WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling Da	te: 20-Aug-15
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority	Sampling Point:	SW15_T301_05
Investigator(s): SLI, ATH	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hillside	
Local relief (concave, convex, none): none	Slope: 9.0 % / 5.1 ° Elevation:	
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.:	Long.:	Datum: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name:	NWI classification: PS	S1B
		Yes 🖲 No 🔿 ks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sa	ampling point locations, transects, important feature	es, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ● No ○ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ● No ○	Is the Sampled Area	

Hydric Soil Present?		No \bigcirc	Is the Sampled Area	Yes 🖲 No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	No \bigcirc	within a Wetland?	fes 🖲 no 🖯	
Description of the					

Remarks: black and white spruce woodland with low open birch-ericaceous shrub understory.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

		A 1-	solute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tre	e Stratum		Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1.	Picea glauca		10	\checkmark	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)	
2.	Picea mariana		5	\checkmark	FACW	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)	
3.			0			Percent of dominant Species	
4.			0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)	
5.			0			Prevalence Index worksheet:	
	Total Co	ver:	15			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	7.5	20%	of Total Cover:	3	OBL Species x 1 =	
1.	Betula nana		20	\checkmark	FAC	FACW Species <u>17.1</u> x 2 = <u>34.20</u>	
2.	Vaccinium uliginosum		20	\checkmark	FAC	FAC Species x 3 =	
3.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea		10		FAC	FACU Species <u>15</u> x 4 = <u>60</u>	
4.	Empetrum nigrum		10		FAC	UPL Species x 5 =	
5.	Rhododendron tomentosum		7		FACW	Column Totals: 109.1 (A) 325.2 (B)	
6.	Picea glauca		5		FACU		
7.	Picea mariana		2		FACW	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.981</u>	
8.	Salix pulchra		0.1		FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
9.			0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%	
			0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0	
Total Cover: 74.1					Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in		
Her	b Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	37.0	<u>5</u> 20%		14.82	Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
1.	Carex bigelowii		15	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)	
2.	Rubus chamaemorus		3		FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must	
3.	Bistorta plumosa		2		FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
4.			0			Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>	
5.			0			% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes	
6.			0			(Where applicable)	
7.			0			% Bare Ground _5	
8.			0			Total Cover of Bryophytes90	
9.			0				
10.			0			Hydrophytic	
Total Cover:20					Vegetation		
	50% of Total Cover:	10	20%	of Total Cover:	4	Present? Yes No	
Rem	Remarks: robust picgla upslope, just outside of plot.						

SOIL

Profile Descript	needed to doo	cument the ind		nfirm the ab dox Featu		cators)					
(inches) Color (moist) %		%	Color (m	%	% Type ¹	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks			
0-4									Peat		
4-6									Mucky Peat		
6-7	10YR	2/2	100						Clay Loam		
7-16	5Y	4/2	85	10YR	4/4	15	C	PL	Clay Loam		
									- <u> </u>		
									=		
				-		·					
·					- <u></u>						
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration. D	=Depletion	n. RM=Redu				-		annel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicat	ors for Pro	oblemati [,]	ic Hydric S	oils: ³			
Histosol o	r Histel (A1)				ka Color Ch	5 (,		Alaska Gleyed Without Hu	e 5Y or Redder	
_	edon (A2)				ka Alpine sv		-	Г	Underlying Layer	`	
	Sulfide (A4)			🔄 Alasł	ka Redox W	/ith 2.5Y F	Hue		☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	5))	
	Surface (A12)	<u>2)</u>		³ One ir	ndicator of	hydrophy	tic vegetatio	on, one prir	mary indicator of wetland hy	rdroloay,	
Alaska Gle					appropriate						
Alaska Rei	dox (A14) eyed Pores (A1	15)		⁴ Give c	details of co	olor chang	je in Remar	ks			
Restrictive Lay	,										
	loam, season	al frost							Hydric Soil Present?	y Yes ◉ No ◯	
Depth (incl	1es): 0, 10										
Remarks:									~~		
Subangular gra once it's remov				ic soils, very	difficult to	get good	profile. Pit	continually	fills with liquified soil, the b	ottom portion of which firms up	
			5								
HYDROLO	GY										
Wetland Hyd	rology Indic	ators:							Secondary Indica	ators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indica		is sufficier	<u>nt)</u>							ed Leaves (B9)	
	Surface Water (A1)								atterns (B10)		
	High Water Table (A2) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)						ice (B8)		izospheres along Living Roots (C3)		
Saturation (A3)											
	U Water Marks (B1)					. ,		Salt Deposits (C5)			
	Sediment Deposits (B2)					. ,		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Drift Dep	. ,			L] Ut	ther (Explain	n in Rema	arks)			Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)											

Field	Observations:	
гіеіц	Obsel valions:	

Iron Deposits (B5)

Surface Soil Cracks (B6)

Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes \bigcirc	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes \bigcirc	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes 🖲	No \bigcirc	Depth (inches): 4	

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Remarks:

Unsure if thixotrophic soils count as water table? Pit continually fills with liquified soil. D3-clay loam, seasonal frost.

Yes 💿 No 🔾

Microtopographic Relief (D4)

FAC-neutral Test (D5)