## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough	Sampling Date: 19-Aug-15					
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		San	npling Point: <b>SW15_T321_01</b>					
Investigator(s): SLI, ATH	Landform (hill	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Crest						
Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex	Slope: 3.0	% / 1.7 ° Elevation:						
Subregion : Cook Inlet Mountains Lat		Long.:	Datum: WGS84					
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI cla	assification: Upland					
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year?       Yes <ul> <li>No </li> <li>(If no, explain in Remarks.)</li> </ul> Are Vegetation         , Soil         , or Hydrology         significantly disturbed?         Are "Normal Circumstances" present?         Yes              Are Vegetation         , Soil         , or Hydrology         naturally problematic?         (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)								
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes $ullet$ No $igodot$								
Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◯ No ●		the Sampled Area						
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $oldsymbol{igodol}$	w	ithin a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\textcircled{ullet}$					

Remarks:

## **VEGETATION** - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

		Absolute Dominant		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum		% Cove		Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1.	Picea glauca	5	$\checkmark$	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)	
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7 (B)	
3.		0			Percent of dominant Species	
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>57.1%</u> (A/B)	
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:	
Total Cover:		5	-		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	2.5 209	% of Total Cover:	1	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$	
1.	Empetrum nigrum	20	$\checkmark$	FAC	FACW Species x 2 =14	
2.	Vaccinium uliginosum	15	$\checkmark$	FAC	FAC Species x 3 =174	
3.	Betula nana	10		FAC	FACU Species <u>14.2</u> x 4 = <u>56.80</u>	
4.	Rhododendron tomentosum	7		FACW	UPL Species x 5 =	
5.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea			FAC	Column Totals: <u>79.2</u> (A) <u>244.8</u> (B)	
6.	Picea glauca			FACU		
7.	Spiraea stevenii			FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A =3.091_	
8.					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
					✓ Dominance Test is > 50%	
		0			Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$	
<b>Total Cover:</b> <u>62.1</u>					Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in	
Herb Stratum         50% of Total Cover:         31.05         20% of T		% of Total Cover	12.42	Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1.	Cornus suecica	5	$\checkmark$	FAC	$\square$ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)	
2.	Festuca altaica	ъ	$\checkmark$	FAC	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must	
3.	Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	2	$\checkmark$	UPL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
4.	Spinulum annotinum	2	$\checkmark$	FACU	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m	
5.	Calamagrostis canadensis	1		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes	
6.	Diphasiastrum complanatum	0.1		FACU	(Where applicable)	
7.		0			% Bare Ground _5	
					Total Cover of Bryophytes 25	
					Hydrophytic	
	Total Cover	Vegetation				
	50% of Total Cover:	5.05 209	% of Total Cover	2.42	Present? Yes  No	
Remarks: 30% lichen cover						

SOL	L
001	

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)          Matrix       Redox Features					cators)					
Depth (inches)	Color (m		%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks	
0-3.5		2/2	100			Type	LUC	Sapric Organics		
3.5-8	10YR	3/4	100					Loam		
								Sandy Loam		
8-13	7.5YR	2.5/3	100					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
13-20	2.5Y	4/3						Sandy Clay Loam		
	-									
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix										
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: <sup>3</sup>										
_	r Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Cl		4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder	
	bedon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	• •	,		Underlying Layer		
	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	With 2.5Y	Hue		Other (Explain in Remark	s)	
	k Surface (A1	2)		_						
🗌 Alaska Gle	eyed (A13)			<sup>3</sup> One indicator of and an appropriat				nary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,	
Alaska Ree	dox (A14)									
🗌 Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A	15)		<sup>4</sup> Give details of co	olor chang	je in Remarl	KS			
Restrictive Laye	er (if present)	):								
	dy clay loam							Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🔾 No 🖲	
Depth (incl	hes): 13									
subangular to subrounded gravels to cobbles. no hydric soil indicators.										
HYDROLO	GY									
Wetland Hyd	rology Indic	ators:						Secondary India	cators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indica		e is sufficier	nt)					Water Stain	ned Leaves (B9)	
	Vater (A1)			Inundation V		-		_	atterns (B10)	
	er Table (A2)			Sparsely Veg		ncave Surfa	ce (B8)		hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
	Saturation (A3)     Marl Deposits (B15)       Water Marks (B1)     Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)					Salt Depos	f Reduced Iron (C4)			
		)							Stressed Plants (D1)	
	Sediment Deposits (B2)     Dry-Season Water Table (C2)       Drift Deposits (B3)     Other (Explain in Remarks)					_	ic Position (D2)			
	or Crust (B4)	)				ur (5)		Shallow Aq	. ,	
Iron Depo									jraphic Relief (D4)	
· ·	oil Cracks (B6	5)						FAC-neutra		
Field Observa	ations:									
Surface Wate	r Present?	Yes	) No 🖲	Depth (inche	es):					
Water Table F	Present?	Yes	No 💿	Depth (inche	es):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🔿 No 🖲	
Saturation Pre (includes capi		Yes	) No 🖲	Depth (inche						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:										
Remarks: D3sandy clay	r loam									