WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Projec	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		В	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 18-Aug-15
Applica	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority					Sampling Point: SW15_T320_03
	gator(s): SLI, SCB			Landform (hills	side, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Channel (active)
Local	relief (concave, convex, none): concave			Slope: 0.0	% / 0.0	
Subred	gion : Cook Inlet Mountains	ı	at.:			Long.: Datum: WGS84
	ap Unit Name:	_	_			NWI classification: PUBH
	matic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for	thic time o	f voor	yes (● No ○	(If no, explain in Remarks.)
	regetation , Soil , or Hydrology	_	-	disturbed?		ormal Circumstances" present? Yes No
	/egetation □ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology			oblematic?		eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)
					•	
SUMI	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map	showing	sam	pling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes	No 🔾		_		
Hydric Soil Present? Yes ● No ○						pled Area
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ●	No 🔾		wi	thin a W	etland? Yes ● No ○
Rem	arks: Inlet stream is audible under closed willow	canopy.				
/EGI	ETATION -Use scientific names of plan	ts. List a	II spe	cies in the p	plot.	
		Abs	olute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
	e Stratum	<u></u> %	Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)
1.	-					Total Number of Dominant
2.						Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
3.						Percent of dominant Species
4.						That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
5.						Prevalence Index worksheet:
_	Total (_	0	(Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sap	olling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover	. 0	_ 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species <u>4</u> x 1 = <u>4</u>
1.	Salix pulchra		0.1		FACW	FACW Species <u>5.1</u> x 2 = <u>10.2</u>
2.			0			FAC Species 0 x 3 = 0
3.			0			FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0
4.			0			UPL Species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u>
5.			0			Column Totals: <u>9.1</u> (A) <u>14.2</u> (B)
6.			0			Prevalence Index = B/A =1.560_
7.			0			
8.			0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.			0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0
10.	Total (_	0.1			
Hei	b Stratum 50% of Total Cove	_		of Total Cover:	0.02	Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1.	Carex saxatilis		5	✓	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)
2.	Carex utriculata		3	✓	OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3.	Eriophorum angustifolium		1		OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4.			0			Plot size (radius or length y width)
			0			Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes
6.			0			(Where applicable)
7.			0			% Bare Ground
			0			Total Cover of Bryophytes
1			0			
			0			Hydrophytic
		Cover:	9	of Total Cover	1.0	Vegetation Present? Yes ● No ○

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW15_T320_03 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) **Redox Features** Depth <u>Loc</u> 2 (inches) Color (moist) Color (moist) Type ¹ ¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) ☐ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) Histic Epipedon (A2) Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue ✓ Other (Explain in Remarks) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Thick Dark Surface (A12) ³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, Alaska Gleved (A13) and an appropriate landscape position must be present Alaska Redox (A14) ⁴ Give details of color change in Remarks Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15) Restrictive Layer (if present): Yes ● No ○ Type: **Hydric Soil Present?** Depth (inches): Remarks: inundated, assume hydric soil. **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (two or more are required) Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient) Water Stained Leaves (B9) ✓ Surface Water (A1) Drainage Patterns (B10) ✓ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) High Water Table (A2) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Saturation (A3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Marl Deposits (B15) Water Marks (B1) Salt Deposits (C5) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks) Geomorphic Position (D2) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Iron Deposits (B5) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5) Field Observations: Yes ● No ○ Surface Water Present? Depth (inches): 30 Yes O No • Yes ● No ○ Water Table Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes ○ No ● Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

Remarks: