WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/Cit	ty: Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 18-Aug-15								
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority			Sampling Point: SW15_T319_03								
Investigator(s): BAB Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Crest											
Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex	Slope:	8.7 %/ 5.0	e Elevation:								
Subregion : Cook Inlet Mountains	_at.:		Long.: Datum: WGS84								
Soil Map Unit Name:			NWI classification: Upland								
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	of year?	res 💿 No 🔿	(If no, explain in Remarks.)								
	ficantly disturbed	I? Are "N	ormal Circumstances" present? Yes No								
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)											
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.											
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes \bigcirc No \bigcirc											
Hydric Soil Present? Yes O No •		Is the Sam	\sim								
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		within a W	'etland? Yes 🔾 No 🖲								
Remarks: gently sloping narrow crest with steep sides											
VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List a	ll species in t	he plot.									
Abs	solute Domina	nt Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:								
Tree Stratum %	Cover Species		Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)								
1			Total Number of Dominant								
2			Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)								
3.	L		Percent of dominant Species								
4	L		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)								
o			Prevalence Index worksheet:								
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	 20% of Total Co	over: 0	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:								
	_		OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$ FACW Species $0 \times 2 = 0$								
1. Betula glandulosa	20 15 ✓	FAC	FACW Species $0 \times 2 = 0$ FAC Species $49 \times 3 = 147$								
2. Vaccinium uliginosum 3. Rhododendron groenlandicum	<u>15</u> <u>5</u> □	FAC	FACU Species $4 \times 4 = 16$								
	5	FAC	UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$								
 Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium vitis-idaea 	2	FAC	Column Totals: 53 (A) 163 (B)								
6. Picea glauca	2	FACU									
7. Spiraea stevenii	1	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.075</u>								
8.	0		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:								
9	<u> </u>		Dominance Test is > 50%								
10	0		Prevalence Index is ≤3.0								
Total Cover:	_ <u>50</u> 20% of Total C	over: 10	Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)								
		FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)								
	$\frac{2}{1}$ \Box	FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must								
3.		TACC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.								
4	0										
5.	0		Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>								
6.	0		% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)								
7.	0		% Bare Ground _5								
8.	0		Total Cover of Bryophytes90								
9	0										
10	0		Hydrophytic								
Total Cover:	3		Vegetation Present? Yes • No ·								
50% of Total Cover: <u>1.5</u> 20% of Total Cover: <u>0.6</u> Present? Yes NO V											

Remarks: <5% total herb cover, thus no herb species considered dominant.

		the depth no Matrix	eeded to docu	cument the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Redox Features			cators)				
	Depth Color (moist)		%	Color (moist)	%		Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-7		5150)				1700	200	fibric organics	Oi unsaturated		
7-8								hemic organics	 Oe		
8-9.5			100			_ ,		Silt Loam	E		
		2 5/2									
9.5-12	5YR	2.5/2	100					Sandy Loam	Bsh		
12									massive rock		
								·			
¹ Type: C=Con	centration. D	=Depletion	. RM=Reduc	ced Matrix ² Locati	on: PL=Por	e Lining. R	C=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix			
¹ Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: ³											
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder											
									lying Layer		
	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox	•	,		Other (Explain in Rema	ther (Explain in Remarks)		
	Surface (A12	2)									
Alaska Gley	•	,		³ One indicator (and an appropri	of hydrophy	tic vegetation	on, one prin	nary indicator of wetland	l hydrology,		
Alaska Red				and an appropri		pe position	must be pre	esent			
Alaska Gley	ed Pores (A1	.5)		⁴ Give details of	color chang	je in Remar	ks				
Restrictive Laye	r (if present):										
Type:	(p,							Hydric Soil Preser	nt? Yes \bigcirc No $oldsymbol{igodol}$		
Depth (inch	es):										
no hydric soil in	dicators obse	rved									
HYDROLO	GY										
Wetland Hydr	ology Indic	ators:						Secondary In	dicators (two or more are required)		
Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient)								Water Stained Leaves (B9)			
Surface Water (A1)			Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			ery (B7)		e Patterns (B10)			
	High Water Table (A2) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)						ce (B8)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)			
	Saturation (A3)						Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)				
Water Mar				Hydrogen S					Salt Deposits (C5)		
Drift Depo	Deposits (B2)				Water Tab	. ,		_	or Stressed Plants (D1) bhic Position (D2)		
	()			U Other (Exp	lain in Rema	arks)		Aquitard (D3)			
									ographic Relief (D4)		
· · · ·	oil Cracks (B6))							tral Test (D5)		
Field Observa	• •	/									
Surface Water		Yes 🤇) No 🖲	Depth (incl	hes):						
Water Table P		-	No 💿	Depth (incl			Wetla	nd Hydrology Prese	ent? Yes 🔿 No 🖲		
Saturation Prea	sent?	_) No 🖲	Depth (incl	,						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Remarks:											
no wetland hydrology indicators observed											