## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	_ Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sa	ampling Date: 21-Aug-15	
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Sampling	Point: <b>SW15_T302_09</b>	
Investigator(s): GVF	Landform (hill	side, terrace, hummocks etc.):	lillside	
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	Slope: 32.4	% / 18.0 ° Elevation:		
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat	.:	Long.:		
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI classific	ation: PSS4/3B	
	rear? Yes antly disturbed? y problematic?	No (If no, explain in R Are "Normal Circumstances" pi (If needed, explain any answer	resent? Yes 🔍 No 🔿	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing s	ampling point	locations, transects, importa	nt features, etc.	
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	ls	the Sampled Area		

within a Wetland?

Yes  $\bullet$  No  $\bigcirc$ 

Remarks:

Hydric Soil Present?

Wetland Hydrology Present?

## **VEGETATION** - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

Yes 💿 No 🔿

Yes 💿 No 🔿

		Absolute	Dominant	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
		% Cover	Species?		Number of Dominant Species
1.	Picea mariana	5	$\checkmark$	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>8</u> (A)
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 8 (B)
3.		0			Percent of dominant Species
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: $100.0\%$ (A/B)
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
	Total Cover:	5			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum50% of Total Cover:	2. <u>5</u> 20% o	of Total Cover:	1	OBL Species $0.1 \times 1 = 0.1$
1.	Picea mariana	25	$\checkmark$	FACW	FACW Species 47.2 x 2 = 94.4
2.	Vaccinium uliginosum	10	$\checkmark$	FAC	FAC Species44 x 3 =132
3.	Rhododendron tomentosum	10	$\checkmark$	FACW	FACU Species <u>0.1</u> x 4 = <u>0.400</u>
4.	Rhododendron groenlandicum	10	$\checkmark$	FAC	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0
5.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	5		FAC	Column Totals: <u>91.4</u> (A) <u>226.9</u> (B)
6.	Betula nana	5		FAC	
7.	Empetrum nigrum	5		FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.482</u>
8.	Alnus viridis ssp. crispa	3		FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.	Vaccinium oxycoccos	0.1		OBL	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
10.	Salix pulchra	0.1		FACW	✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0
Total Cover: 73.2					Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in
<u>Herb Stratum</u> 50% of Total Cover: <u>36.6</u> 20% of Total Cover:				: 14.64	Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1.	Rubus chamaemorus	5	$\checkmark$	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)
2.	Carex bigelowii	3	$\checkmark$	FAC	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3.	Equisetum sylvaticum	3	$\checkmark$	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4.	Petasites frigidus	2		FACW	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m
5.	Pedicularis labradorica	0.1		FACW	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes
6.	Orthilia secunda	0.1		FACU	(Where applicable)
7.		0			% Bare Ground _3
-		0			Total Cover of Bryophytes
9.		0			
		0			Hydrophytic
	Total Cover:	13.2			Vegetation
	50% of Total Cover:	5. <u>6</u> 20% o	of Total Cover:	2.64	Present? Yes $\bullet$ No $\bigcirc$
Dom	arks: < 50% tradicize picmar, ephagnum and feathern	acc carnot			

% tree size picmar. sphagnum and feathermoss carpet.

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)          Matrix       Redox Features												
Depth Color (moist) %		Color (m	Color (moist) Type <sup>1</sup>			Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks				
0-5							Type	LUC	Fibric Organics			
5-11									Hemic Organics			
11-22	10YR	2/2	100					-	Loam	high organic content and inclusions		
22-24	10Y	4/1	90	7.5YR	3/3	10	С	PL	Sandy Clay Loam			
										-		
		· ·				-						
			······· ·									
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor			RM=Redu	ced Matrix	<sup>2</sup> Location	· Pl =Por	e Linina RC	=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix			
		Depletion	. KH-Redu				c Hydric So					
Hydric Soil I							4	_				
	Histel (A1)							V	<ul> <li>Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer</li> </ul>			
Histic Epip	edon (A2) Sulfide (A4)				Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)         Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue				Other (Explain in Remar			
	Sunde (A4) Surface (A12	2)				101 2.51 1	luc					
Alaska Gle	-	<u> </u>							mary indicator of wetland	hydrology,		
Alaska Rec				and an	appropriate	e landscap	pe position r	nust be pr	esent			
	yed Pores (A1	15)		<sup>4</sup> Give d	letails of co	lor chang	e in Remark	s				
Restrictive Laye	· · · · ·											
	ly clay loam,		nst						Hydric Soil Present	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔿		
Depth (inch		Seasonai m	030						riyune son riesen			
Remarks:	, .											
Kernarks.												
	0)/											
HYDROLO Wetland Hydi		ators:							Secondary Ind	icators (two or more are required)		
Primary Indica			t)							ined Leaves (B9)		
Surface W				Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)					Drainage Patterns (B10)			
✓ High Wate	( )						ncave Surfac		,			
Saturation					rl Deposits			()	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			
U Water Mar					drogen Sul	• •	(C1)		Salt Deposits (C5)			
	Deposits (B2)	)							Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Drift Depo	sits (B3)			Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Other (Explain in Remarks)				Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Algal Mat	or Crust (B4)					Shallow Aquitard (D3)						
_	Iron Deposits (B5)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)								
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6	)							✓ FAC-neutr	al Test (D5)		
Field Observa	tions:		_									
Surface Water	Present?	Yes 🤇	) No 🖲	De	pth (inche	5):						
Water Table P	resent?	Yes 🤆	<b>No</b> O	De	pth (inches	5): 11		Wetla	nd Hydrology Preser	nt? Yes $ullet$ No $igcap$		
Saturation Pre (includes capil		Yes 🤄	No ○	De	epth (inches	5): 8						

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Remarks:

D1-stunted picmar. D3-sandy clay loam and seasonal frost.