WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

	et/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Bor	ough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough	_ Samplin	g Date: _	19-Aug-15
vocti	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority						pling Point:	SW	15_T321_06
ivesti	igator(s): SLI, ATH			`	,	e, hummocks etc.):	Hillside		
ocal ı	relief (concave, convex, none): none		s	lope: 0.0	% / <u>0.0</u>	° Elevation: _			
ubreg	gion : Cook Inlet Mountains	La	nt.:			Long.:		Da	tum: WGS84
oil Ma	ap Unit Name:					NWI cla	ssification:	PSS1B	
Are \ Are \	matic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site ma	signific natura	cantly d	disturbed? plematic?	(If nee	(If no, explair ormal Circumstance ded, explain any an s, transects, imp	es" present? swers in Re	Yes (emarks.)	
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ● Hydric Soil Present? Yes ● Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ●	No O No O		wi	thin a W		Yes • No		
	arks: Upslope seep documented by SW15-T321 ETATION -Use scientific names of pla					ike to this plot. Plot	in slightly (drier area.	
		Abso	lute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test v			
	ee Stratum	<u> % Co</u>	over	Species?	Status	Number of Dominar That are OBL, FAC			4 (A)
1.			0			Total Number of Do	•	_	<u> </u>
2.			0			Species Across All			5 (B)
3.			0			Percent of dominan		_	
4.			0			That Are OBL, FAC	W, or FAC:	80	0.0% (A/B)
5.			0			Prevalence Index	worksheet:		
			0			Total % Cov	er of:	Multiply b	y:
Sap	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cov	er:0	20% of	f Total Cover:	0	OBL Species	0	x 1 =	0
1.	Alpue viridio							0 -	•
	Alnus viridis	'	90	✓	FAC	FACW Speci	es 0	x 2 =	0
	Diban triata		90 10		FAC FAC	FACW Species		x 2 = x 3 =	0 360
	Ribes triste					-	120		
2.	Ribes triste		10			FAC Species	120 es 8.1	x 3 =	360
2. 3.	Ribes triste		10			FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species	120 es 8.1 0	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 =	360 32.40 0
 3. 4. 5. 	Ribes triste		10 0 0			FAC Species FACU Specie	120 es 8.1 0	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 =	360 32.40
2. 3. 4. 5.	Ribes triste		10 0 0 0			FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species	120 8.1 0	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A)	360 32.40 0
 3. 4. 6. 7. 	Ribes triste		10 0 0 0 0 0	Y		FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In		x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =3	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Ribes triste		10 0 0 0 0 0	Y		FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In	120 8.1 0 13: 128.1 14 andex = B/A =	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =3	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Ribes triste		10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Y		FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Te	120 8.1 0 ds: 128.1 ndex = B/A: tation Indic st is > 50%	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =3	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Ribes triste		10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Y		FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Te		x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =3 ators:	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Ribes triste	Cover: _1	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FAC	FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Te	$\begin{array}{c} 120 \\ \text{ss} \\ 128.1 \\ \text{o} \\ \text{ss} \\ 128.1 \\ \text{odex} = B/A \\ \text{station Indic} \\ \text{st is} > 50\% \\ \text{ex is} \leq 3.0 \\ \text{Adaptations} \end{array}$	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =3 ators:	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Ribes triste Tota rb Stratum 50% of Total Cov	I Cover:1 //er:50	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover:	FAC	FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Tel Prevalence Ind Morphological	$ \begin{array}{c c} \hline 120\\ 8.1\\ \hline 0\\ \end{array} $ Is: $ \begin{array}{c c} 128.1\\ \hline $ Index = B/A : $ \begin{array}{c c} \text{tation Indic} \\ \text{st is > 50\%} \\ \text{ex is } \leq 3.0\\ \end{array} $ Adaptations a separate si	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =3 ators:	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1.	Ribes triste Tota Tota Solve of Total Cov Calamagrostis canadensis	I Cover:1	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover:	FAC	FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Te Prevalence Ind Morphological Remarks or on Problematic Hy	$\begin{array}{c} 120 \\ 8.1 \\ \hline 0 \\ \end{array}$ Is: $\begin{array}{c} 128.1 \\ \end{array}$	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =3 ators:	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2.	Ribes triste Tota rb Stratum Calamagrostis canadensis Athyrium cyclosorum Dryopteris eyyansa	I Cover:1	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover:	FAC 20 FAC	FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Te Prevalence Ind Morphological Remarks or on	$\begin{array}{c} 120 \\ 8.1 \\ 0 \\ \end{array}$ Is: $\begin{array}{c} 128.1 \\ \end{array}$	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) = ators: (Provide subset) getation (Illand hydrolical	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E 063
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3.	Ribes triste Tota rb Stratum Calamagrostis canadensis Athyrium cyclosorum Dryopteris expansa	Cover: _1	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover:	FAC 20 FAC FAC	FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Te Prevalence Ind Morphological Remarks or on Problematic Hy Indicators of hydric be present, unless of	120 8.1 0 Is: 128.1 Index = B/A: tation Indic st is > 50% ex is ≤3.0 Adaptations a separate si drophytic Veg soil and wet disturbed or p	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E 063
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4.	Ribes triste Tota rb Stratum Calamagrostis canadensis Athyrium cyclosorum Dryopteris expansa Equisetum sylvaticum Cympogarnium dryopteris	I Cover:1 /er:50	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover:	FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Tel Prevalence Ind Morphological Remarks or on Problematic Hy Indicators of hydric be present, unless of	120 8.1 0 Is: 128.1 ndex = B/A: tation Indic st is > 50% lex is ≤ 3.0 Adaptations a separate si drophytic Ver soil and wet disturbed or p	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =3 ators: (Provide subset) getation (I) land hydroloroblematic.	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E 063
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hear 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Ribes triste Tota Tota 50% of Total Cor Calamagrostis canadensis Athyrium cyclosorum Dryopteris expansa Equisetum sylvaticum Gymnocarpium dryopteris Streptopus amplovifolius	I Cover:1	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover:	FAC FAC FACU	FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Te Prevalence Ind Morphological Remarks or on Problematic Hy Indicators of hydric be present, unless of Plot size (radius, or % Cover of Wetland	120 8.1 0 Is: 128.1 ndex = B/A: tation Indic st is > 50% lex is ≤ 3.0 Adaptations a separate si drophytic Ver soil and wet disturbed or p	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =3 ators: (Provide subset) getation (I) land hydroloroblematic.	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Ribes triste Tota Tota 50% of Total Cor Calamagrostis canadensis Athyrium cyclosorum Dryopteris expansa Equisetum sylvaticum Gymnocarpium dryopteris Streptopus amplexifolius	I Cover:1	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover:	FAC FAC FAC FAC FACU FAC	FAC Species FACU Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Teles Prevalence Ind Morphological Remarks or on Problematic Hy Indicators of hydric be present, unless of Plot size (radius, or Cover of Wetland (Where applicable)	120 8.1 0 Is: 128.1 ndex = B/A: tation Indic st is > 50% lex is ≤ 3.0 Adaptations a separate si drophytic Ver soil and wet disturbed or p	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E 063 upporting data in Explain) ogy must
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hear 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Ribes triste Tota Tota 50% of Total Cor Calamagrostis canadensis Athyrium cyclosorum Dryopteris expansa Equisetum sylvaticum Gymnocarpium dryopteris Streptopus amplexifolius	I Cover:1 // rer:50	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover:	FAC FAC FAC FAC FACU FAC	FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Te Prevalence Ind Morphological Remarks or on Problematic Hy Indicators of hydric be present, unless of Plot size (radius, or Cover of Wetland (Where applicable) Bare Ground	120 88.1 0 ds: 128.1 ndex = B/A : tation Indic st is > 50% ex is ≤3.0 Adaptations a separate sl drophytic Ver soil and wet disturbed or p length x widt d Bryophytes	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E 3.063 upporting data in Explain) ogy must
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Ribes triste Tota Tota 50% of Total Cor Calamagrostis canadensis Athyrium cyclosorum Dryopteris expansa Equisetum sylvaticum Gymnocarpium dryopteris Streptopus amplexifolius	I Cover:1 /er:50	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover:	FAC FAC FAC FAC FACU FAC	FAC Species FACU Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Teles Prevalence Ind Morphological Remarks or on Problematic Hy Indicators of hydric be present, unless of Plot size (radius, or Cover of Wetland (Where applicable)	120 88.1 0 ds: 128.1 ndex = B/A : tation Indic st is > 50% ex is ≤3.0 Adaptations a separate sl drophytic Ver soil and wet disturbed or p length x widt d Bryophytes	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E 063 upporting data in Explain) ogy must
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Ribes triste Tota rb Stratum Calamagrostis canadensis Athyrium cyclosorum Dryopteris expansa Equisetum sylvaticum Gymnocarpium dryopteris Streptopus amplexifolius	I Cover:1 /er:50	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover:	FAC FAC FAC FAC FACU FAC	FAC Species FACU Species FACU Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Te Prevalence Ind Morphological Remarks or on Problematic Hy Indicators of hydric be present, unless of Plot size (radius, or Cover of Wetland (Where applicable) Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryon	120 88.1 0 ds: 128.1 ndex = B/A : tation Indic st is > 50% ex is ≤3.0 Adaptations a separate sl drophytic Ver soil and wet disturbed or p length x widt d Bryophytes	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E 3.063 upporting data in Explain) ogy must
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Ribes triste Tota rb Stratum Calamagrostis canadensis Athyrium cyclosorum Dryopteris expansa Equisetum sylvaticum Gymnocarpium dryopteris Streptopus amplexifolius	I Cover:1 /er:50	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover:	FAC FAC FAC FAC FACU FAC	FAC Species FACU Species UPL Species UPL Species Column Tota Prevalence In Hydrophytic Vege Dominance Te Prevalence Ind Morphological Remarks or on Problematic Hy Indicators of hydric be present, unless of Plot size (radius, or Cover of Wetland (Where applicable) Bare Ground	120 88.1 0 ds: 128.1 ndex = B/A : tation Indic st is > 50% ex is ≤3.0 Adaptations a separate sl drophytic Ver soil and wet disturbed or p length x widt d Bryophytes	x 3 = x 4 = x 5 = (A) =	360 32.40 0 392.4 (E 3.063 upporting data in Explain) ogy must

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW15_T321_06

Profile Descript Depth	ion: (Describe to	the depth ne Matrix	eded to docur	nent the in		firm the abs		cators)	_	
(inches)	Color (mo	ist)	%	Color (r	noist)	%	Type ¹	<u>Loc</u> 2	Texture	Remarks
0-8			100%						Peat	
8-14	2.5Y	3/2	85%	5YR	3/4	15%	С	PL	Loam	very high organic content
14-24			100%						Muck	
										-
					-					
									- <u>-</u>	
										-
		Depletion	RM=Reduce						annel. M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil I					tors for Pro		4	oils:	7	
	r Histel (A1)				ska Color Ch				 Alaska Gleyed Without H Underlying Layer 	ue 5Y or Redder
=	pedon (A2)				ska Alpine sv	•	,	Г		m)
_ ' '	Sulfide (A4)			Alas	ska Redox W	/ith 2.5Y H	ue		Other (Explain in Remark	(8)
	k Surface (A12))		3 ∩ne i	ndicator of	hvdronhvti	ic vegetatic	n one nrit	mary indicator of wetland h	nydrology
	eyed (A13)				appropriate					rydrology,
Alaska Re	. ,			4 Give	details of co	lor change	in Domarl	kc.		
Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A15	5)		GIVE	Jetalis Of Co	nor change	ili Kelliair	\ 5		
-	er (if present):									
Type:									Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ● No O
Depth (incl	nes):									
HYDROLO										
_	rology Indica									cators (two or more are required)
Primary Indica	ators (any one i	s sufficient	:)						Water Stai	ned Leaves (B9)
	Vater (A1)			☐ In	undation Vi	sible on Ae	erial Image	ery (B7)	☐ Drainage F	Patterns (B10)
	er Table (A2)			∐ Sp	oarsely Vege	etated Con	cave Surfa	ce (B8)	Oxidized R	hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
Saturation				M	arl Deposits	(B15)				of Reduced Iron (C4)
Water Ma				<u></u> Ну	ydrogen Sul	fide Odor ((C1)		Salt Depos	
	Deposits (B2)				ry-Season W					Stressed Plants (D1)
☐ Drift Depo	` '			☐ O	ther (Explaii	n in Remar	ks)			ic Position (D2)
	or Crust (B4)									quitard (D3)
☐ Iron Depo	osits (B5)								Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4)
☐ Surface S	ioil Cracks (B6)								FAC-neutra	al Test (D5)
Field Observa	ations:									
Surface Wate	r Present?		No 💿	D	epth (inches	s):				
Water Table F	Present?	Yes 🧿	No 🔾	D	epth (inches	s): 11		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 💿 No 🔾
Saturation Pro (includes capi		Yes •	No O	D	epth (inches	s): 11				
	rded Data (stre	am gauge,	monitor we	ll, aerial p	hotos, prev	ious inspe	ction) if av	ailable:		
Remarks: Second nit in s	lightly drier are	a allowed	to sit while a	latacheet	completed	After 30 n	ninutec nit	t had stand	ling water at 16in, water a	ppears to be entering at 11in below
ground surface		a anowed	to sit wille (1010311CCL	completed.	AILEI 30 I	imiutes, pii	c nau stailt	ang water at 1011, water d	ppears to be critering at 11111 below

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0