## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	E	3orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 21-Aug-15
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW15_T313_06
Investigator(s): BAB		Landform (hills	side, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Depressions
Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave				° Elevation:
Subregion : Cook Inlet Mountains	Lat.:			Long.: Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:	-			NWI classification: PUBH
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti	me of vear	ro Yes	• No (	(If no, explain in Remarks.)
		ly disturbed?	Are "N	lormal Circumstances" present? Yes  No
	_	roblematic?		eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show		npling point i	locations	ransects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes   No   No		lo l	the Com	l. d Aug.
Hydric Soil Present? Yes   No C				ıpled Area /etland? Yes ◉ No ◯
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes   No C	)	Wit	thin a W	etiand? les o no o
Remarks:				
VECETATION Has scientific names of plants Li	ا معالحه ا	!!n +ho #	-1-4	
<b>VEGETATION</b> -Use scientific names of plants. Li	st all spe	ecies in the p	JIOT.	
	Absolute		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:  Number of Dominant Species
Tree Stratum  1.	% Cover	Species?	Status	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0(A)
				Total Number of Dominant
				Species Across All Strata: 0 (B)
				Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)
5.	_			
Total Cover	<u> </u>	_		Prevalence Index worksheet:  Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	6 of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species 3.2 x 1 = 3.2
				FACW Species 0 x 2 = 0
1				FAC Species x 3 =
				FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0
1				UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 3.2 (A) 3.2 (B)
6.	-			
7.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.000
8.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.				☐ Dominance Test is > 50%
10.				✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0
Total Cover		· -f-Tatal Covers		Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	% of Total Cover:		Remarks or on a separate sheet)
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  1. Nuphar polysepala	0 20%	% of Total Cover:	OBL	Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  1. Nuphar polysepala 2. Sparganium angustifolium	0 20% 2 1	% of Total Cover:	OBL OBL	Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  1. Nuphar polysepala 2. Sparganium angustifolium 3. Potamogeton alpinus	2 2 1 0.1	% of Total Cover:	OBL OBL	Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  1. Nuphar polysepala 2. Sparganium angustifolium 3. Potamogeton alpinus 4. Carex aquatilis	2 2 1 0.1 0.1	of Total Cover:	OBL OBL	Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
Herb Stratum  1. Nuphar polysepala 2. Sparganium angustifolium 3. Potamogeton alpinus 4. Carex aquatilis 5.	0 209 2 1 0.1 0.1 0	of Total Cover:	OBL OBL	Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  Cover of Wetland Bryophytes
Herb Stratum  1. Nuphar polysepala 2. Sparganium angustifolium 3. Potamogeton alpinus 4. Carex aquatilis 5. 6.	0 209 2 1 0.1 0.1 0 0	% of Total Cover:	OBL OBL	Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)
Herb Stratum  1. Nuphar polysepala 2. Sparganium angustifolium 3. Potamogeton alpinus 4. Carex aquatilis 5. 6. 7.	0 209 2 1 0.1 0.1 0 0 0	% of Total Cover:	OBL OBL	Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  Bare Ground  99
Herb Stratum  1. Nuphar polysepala 2. Sparganium angustifolium 3. Potamogeton alpinus 4. Carex aquatilis 5. 6. 7.	0 209 2 1 0.1 0.1 0 0 0 0	% of Total Cover:	OBL OBL	Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)
Herb Stratum  1. Nuphar polysepala 2. Sparganium angustifolium 3. Potamogeton alpinus 4. Carex aquatilis 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	0 209 2 1 0.1 0.1 0 0 0 0	% of Total Cover:	OBL OBL	Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground  99  Total Cover of Bryophytes  0
Herb Stratum  1. Nuphar polysepala 2. Sparganium angustifolium 3. Potamogeton alpinus 4. Carex aquatilis 5. 6. 7.	2 209 2 1 0.1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3.2		OBL OBL OBL	Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  Bare Ground

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW15\_T313\_06 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) **Redox Features** Depth <u>Loc</u> 2 (inches) Color (moist) Color (moist) Type <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:3 **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) ☐ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) Histic Epipedon (A2) Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue ✓ Other (Explain in Remarks) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Thick Dark Surface (A12) <sup>3</sup> One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, Alaska Gleved (A13) and an appropriate landscape position must be present Alaska Redox (A14) <sup>4</sup> Give details of color change in Remarks Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15) Restrictive Layer (if present): Yes ● No ○ Type: **Hydric Soil Present?** Depth (inches): Remarks: inundated pond, assume hydric soil. **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (two or more are required) Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient) Water Stained Leaves (B9) ✓ Surface Water (A1) Drainage Patterns (B10) ✓ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) High Water Table (A2) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Saturation (A3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Marl Deposits (B15) Water Marks (B1) Salt Deposits (C5) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks) Geomorphic Position (D2) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Iron Deposits (B5) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5) Field Observations: Yes ● No ○ Surface Water Present? Depth (inches): 36 Yes O No • Yes ● No ○ Water Table Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes ○ No ● Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe)

Alaska Version 2.0

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

unsure of pond depth. rooted vegetation (nuphar) indicates that pond margins less than 2m.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers