WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

| Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project | Borough/City: | Matanuska-Susitna Borough | Sampling Date: 20-Aug-15 | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority | | San | npling Point: SW15_T300_06 | | | | | | | |
| Investigator(s): BAB | Landform (hills | Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hillside | | | | | | | | |
| Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky | Slope: 36.3 | % / 20.0 ° Elevation: | - | | | | | | | |
| Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains | Lat.: | Long.: | Datum: WGS84 | | | | | | | |
| Soil Map Unit Name: | | NWI cla | assification: Upland | | | | | | | |
| Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) | | | | | | | | | | |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showin | ig sampling point | locations, transects, imp | portant features, etc. | | | | | | | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 🔍 No 🔾 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hydric Soil Present? Yes O No 🖲 | | the Sampled Area | | | | | | | | |
| Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes \bigcirc No $oldsymbol{igodol}$ | wi | thin a Wetland? | Yes 🔾 No 🖲 | | | | | | | |

Remarks:

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

| ٨٨ | | Absolute Dominant In | | Indicator | Dominance Test worksheet: | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| Tree Stratum | | | Cover | Species? | Status | Number of Dominant Species | | |
| 1. | Picea mariana | | 5 | \checkmark | FACW | That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>7</u> (A) | | |
| 2. | | | 0 | | | Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 7 (B) | | |
| 3. | | | 0 | | | Percent of dominant Species | | |
| 4. | | | 0 | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B) | | |
| 5. | | | 0 | | | Prevalence Index worksheet: | | |
| | Total Cover: | | 5 | | | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: | | |
| Sap | ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cov | ver: <u>2.5</u> | 20% | of Total Cover: | 1 | OBL Species x 1 = | | |
| 1. | Betula glandulosa | | 20 | \checkmark | FAC | FACW Species 28 x 2 = 56 | | |
| 2. | Vaccinium uliginosum | | 15 | \checkmark | FAC | FAC Species <u>68</u> x 3 = <u>204</u> | | |
| 3. | Vaccinium vitis-idaea | | 15 | \checkmark | FAC | FACU Species <u>6</u> x 4 = <u>24</u> | | |
| 4. | Picea mariana | | 15 | \checkmark | FACW | UPL Species x 5 = | | |
| 5. | Rhododendron tomentosum | | 8 | | FACW | Column Totals: 102 (A) 284 (B) | | |
| 6. | Betula occidentalis | | 5 | | FAC | | | |
| 7. | Spiraea stevenii | | 3 | | FACU | Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.784</u> | | |
| 8. | Rosa acicularis | | 3 | | FACU | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: | | |
| 9. | Empetrum nigrum | | 3 | | FAC | ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% | | |
| 10. | | | 0 | | | ✓ Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0 | | |
| Total Cover: 87 Morphological Adaptations (Plovide supporting of | | | | | | | | |
| Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 43.5 | | | 5 20% | of Total Cover: | 17.4 | Remarks or on a separate sheet) | | |
| 1. | Equisetum sylvaticum | | 8 | \checkmark | FAC | Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain) | | |
| 2. | Carex bigelowii | | 2 | \checkmark | FAC | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must | | |
| 3. | | | 0 | | | be present, unless disturbed or problematic. | | |
| | | | 0 | | | Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> | | |
| 5. | | | 0 | | | % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes | | |
| 6. | | | 0 | | | (Where applicable) | | |
| 7. | | | 0 | | | % Bare Ground _5 | | |
| 8. | | | 0 | | | Total Cover of Bryophytes85 | | |
| 9. | | | 0 | | | | | |
| | | | 0 | | | Hydrophytic | | |
| | | al Cover: | 10 | | | Vegetation Present? Yes • No · | | |
| | 50% of Total Cov | /er: <u>5</u> | 20% | of Total Cover: | 2 | Present? Yes No | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | |

| | on: (Describe to | the depth ne Matrix | eded to doo | ument the ind | | nfirm the ab | | ators) | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|-------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Depth (inches) | Color (mo | | | | olor (moist) | | Type ¹ | Loc_2 | Texture | Remarks | |
| 0-4 | | | | | , | <u>%</u> T | | | Fibric Organics | Oi | |
| 4-5 | | | | | | | | | Hemic Organics | Oe | |
| 5-6 | | | | | | | | - | Sapric Organics | Oa | |
| 6-13 | 10YR | 3/3 | 65 | 10YR | 3/4 | 35 | | М | Loamy Sand | Bw | |
| 13-14.5 | 10YR | 4/2 | 100 | | | | | | Loamy Sand | | |
| 14.5-18 | | | | | | | | | Loamy Sand | | |
| | 2.51 | 6/1 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ¹ Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hydric Soil Ir | ndicators: | | | | | | C Hydric So | oils: | 7 | | |
| | Histel (A1) | | | | Alaska Color Change (TA4) | | | | Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer | | |
| Histic Epip | | | | | | | | | Other (Explain in Remarks) | | |
| | Sulfide (A4) | 、 | | | ка кедох у | vith 2.5Y F | lue | | | NS) | |
| | Surface (A12) |) | | ³ One i | ndicator of | hydrophyt | ic vegetatio | n, one prir | mary indicator of wetland h | nydrology, | |
| Alaska Gle | | | | | | | e position r | | | | |
| Alaska Red | ved Pores (A14) | 5) | | ⁴ Give of | details of co | olor change | e in Remark | S | | | |
| | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Restrictive Laye | er (if present): | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | :? Yes 🔾 No 🖲 | | | | | |
| Depth (inches): | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| no hydric soil in | dicators obser | rved | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HYDROLO | GY | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetland Hydr | ology Indica | ators: | | | | | | | Secondary Indi | icators (two or more are required) | |
| Primary Indicat | tors (any one | is sufficient |) | | | | | | Water Stai | ined Leaves (B9) | |
| Surface W | ater (A1) | | | Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) | | | | ry (B7) | Drainage I | Patterns (B10) | |
| High Wate | er Table (A2) | | | Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) | | | | ce (B8) | Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) | | |
| Saturation | Marl Deposits (B15) | | | | | Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) | | | | | |
| Water Marks (B1) | | | | | | (C1) | | Salt Deposits (C5) | | | |
| Sediment Deposits (B2) | | | | | | | Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) | | | | |
| Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks) | | | | | | Geomorphic Position (D2) | | | | | |
| Algal Mat or Crust (B4) | | | | | | Shallow Aquitard (D3) | | | | | |
| Iron Deposits (B5) | | | | | | | Microtopographic Relief (D4) | | | | |
| □ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Field Observa | tions: | _ | _ | | | | | | | | |
| Surface Water | Present? | Yes \bigcirc | No 🖲 | De | epth (inche | s): | | | | | |
| Water Table P | resent? | Yes $\mathbb C$ | No 🖲 | De | epth (inche | s): | | Wetla | nd Hydrology Presen | nt? Yes 🔿 No 🖲 | |
| Saturation Pre (includes capil | | Yes \bigcirc | No 🖲 | De | epth (inche | s): | | | | | |

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Remarks:

Only one secondary indicator observed