WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 22-Aug-15					
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority	Sampling Point: SW15_T207_07					
Investigator(s): SLI, ATH	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Terrace					
Local relief (concave, convex, none):	Slope: 0.0 % / 0.0 ° Elevation:					
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.:	Long.: Datum: WGS84					
Soil Map Unit Name:	NWI classification: PSS4/1B					
	In? Yes ● No ○ (If no, explain in Remarks.) Idy disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○ problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sa	mpling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?Yes No Hydric Soil Present?Yes No	Is the Sampled Area					

within a Wetland?

Yes 🖲 No 🔾

1	
Rem	arks.

Wetland Hydrology Present?

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

Yes 💿 No 🔿

		Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tre	e Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1.	Picea mariana	10	\checkmark	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:6 (A)		
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)		
3.					Percent of dominant Species		
4.					That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)		
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
	Total Cover	10			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	5 20%	of Total Cover:	2	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$		
1.	Picea mariana	20	\checkmark	FACW	FACW Species 49 x 2 = 98		
2.	Betula nana	10	\checkmark	FAC	FAC Species <u>54.1</u> x 3 = <u>162.3</u>		
3.	Vaccinium uliginosum	10	\checkmark	FAC	FACU Species 0.1 x 4 = 0.400		
4.	Rhododendron tomentosum	10	\checkmark	FACW	UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$		
5.	Empetrum nigrum	7		FAC	Column Totals: 103.2 (A) 260.7 (B)		
6.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	7		FAC			
7.		_		FACW	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.526</u>		
8.					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
					✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
		0			✓ Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0		
	Total Cover				Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in		
Her	b Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	34.5 20%		13.8	Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1.	Carex bigelowii	20	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)		
2.	Petasites frigidus	3		FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
3.	Rubus chamaemorus	1		FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4.	Agrostis scabra	0.1		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m		
5.	Orthilia secunda	0.1		FACU	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes		
6.		0			(Where applicable)		
		-			% Bare Ground _7		
					Total Cover of Bryophytes80		
		0			Hydrophytic		
	Total Cover:	24.2			Vegetation		
	50% of Total Cover:	<u>12.1</u> 20%	of Total Cover:	4.84	Present? Yes \bullet No \bigcirc		
_							

Remarks: 5% lichen cover. Sphagnum spp dominate bryophyte layer.

Profile Descripti Depth	Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features							ators)			
(inches)	Color (mo	ist)	%	Color (r	noist)	%	Type ¹	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks	
0-3									Peat		
3-6									Mucky Peat		
6-10	· ·	,	,			-	- , ,		Muck		
10-20	2.5Y	4/2	50	5YR	3/4	20	C	PL	Silty Clay Loam		
10 20		1/2									
				5YR	4/4	10	C	PL			
				5YR	3/3	20	C	PL			
		Depletion	PM-Pedu	red Matrix	² Location:	PI - Por		-Poot Cha	annel M-Matrix		
¹ Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: ³											
Hydric Soil I							4	ms:			
	Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Change (TA4) Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)					Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer		
✓ Histic Epip	. ,				ka Redox W	•	,	Γ	Other (Explain in Remarks)		
	Sulfide (A4)				Ka Reuux W	IUI 2.51 F	lue			5)	
Alaska Gle	CSurface (A12)								mary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,	
Alaska Gle				and an	appropriate	landscap	e position n	nust be pr	esent		
	eyed Pores (A15	5)		⁴ Give	details of col	or change	e in Remark	S			
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):										
Type: Silty	,								Hydric Soil Present	Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Depth (inch	-								.,		
Remarks:											
HYDROLO											
Wetland Hyd	rology Indica	tors:								ators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indica	tors (any one i	s sufficient	t)							ned Leaves (B9)	
Surface W	. ,				undation Vis		-			atterns (B10)	
	er Table (A2)				barsely Vege		icave Surfac	e (B8)	_	nizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
Saturation	. ,				arl Deposits	• •				f Reduced Iron (C4)	
Water Ma					ydrogen Sulf				Salt Deposi		
	Deposits (B2)				ry-Season W		. ,			Stressed Plants (D1)	
	□ Drift Deposits (B3) □ Other (Explain in Remarks) □ Geomorphic Position (D2) □ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) ☑ Shallow Aquitard (D3)							()			
	or Crust (B4)								_		
	Iron Deposits (B5) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)							,			
Field Observa	. ,										
Surface Water		Yes (No 💿	л	epth (inches).					
Water Table P		-						Watle	nd Hydrology Present	t? Yes $ullet$ No $igodom$	
Saturation Pre				D	epth (inches):		wella	na nyarology Presen		
(includes capi		Yes 🖲	No O	D	epth (inches): 6					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Remarks:											
D3-silty clay loam at 10in, perching water.											
,,.	. , ,	5									