## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

A mrs II -	ct/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Borough	/City:	Denali Bo	rough Sampling Date: 31-Jul-13			
Applica	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority					Sampling Point: SW13_T205_05			
	igator(s): SLI, EAC		Landfo	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Flat					
	relief (concave, convex, none): tussocks		Slope:						
	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains	l at	_ '						
		Lat.	. 03.3000						
	ap Unit Name:			· · · ·	<u> </u>	NWI classification: PEM1F			
Are \	imatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this Vegetation □ , Soil □ , or Hydrology □ Vegetation □ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology □  MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sh	significa naturally	intly disturb / problema	bed? atic?	Are "N (If nee	(If no, explain in Remarks.)  ormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○  ded, explain any answers in Remarks.)  s, transects, important features, etc.			
	,	$\circ$		la 4	ha Cam	wlad Area			
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes   No	$\circ$		Is the Sampled Area					
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes   No	$\circ$		wit	hin a W	etland? Yes • No ·			
	narks: wet sedge bog  ETATION - Use scientific names of plants.	. List all s	pecies ir	n the p	lot.				
		Absolu	ite Dom	inant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tre	ee Stratum	% Cov		cies?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 6 (A)			
1.			0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:6(A)  Total Number of Dominant			
2.			0			Species Across All Strata:6(B)			
3.			0			Percent of dominant Species			
4.			0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)			
5.			0			Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	Total Cov		_			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sap	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	02	0% of Total	l Cover:	0	OBL Species38.1 x 1 =38.1			
1.	Andromeda polifolia (IAM)	0	.1		OBL	FACW Species 5 x 2 = 10			
2.			0			FAC Species x 3 =3			
3.						FACIL Cresies a 4 -			
		(	0			FACU Species0 x 4 =0			
4.			0			UPL Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0			
4. 5.			0 0 0			UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0			
			0 0 0 0			UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $44.1 \times 6$ (A) $51.1 \times 6$ (B)			
5.			0 0 0 0			UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0			
5. 6.			0 0 0 0 0			UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $44.1 \times 6 \times 51.1 \times 6 \times 6$			
5. 6. 7.			0 0 0 0 0 0			UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 44.1 (A) 51.1 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.159			
5. 6. 7. 8.			0			UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $44.1  (A)  51.1  (B)$ Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.159$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9.			0 0 0 0 1 1 20% of Tota			UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $44.1 \times 51.1 \times 51$			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Co.	ver: _0.3	0 0 0 0 1 20% of Tota	<b>✓</b>		UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $44.1 \times 51.1 \times 51$			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Total Cover:	ver:0.05	0 0 0 0 1 1 20% of Tota	<b>✓</b>		UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 44.1 (A) 51.1 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.159  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Total Cover:  50% of Total Cover:  Trichophorum caespitosum	/er:0.05	0 0 0 0 1 1 20% of Tota 5 5	<b>Y Y Y</b>	OBL	UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $44.1 \times 51.1 \times 51$			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> i	Total Cover:  Soft Stratum  Trichophorum caespitosum  Carex rariflora  Carex limosa  Carex atrofusca	/er:	5 5 5 6	<b>y y y y y</b>	OBL OBL FACW	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 44.1 (A) 51.1 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.159  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Hei</b> 1. 2. 3.	Total Cover:  Soft Stratum  Trichophorum caespitosum  Carex rariflora  Carex limosa  Carex atrofusca  Carex aquatilis	ver: _0.:	5 5 5 5	<b>Y Y Y</b>	OBL OBL FACW OBL	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 44.1 (A) 51.1 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.159  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.  Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Total Coverse Stratum 50% of Total Coverse Trichophorum caespitosum Carex rariflora Carex limosa Carex atrofusca Carex aquatilis Carex livida	ver: _0	5 5 5 5 5 5	<b>y y y y y</b>	OBL OBL FACW OBL OBL	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 44.1 (A) 51.1 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.159  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> er 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Total Cover:  Stratum  Trichophorum caespitosum  Carex rariflora  Carex limosa  Carex atrofusca  Carex aquatilis  Carex livida  Eriophorum angustifolium	ver: _0.:	5 5 5 5 5 6 6	<b>y y y y y</b>	OBL OBL FACW OBL OBL OBL	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 44.1 (A) 51.1 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.159  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)  ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Total Cover:  Trichophorum caespitosum Carex rariflora Carex limosa Carex atrofusca Carex aquatilis Carex livida Eriophorum angustifolium Eriophorum scheuchzeri	ver: _0.:	5 5 5 5 5 5	<b>y y y y y</b>	OBL OBL FACW OBL OBL OBL OBL	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 44.1 (A) 51.1 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.159  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Hea</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover:  Trichophorum caespitosum Carex rariflora Carex limosa Carex atrofusca Carex aquatilis Carex livida Eriophorum angustifolium Eriophorum scheuchzeri Tofieldia pusilla	ver: _0.:	5 5 5 5 5 6 6	<b>y y y y y</b>	OBL OBL FACW OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL FAC	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 44.1 (A) 51.1 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.159  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground  Total Cover of Bryophytes			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Total Cover:  Trichophorum caespitosum Carex rariflora Carex limosa Carex atrofusca Carex aquatilis Carex livida Eriophorum angustifolium Eriophorum scheuchzeri Tofieldia pusilla Carex rotundata	ver: _0	5 5 5 5 6 1 1	<b>y y y y y</b>	OBL OBL FACW OBL OBL OBL OBL	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 44.1 (A) 51.1 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.159  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Hea</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover:  Trichophorum caespitosum Carex rariflora Carex limosa Carex atrofusca Carex aquatilis Carex livida Eriophorum angustifolium Eriophorum scheuchzeri Tofieldia pusilla	ver: _0	5 5 5 5 6 1 1		OBL OBL FACW OBL OBL OBL OBL OBL FAC	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 44.1 (A) 51.1 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.159  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)  ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes			

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13\_T205\_05

		he depth need	ded to docum	nent the indicator or co	onfirm the ab		cators)		
Depth (inches)			%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	_Loc_2	Texture	Remarks
()	Color (moi	St)		Color (moist)		Туре	LOC	TOXCUTO	Kemarks
					- —				
								-	
-									
								-	
¹Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=	Depletion. F	RM=Reduce	ed Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location				nnel. M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators for Pr		4	oils: <sup>3</sup>		
Histosol or	r Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Cl	hange (TA	4)		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder
Histic Epip	edon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	swales (TA!	5)		Underlying Layer	
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	With 2.5Y H	Hue	✓	Other (Explain in Remark	(S)
Thick Dark	Surface (A12)								
Alaska Gle				<sup>3</sup> One indicator of and an appropriat				nary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,
Alaska Red				alia ali abbiobila	te idilusca <sub>l</sub>	je position i	must be pre	esent	
Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A15	)		<sup>4</sup> Give details of co	olor chang	e in Remark	(S		
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):								
Type:								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🏵 No 🔾
Depth (inch	nes):							•	
Remarks:							1		
HYDROLO	GY								
Wetland Hydi		ors:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)
Primary Indica	tors (any one is	sufficient)							ned Leaves (B9)
✓ Surface W	/ater (A1)			☐ Inundation V	/isible on A	erial Image	ry (B7)	☐ Drainage F	Patterns (B10)
☐ High Wate	er Table (A2)			Sparsely Veg		-	, , ,	Oxidized R	hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
Saturation	n (A3)			Marl Deposit			• •	Presence of	f Reduced Iron (C4)
☐ Water Ma	rks (B1)			Hydrogen Su	,	(C1)		Salt Depos	
	Deposits (B2)			☐ Dry-Season \					Stressed Plants (D1)
☐ Drift Depo				Other (Explain					ic Position (D2)
	or Crust (B4)					,			juitard (D3)
✓ Iron Depo	. ,							_	graphic Relief (D4)
. = .	oil Cracks (B6)							✓ FAC-neutra	
Field Observa								<u> </u>	
Surface Water		Yes	$_{No}$ $\bigcirc$	Depth (inche	es): 4				
Water Table P		Yes 🔾	_	Depth (inche	,		Wetlar	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes • No O
Saturation Pre (includes capil		Yes $\bigcirc$	No •	Depth (inche	•				
		ım gauge, n	nonitor wel	l, aerial photos, pre	vious inspe	ection) if ava	ailable:		
Domovicos									
Remarks:	agania abaan								
iron floc and bi	ogenic sneen								

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0