WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	E	Borough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 24-Aug-15							
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW15_T324_06							
Investigator(s): ERT, TXC		Landform (hill:	side, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Toeslope							
Local relief (concave, convex, none): flat		Slope: 1.7		•							
Subregion : Cook Inlet Mountains	Lat.:			Long.: Datum: WGS84							
Soil Map Unit Name:				NWI classification: PEM1F							
xre climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ● No ○ (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation □ , Soil □ , or Hydrology □ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○											
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology anaturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)											
	• •										
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show	ing sar	mpling point	locations	, transects, important features, etc.							
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 🖲 No 🔾			41	ulad Ave a							
Hydric Soil Present? Yes ● No ○				וpled Area /etland? Yes ◉ № ◯							
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No		W	thin a W	etland? Yes I NO C							
Remarks: Wetland seems a bit patchy-super wet carutr and t	then drie	r calcan. possib	ole beaver i	nfluenced?							
VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. Lis	t all sp	ecies in the	plot.								
	Absolute	. Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:							
	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)							
1. Picea glauca	0.1		FACU	Total Number of Dominant							
2	0			Species Across All Strata: (B)							
3	0			Percent of dominant Species							
4.	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)							
5	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:							
	0.02	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:									
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 0.	05209	6 of Total Cover:	0.02	OBL Species 25 x 1 = 25							
1. Betula neoalaskana	2		FACU	FACW Species 3 $x^2 = 6$							
2. Salix pulchra	2		FACW	FAC Species 10.2 x 3 = 30.60							
3	0			FACU Species 2.1 x 4 = 8.4							
4.	0			UPL Species x 5 =							
5.				Column Totals: <u>40.3</u> (A) <u>70.00</u> (B)							
6.	0			Prevalence Index = B/A =							
7	0			Ludranhutia Vacatatian Tudiastara							
8 9	0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%							
9 10.	0			Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0							
Total Cover:	4			Morphological Adaptations (P ¹ ovide supporting data in							
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:		- % of Total Cover	: 0.8	Remarks or on a separate sheet)							
1. Carex utriculata	15	\checkmark	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)							
2. Calamagrostis canadensis	10	\checkmark	FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must							
3. Carex aquatilis	5		OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.							
4. Comarum palustre	4		OBL	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m							
5. Viola palustris	1		FACW	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes							
6. Equisetum fluviatile	1		OBL	(Where applicable)							
7. Micranthes nelsoniana	0.1		FAC	% Bare Ground							
8. Rumex arcticus	0.1		FAC	Total Cover of Bryophytes 80							
9	0										
10	0			Hydrophytic							
Total Cover:36.2Vegetation50% of Total Cover:18.120% of Total Cover:7.24Present?YesNo											
	<u>5.1</u> 207	of rotal cover.									

Remarks: no dominant tree or shrub species, as total cover <5% each.

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features											
Depth (inches)	Color (mois		%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks		
0-1		·				<u>.</u>		Peat	Oi		
1-3								Mucky Peat	Oe		
3-14								Muck	Oa,5% fine sands have washed in		
								·			
	. <u> </u>										
¹ Type: C=Con	centration. D=D)epletion. R	M=Reduce	ed Matrix ² Location		-		annel. M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil Ir	ndicators:			Indicators for Pr	oblemati	c Hydric S	ioils: ³				
Histosol or	Histosol or Histel (A1)							Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder		
Histic Epipe	edon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	-	-	F	Underlying Layer			
	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	Vith 2.5Y H	Hue	L	Other (Explain in Remarl	<s)< td=""></s)<>		
	Surface (A12)			³ One indicator of	bydrophy	tic vecetati	on one prir	mary indicator of wetland h	nydrology		
Alaska Gley				and an appropriat					lydrology,		
Alaska Red	()			⁴ Give details of co	olor chang	e in Remar	ks				
Alaska Gley	yed Pores (A15)										
Restrictive Laye	r (if present):								\sim		
Туре:								Hydric Soil Present	:? Yes $ullet$ No $igodom$		
Depth (inch	es):										
Remarks: H2S odor while	walking in plot.										
HYDROLO	-										
-	rology Indicate		_		_	_	_		icators (two or more are required)		
-	tors (any one is	sufficient)						Water Stained Leaves (B9)			
Surface W				Inundation V		-		Drainage Patterns (B10) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)			
 High Wate Saturation 	er Table (A2)			Sparsely Veg		ncave Surra	ice (B8)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Salt Deposits (C5)			
Water Mar	. ,			Marl Deposits Hydrogen Su	• •	(C1)					
	Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season V				Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
				Other (Explai				Geomorphic Position (D2)			
·	or Crust (B4)							Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Iron Depo								Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Surface Sc	oil Cracks (B6)							FAC-neutra	al Test (D5)		
Field Observa	itions:										
Surface Water	Present?	Yes 🖲		Depth (inche	s): 1						
Water Table P	resent?	Yes 🖲	No \bigcirc	Depth (inche	es): 1		Wetlar	nd Hydrology Presen	nt? Yes $ullet$ No $igcap$		
Saturation Pre (includes capil		Yes 🖲	No \bigcirc	Depth (inche	:s): 0						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Pomarkei											
Remarks: H2S odor while	walking in plot	scattered	curface wa	ter 1in deep. D2to	eclone						
TI25 OUDT WITHE	waiking in piot.	scallereu			esiope.						