WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Projec	ct/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Borough/City:	Denali Bo	rough Sampling Date: 05-Aug-13	
Applic	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T201_08	
				side, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Swale	
	relief (concave, convex, none): concave		Slope:		° Elevation: 679	
	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.:	63.360978722	 '8	Long.: -148.946596622 Datum: NAD83	
	ap Unit Name:		00.000070722	.0	NWI classification: PEM1F	
	imatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti	imo of vo	or? Ves	● No ○	(If no, explain in Remarks.)	
			tly disturbed?		lormal Circumstances" present? Yes No	
		-	problematic?		eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)	
		•				
SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sho		mpling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.	
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No		le	tha Sam	nlad Araa	
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No			Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ● No ○		
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No		ļ.		Ottorio i	
Rem	arks: in GVEA ROW. connects to downslope pond. com	nmunity ir	ROW fairly leve	el, band of	calcan/arclat/petfri along step down to pond.	
VEG	ETATION - Use scientific names of plants. L	ist all sp	ecies in the	plot.		
		Absolut	e Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
	ee Stratum	% Cove	r Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)	
1.		0	_ 🔲		Total Number of Dominant	
2.		0	_		Species Across All Strata: (B)	
3.		0	_		Percent of dominant Species	
4.		0	_		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)	
5.	Tatal Comm		_ 🗀		Prevalence Index worksheet:	
6 -	Total Cover bling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:		– % of Total Cover:	0	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
Sal	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:		% of Total Cover.	0	OBL Species <u>76</u> x 1 = <u>76</u>	
	Salix pulchra	0.1		FACW	FACW Species 0.1 x 2 = 0.200	
	Betula glandulosa			FAC	FAC Species 0.1 x 3 = 0.300 FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0	
3.						
4. 5.		$-\frac{0}{0}$				
6.					Column Totals: <u>76.2</u> (A) <u>76.50</u> (B)	
7.					Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.004	
8.			-		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
9.			-		✓ Dominance Test is > 50%	
10.		0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0	
10.	Total Cover		_		Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in	
		r: 0.2	_	: 0.04	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
He	Total Cover	0.2	 0% of Total Cover	: 0.04 OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in	
He	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis	0.2 0.1 20	 0% of Total Cover 		Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must	
<u>He</u>	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis	0.2 0.1 20 40 30 5	of Total Cover	OBL OBL	 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) 	
1. 2. 3. 4.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Eriophorum angustifolium Comarum palustre Equisetum fluviatile	0.2 0.1 20 40 30 5	of Total Cover	OBL OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: _ Carex aquatilis Eriophorum angustifolium Comarum palustre Equisetum fluviatile	0.2 0.1 20 40 30 5 1	of Total Cover	OBL OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: _ Carex aquatilis Eriophorum angustifolium Comarum palustre Equisetum fluviatile	0.2 0.1 20 40 30 5 1 0	of Total Cover	OBL OBL	□ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: _ Carex aquatilis Eriophorum angustifolium Comarum palustre Equisetum fluviatile	0.2 0.1 20 40 30 5 1 0 0	of Total Cover	OBL OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) Bare Ground 90	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Eriophorum angustifolium Comarum palustre Equisetum fluviatile	0.2 0.1 20 40 30 5 1 0 0 0	of Total Cover	OBL OBL	□ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: _ Carex aquatilis Eriophorum angustifolium Comarum palustre Equisetum fluviatile	0.2 0.1 20 40 30 5 1 0 0 0	of Total Cover	OBL OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes 7	
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: _ Carex aquatilis Eriophorum angustifolium Comarum palustre Equisetum fluviatile	0.2 0.1 20 40 30 5 1 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover	OBL OBL		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Eriophorum angustifolium Comarum palustre Equisetum fluviatile Total Cover	0.2 0.1 20 40 30 5 1 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover	OBL OBL OBL	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) Bare Ground One of Bryophytes Total Cover of Bryophytes	

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13_T201_08 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) **Redox Features** Depth <u>Loc</u> 2 (inches) Color (moist) Color (moist) Type ¹ ¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, RC=Root Channel, M=Matrix Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:3 **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) ☐ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) Histic Epipedon (A2) Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue Under (Explain in Remarks) ✓ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Thick Dark Surface (A12) ³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, Alaska Gleved (A13) and an appropriate landscape position must be present Alaska Redox (A14) ⁴ Give details of color change in Remarks Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15) Restrictive Layer (if present): Yes ● No ○ Type: **Hydric Soil Present?** Depth (inches): Remarks: **HYDROLOGY** Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Secondary Indicators (two or more are required) Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient) Water Stained Leaves (B9) ✓ Surface Water (A1) Drainage Patterns (B10) ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) High Water Table (A2) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Saturation (A3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Marl Deposits (B15) Water Marks (B1) Salt Deposits (C5) ✓ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Sediment Deposits (B2) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks) Geomorphic Position (D2) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Shallow Aquitard (D3) ✓ Iron Deposits (B5) Microtopographic Relief (D4) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) ✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5) **Field Observations:** Yes ● No ○ Surface Water Present? Depth (inches): 8 Yes O No • Yes ● No ○ Water Table Present? Wetland Hydrology Present? Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes ○ No ● Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available: Remarks: surface water throughout community. iron floc and biogenic sheen. h2s odor when walking through community.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0