WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Proje	ct Borou		- Alaska Region a-Susitna Borough Sampling D	Date: 24-Aug-15				
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority			Sampling Point:	SW15 T317 05				
Investigator(s): GVF Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Swale								
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky		pe: 8.7 % / 5.0	-					
Subregion : Cook Inlet Mountains	Lat.:		Long.:	Datum: WGS84				
Soil Map Unit Name:			NWI classification: P					
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical	for this time of year?	Yes No	(If no, explain in Remarks.)	5515				
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology			ormal Circumstances" present?	Yes 🕙 No 🔿				
Are Vegetation □ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology			ded, explain any answers in Rema					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site m				,				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes O No O								
Hydric Soil Present? Yes •		Is the Sam						
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes •	No 🔿	within a W	Vetland? Yes $ullet$ No $igloodow$					
Remarks: sparse woodland w/ large-ish picgla. stro interhummock. tricky plot for wetlands d VEGETATION -Use scientific names of pl	letermination.		, patchy small flooded pits. soil pi	t in moist				
	•	•	Dominance Test worksheet:					
Tree Stratum		ominant Indicator pecies? <u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species					
1. Picea glauca	10	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	<u>3</u> (A)				
2.	0		Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	5 (B)				
3.			Percent of dominant Species					
4.			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	60.0% (A/B)				
5	0		Prevalence Index worksheet:					
Το	tal Cover:10		Total % Cover of: Mu	ıltiply by:				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Co	over: <u>5</u> 20% of T	otal Cover: 2	OBL Species 2	x1= <u>2</u>				
1. Salix barclayi	25	✓ FAC	FACW Species 13.2	x 2 = <u>26.40</u>				
2. Vaccinium uliginosum	12	✓ FAC	FAC Species 62.1	x 3 = <u>186.3</u>				
3. Empetrum nigrum	8	FAC	FACU Species 31	x 4 = <u>124</u>				
4. Betula nana	5	FAC	UPL Species	x 5 = <u>0</u>				
5. Dasiphora fruticosa ssp. floribunda	4	FAC	Column Totals: 108.3	(A) <u>338.7</u> (B)				
6. Spiraea stevenii	3	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A =	3.127				
7. Salix pulchra	3	FACW						
8. Picea mariana	2	FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicato	ors:				
9. Picea glauca	2	FACU	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%					
10. Salix reticulata	2	FAC	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0					
Herb Stratum 50% of Total C	t al Cover: <u>66</u> over: <u>33</u> 20% of T	otal Cover: 13.2	Morphological Adaptations (Pi Remarks or on a separate shee					
1. Cornus canadensis	12	✓ FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Veget	· .				
2. Sanguisorba canadensis	8	✓ FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland					
3. Calamagrostis canadensis	3	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or prob					
4. Polemonium acutiflorum	3	FAC	Plot size (radius, or length y width)	10				
5. Geranium erianthum	3	FACU	Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes	<u>10m</u>				
6. Carex utriculata	2	OBL	(Where applicable)					
7. Thalictrum sparsiflorum	1	FACU	% Bare Ground	15				
8. Swertia perennis	0.1	FACW	Total Cover of Bryophytes	55				
9. Equisetum palustre	0.1	FACW						
10. Rhodiola integrifolia	0.1	FAC	Hydrophytic					
Tot	tal Cover: <u>32.3</u>		Vegetation	\bigcirc				

Remarks: bare ground is mostly litter, trace water. mosses mostly feathermosses and sphagnum.

50% of Total Cover: <u>16.15</u> 20% of Total Cover: <u>6.46</u>

Yes 💿 No 🔾

Present?

Profile Descript Depth	ion: (Describe to the depth needed to do Matrix			cument the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Redox Features						
(inches)	Color (m	Color (moist)		Color (moist)		% Type ¹		Loc 2	Texture	Remarks
0-2									Peat	
2-5									Mucky Peat	
5-6				<u>k</u>		-			Muck	with mineral content
6-17	10YR	3/2	95	5YR	3/4	5	С	PL	Loam	subangular cobbles throughout
					·					
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration. D	=Depletio	n. RM=Red	uced Matrix	² Location	: PL=Por	e Lining. R	C=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicat	ors for Pro	oblemati	c Hydric S	oils: ³		
 Histosol or Histel (A1) Histic Epipedon (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Thick Dark Surface (A12) 			 Alaska Color Change (TA4)⁴ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue ✓ Other (Explain in Remarks) ³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, 						narks)	
Alaska Re	eyed (A13) dox (A14) eyed Pores (A1	15)		and an	appropriate	e landscap	e in Remark	must be pr		a nyarology,
Restrictive Laye Type: Depth (incl		:							Hydric Soil Prese	ent? Yes 🖲 No 🔾
	ter is flowng t	hrough th	is swale, th	us redox fea						ophytic vegetation. It is possible that na-dipyridol would not detect reduced
HYDROLO	GY									
Wetland Hyd										ndicators (two or more are required)
Primary Indica		is sufficie	nt)							Stained Leaves (B9)
	e Water (A1) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)					, , ,		je Patterns (B10) d Phizospheres along Living Poots (C3)		
💌 nign Wat	/ater Table (A2)						ce (BØ)	 Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) 		

Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface	e (B8) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
Marl Deposits (B15)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Salt Deposits (C5)
Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Other (Explain in Remarks)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
	FAC-neutral Test (D5)
No Depth (inches):	
No O Depth (inches): 12	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes $ullet$ No $igodot$
No O Depth (inches): 11	
nonitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if avail	able:
	Marl Deposits (B15) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Other (Explain in Remarks)