## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	В	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough	Sampling Da	ate: 24-Aug-	-15
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority Sampling Point: SW15_T315_08							
Investigator(s): EKJ, SCB		Landform (hill	side, terrac	e, hummocks etc.):	Hillside		
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky		Slope: 17.6	% /10.0	e Elevation:			
Subregion : Cook Inlet Mountains	Lat.:			Long.:		Datum: WG	S84
Soil Map Unit Name:				NWI class	sification: Up	pland	
	significantly naturally pr	v disturbed? oblematic?	(If nee	(If no, explain in ormal Circumstances ded, explain any answ , transects, impo	" present? wers in Rema		)
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?       Yes ●       No ○         Hydric Soil Present?       Yes ○       No ●         Wetland Hydrology Present?       Yes ○       No ●         Remarks:	)		the Sam thin a W	pled Area etland? <sup>Y</sup>	res 🔿 No 🖲	)	
VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. Lis	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test wo Number of Dominant			
Tree Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	That are OBL, FACW		2	(A)
2.				Total Number of Dom Species Across All St		2	(B)
3.				Percent of dominant S		<u> </u>	(2)
4.				That Are OBL, FACW		100.0%	(A/B)
5.				Prevalence Index w	orksheet:		
Total Cover:	0			Total % Cover		tiply by:	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species	x	1 = 0	
1. Betula nana	40	$\checkmark$	FAC	FACW Species	s <u>12</u> x	2 = 24	_
2. Vaccinium uliginosum	25	$\checkmark$	FAC	FAC Species	94 X	3 = 282	
3. Empetrum nigrum	20		FAC	FACU Species	<u>1.1</u> ×	4 = 4.400	
4. Rhododendron tomentosum	10		FACW	UPL Species	<u> </u>	5 =0	-
5 Vaccinium vitis idaga	5		FAC				

3.	Empetrum nigrum	20		FAC	FACU Species <u>1.1</u> x 4 = <u>4.400</u>
4.	Rhododendron tomentosum	10		FACW	UPL Species x 5 =
5.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	5		FAC	Column Totals: <u>107.1</u> (A) <u>310.4</u> (B)
6.	Salix pulchra	2		FACW	
7.	Cassiope tetragona	1		FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.898</u>
8.		0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.		0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
10.		0			✓ Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0
Her		<u>103</u> 20% of	Total Cover:	20.6	Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1.	Cornus suecica	2		FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)
2.	Calamagrostis canadensis	1		FAC	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3.	Carex bigelowii	1		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4.	Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	0.1		UPL	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m
5.		0			Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes
6.		0			(Where applicable)
		0			% Bare Ground
8.		0			Total Cover of Bryophytes 60
9.		0			
10.		0			Hydrophytic
	Total Cover:		Vegetation		
	50% of Total Cover: <u>2.05</u>	20% of 1	Fotal Cover:	0.82	Present? Yes  No

Remarks: low open birch-ericaceous shrub with lots of vaculi, downslope from stand of taller (but not quite tall) birch with 10-20% dead stems. Less than 5% total cover in herb stratum, thus no herb species considered dominant.

Profile Description	ion: (Describe to the depth needed to doc Matrix			cument the ind		firm the abs ox Featu		ators)	_	
(inches)	Color (moist)		%	Color (m	Color (moist)		Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks
0-4			100						Fibric Organics	
4-5	10YR	4/2	100						Silt Loam	leached
5-13	7.5YR	3/3	70	2.5YR	2.5/2	30		М	Sandy Loam	cryoturbation? 7.5YR3/3 more sandy. cobbles & grvl
13-17	7.5YR	2.5/3	100						Sandy Loam	semi ang cobbles and gravel
									·	
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix									
Hydric Soil Indicators:       Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils. <sup>3</sup> Histosol or Histel (A1)       Alaska Color Change (TA4) <sup>4</sup> Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder         Histic Epipedon (A2)       Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)       Underlying Layer         Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)       Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue       Other (Explain in Remarks)         Thick Dark Surface (A12)       3 One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, and an appropriate landscape position must be present         Alaska Redox (A14)       4 Give details of color change in Remarks										
Restrictive Laye Type: Depth (inch	,	:							Hydric Soil Presen	nt? Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Remarks: no hydric soil in	idicators.									

## HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicat	:ors:		Secondary Indicators (two or more are required)					
Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient)					Water Stained Leaves (B9)			
Surface Water (A1)				∉ (B7)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface	e (B8)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)			
Saturation (A3)			Marl Deposits (B15)		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			
Water Marks (B1)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Salt Deposits (C5)			
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Drift Deposits (B3)			Other (Explain in Remarks)		Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)					Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Iron Deposits (B5)					Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)					FAC-neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present?     Yes     No     Depth (inches):     Wetland Hye				Wetland Hydr	ology Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $oldsymbol{igodol}$			
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	$VAC \setminus (NO \setminus \Psi)$ Donth (inches)							
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:								
Remarks:								
no wetland hydrology indicator	rs							
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i								