## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling	Date: 25-Aug-15
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Sampling Point:	SW15_T318_05
Investigator(s): AFW	Landform (hill	side, terrace, hummocks etc.): Valley bot	tom
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	Slope: 3.5	% / 2.0 ° Elevation:	
Subregion : Cook Inlet Mountains La	it.:	Long.:	Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI classification:	PSS1B
	year? Yes cantly disturbed? Ily problematic?	<ul> <li>No (If no, explain in Remarks.)</li> <li>Are "Normal Circumstances" present?</li> <li>(If needed, explain any answers in Rem</li> </ul>	Yes 🔍 No 🔾
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing s	sampling point	locations, transects, important featu	ures, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes   No	_		

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No	la tha Campulad Area	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🖲	No	Is the Sampled Area	Yes 🖲 No 🔿
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	Νο 〇	within a Wetland?	
Remarks: beaver complex				

## **VEGETATION** - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

1		Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum		% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1.	Picea glauca	1		FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)		
3.					Percent of dominant Species		
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)		
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
	Total Cover:	1			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0.520%	of Total Cover:	0.2	OBL Species $18 \times 1 = 18$		
1.	Betula nana	20	$\checkmark$	FAC	FACW Species $7$ x 2 = 14		
2.	Vaccinium uliginosum	12	$\checkmark$	FAC	FAC Species x 3 =141.3		
3.	Salix pulchra			FACW	FACU Species 5 x 4 = 20		
4.	Dasiphora fruticosa	-		FAC	UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$		
5.	Picea glauca	2		FACU	Column Totals: <u>77.1</u> (A) <u>193.3</u> (B)		
6.	Betula glandulosa	· _		FAC			
7.	-				Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.507		
					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
					✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
		0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0		
	Total Cover:	49			Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in		
Her	b Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	24.5 20%	6 of Total Cover:	9.8	Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1.	Carex aquatilis	15	$\checkmark$	OBL	$\checkmark$ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)		
2.	Calamagrostis canadensis	7	$\checkmark$	FAC	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
3.	Comarum palustre	3		OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4.	Rubus arcticus	1		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width)10m		
5.	Chamaenerion angustifolium	1		FACU	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes3		
6.	Equisetum sylvaticum	0.1		FAC	(Where applicable)		
7.		0			% Bare Ground95		
					Total Cover of Bryophytes 5		
9.							
10.		0			Hydrophytic		
Total Cover: <u>27.1</u> Vegetation							
	50% of Total Cover: <u>1</u>	3.55 20%	of Total Cover:	5.42	Present? Yes • No ·		

Remarks: many small channels flowing through area, beaver dams upstream and down. <5% total tree cover, thus no tree species considered dominant.

Profile Description	on: (Describe to the depth needed to docur Matrix		ment the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) <b>Redox Features</b>			cators)				
(inches)	Color (m	oist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
0-11			100					Mucky Peat	much and peat inclsn. some mineral	
11-20	10YR	3/2	100					Silt Loam	organic content & inclusions	
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix									
Hydric Soil In	ndicators:			Indicators for Pr	oblemati	c Hydric S	oils: <sup>3</sup>			
Histosol or Histel (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2)	· · ·			<ul> <li>Alaska Color Change (TA4)<sup>4</sup></li> <li>Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)</li> </ul>				Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer		
	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	•	,		Other (Explain in Rema	arks)	
<ul> <li>Thick Dark Surface (A12)</li> <li>Alaska Gleyed (A13)</li> <li>Alaska Redox (A14)</li> </ul>				<sup>3</sup> One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, and an appropriate landscape position must be present						
	yed Pores (A	15)		<sup>4</sup> Give details of co	olor chang	e in Remarl	ks			
Restrictive Laye	er (if present)	:								
Type:								Hydric Soil Preser	nt? Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Depth (inch	les):									
Remarks:										
high organic co	ntent w mine	rai pockets	<b>.</b>							

## HYDROLOGY Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (two or more are required) Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient) Water Stained Leaves (B9) ✓ Surface Water (A1) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) ✓ Drainage Patterns (B10) ✓ High Water Table (A2) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) Saturation (A3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Marl Deposits (B15) Water Marks (B1) Salt Deposits (C5) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks) Geomorphic Position (D2) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Iron Deposits (B5) Microtopographic Relief (D4) ▼ FAC-neutral Test (D5) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Field Observations: Yes $\odot$ No $\bigcirc$ Depth (inches): 1 Surface Water Present? Yes No O No 🔿 Water Table Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🖲 Depth (inches): 2 Saturation Present? Yes No O Depth (inches): 1 (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

## Remarks:

running water running through signature in channelized features and underneath through cobbles. multiple channelized features running through plot. lots of gurgles. channels are up to 2 feet incised.