flooded soil pit.											
negative reaction	on to aipna, a	прпа пругю	ioi strip (no	reduced Iron presen	t). pit in ce	enter or con	cavity, rece	ent rains likely the cause of	nooded soil pit.		

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0