## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

	ct/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Borough/City:	Denali Bo	rough Sampling Date: 05-Aug-13			
oilaaA	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T201_07			
	igator(s): SLI. EAC		Landform (hills	side. terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Abandoned Beaver Complex			
	relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky		Slope:	% / 1.1				
	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains	l at ·	- · <u></u> 63.362835406		Long.: -148.945580959 Datum: NAD83			
	ap Unit Name:	Lut	03.302033400	1	NWI classification: PEM1Bb			
	·	· <b>.</b>	. O. Voo	No ○	<del></del>			
	imatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this t Vegetation $\Box$ , Soil $\Box$ , or Hydrology $\Box$	-			(If no, explain in Remarks.)			
		-	tly disturbed?		ormal Circumstances present:			
			oroblematic?	,	ded, explain any answers in Remarks.)			
SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sho	wing sa	mpling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.			
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes   No	$\supset$	_					
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes   No	$\supset$			npled Area			
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes   No		wi	thin a W	etland? Yes   No			
Rem	arks: Abandoned beaver complex - series of dams, loc			to be pond	s. Dams broken and overgrown, lodges abandoned (several			
	no longer in standing water, one in this saturated	d commun	nity ).					
VEGI	ETATION - Use scientific names of plants. L	ist all sn	ecies in the i	nlot.				
		Absolute		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tre	ee Stratum	% Cove		Status	Number of Dominant Species			
1.		0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)			
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)			
3.		0			Percent of dominant Species			
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:			
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	Total Cover	r: <u> </u>	_		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sap	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 209	% of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species5 x 1 =5			
1.	Betula glandulosa	15	<b>✓</b>	FAC	FACW Species 20 x 2 = 40			
2.	Salix pulchra	- — 5	<b>✓</b>	FACIAL	FAC Species 41.1 x 3 = 123.3			
3.				FACW				
	Spiraea stevenii	1	-	FACU	FACU Species <u>6.1</u> x 4 = <u>24.4</u>			
4.	Spiraca etovonii	1						
4. 5.	Spiraea stevenii	0.1		FACU	FACU Species 6.1 x 4 = 24.4			
	Spiraea stevenii Picea glauca	0.1		FACU	FACU Species 6.1 x 4 = 24.4  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 72.2 (A) 192.7 (B)			
5.	Spiraea stevenii Picea glauca	0.1		FACU	FACU Species 6.1 x 4 = 24.4  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0			
5. 6. 7. 8.	Spiraea stevenii Picea glauca	0.1 0 0 0 0		FACU	FACU Species $6.1$ $\times 4 = 24.4$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $72.2$ (A) $192.7$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $2.669$			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Spiraea stevenii Picea glauca	0.1 0 0 0 0 0		FACU	FACU Species $6.1$ $\times 4 = 24.4$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $72.2$ (A) $192.7$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $2.669$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%			
5. 6. 7. 8.	Spiraea stevenii Picea glauca	0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACU	FACU Species $6.1$ $\times 4 = 24.4$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $72.2$ (A) $192.7$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $2.669$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Spiraea stevenii Picea glauca  Total Cover	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACU	FACU Species $6.1$ $\times 4 = 24.4$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $72.2$ (A) $192.7$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $2.669$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Spiraea stevenii Picea glauca  Total Cover  50% of Total Cover:	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 21.1 10.55 20	of Total Cover	FACU FACU	FACU Species $6.1$ $\times 4 = 24.4$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $72.2$ (A) $192.7$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $2.669$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Spiraea stevenii Picea glauca  Total Cover  rb Stratum  Rubus arcticus (IAM)	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10.55 20 5	of Total Cover	FACU FACU  4.22 FACU	FACU Species $6.1$ $\times 4 = 24.4$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $72.2$ (A) $192.7$ (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = $2.669$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations $^1$ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation $^1$ (Explain)			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2.	Spiraea stevenii  Picea glauca  Total Cover  **Spiraea Stevenii  **Picea glauca  Total Cover:  So% of Total Cover:  Rubus arcticus (IAM)  Carex aquatilis	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover	FACU FACU  4.22 FACU OBL	FACU Species $6.1$ $\times 4 = 24.4$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $72.2$ (A) $192.7$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $2.669$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Hee</b> 1. 2.	Spiraea stevenii  Picea glauca  Total Cover  rb Stratum  Rubus arcticus (IAM)  Carex aquatilis  Calamagrostis canadensis	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10.55 20 5	of Total Cover	FACU FACU  4.22 FACU	FACU Species 6.1 x 4 = 24.4  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 72.2 (A) 192.7 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.669  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2.	Spiraea stevenii  Picea glauca  Total Cover  rb Stratum  Subus arcticus (IAM)  Carex aquatilis  Calamagrostis canadensis  Polemonium acutiflorum	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover	FACU FACU  4.22 FACU OBL FAC	FACU Species 6.1 x 4 = 24.4  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 72.2 (A) 192.7 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.669  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2. 3. 4.	Spiraea stevenii  Picea glauca  Total Cover  rb Stratum  Rubus arcticus (IAM)  Carex aquatilis  Calamagrostis canadensis  Polemonium acutiflorum  Equisetum arvense	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 5 5 20 1	of Total Cover	FACU FACU  4.22 FACU OBL FAC FAC	FACU Species 6.1 x 4 = 24.4  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 72.2 (A) 192.7 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.669  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)  ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Hee</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Spiraea stevenii  Picea glauca  Total Cover  rb Stratum  Rubus arcticus (IAM)  Carex aquatilis  Calamagrostis canadensis  Polemonium acutiflorum  Equisetum arvense	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover	FACU FACU  4.22 FACU OBL FAC FAC FAC	FACU Species 6.1 x 4 = 24.4  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 72.2 (A) 192.7 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.669  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Spiraea stevenii  Picea glauca  Total Cover  50% of Total Cover:  Rubus arcticus (IAM)  Carex aquatilis  Calamagrostis canadensis  Polemonium acutiflorum  Equisetum arvense  Rumex arcticus  Arctagrostis latifolia	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% of Total Cover	FACU FACU  4.22 FACU OBL FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACU Species 6.1 x 4 = 24.4  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 72.2 (A) 192.7 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.669  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)  ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Hee</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Spiraea stevenii  Picea glauca  Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover:  Rubus arcticus (IAM)  Carex aquatilis  Calamagrostis canadensis  Polemonium acutiflorum  Equisetum arvense  Rumex arcticus	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% of Total Cover	FACU FACU  4.22 FACU OBL FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACU Species 6.1 x 4 = 24.4  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 72.2 (A) 192.7 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.669  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)  ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground 80			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Spiraea stevenii Picea glauca  Total Cover 50% of Total Cover:  Rubus arcticus (IAM)  Carex aquatilis  Calamagrostis canadensis  Polemonium acutiflorum  Equisetum arvense  Rumex arcticus  Arctagrostis latifolia	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% of Total Cover	FACU FACU  4.22 FACU OBL FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACU Species 6.1 x 4 = 24.4  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 72.2 (A) 192.7 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.669  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground 80			
5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Spiraea stevenii  Picea glauca  Total Cover  50% of Total Cover:  Rubus arcticus (IAM)  Carex aquatilis  Calamagrostis canadensis  Polemonium acutiflorum  Equisetum arvense  Rumex arcticus  Arctagrostis latifolia	1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover	FACU FACU  4.22 FACU OBL FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACU Species 6.1 x 4 = 24.4  UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 72.2 (A) 192.7 (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.669  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground 80  Total Cover of Bryophytes 10			

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13 T201 07

JUIL									Sampling	Point: 3W13_12U1_U/	
	on: (Describe to	the depth n	eeded to doo	ument the inc		firm the abs		ators)			
Depth (inches)	Color (moist)		%			-	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks		
0-2	5YR	2.5/2	100	Coloi (II	ioist)	<u>%</u>	туре	LUC	Fibric Organics		
2-7	5GY	4/1	70	 2.5YR	4/6	30		 PL	Hemic Organics		
7-12		4/1	85	5YR				PL	Clay Loam		
7-12	IN	4/1	- 65	JIK	5/6	15		- FL	Clay Loan		
¹Type: C=Con	centration. D=	=Depletion	. RM=Redu	iced Matrix	<sup>2</sup> Location:	PL=Pore	e Lining. RC	=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil In	ndicators:			Indicat	ors for Pro	blematio	Hydric So	oils: <sup>3</sup>			
Histosol or	Histel (A1)			Alas	ka Color Cha	ange (TA4	1)4		Alaska Gleyed Without Hu	e 5Y or Redder	
Histic Epip	edon (A2)			Alas	ka Alpine sv	vales (TA5	5)		Underlying Layer		
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alas	ka Redox W	ith 2.5Y H	lue		Other (Explain in Remarks	5)	
Thick Dark	Surface (A12)	)		3 One i	adioatou of k	o duan but	ia vaaatatia		nary indicator of wetland hy	rdvology.	
Alaska Gle					appropriate					drology,	
✓ Alaska Red	` ,			4 Give	details of sol	or change	in Domark	·			
Alaska Gle	yed Pores (A1	5)		· Give (	details of co	or change	e iii Keiiidik	.5			
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):										
Type: clay	loam								<b>Hydric Soil Present?</b>	Yes 💿 No 🔾	
Depth (inch	es): 7										
Remarks:											
HYDROLO	GY										
Wetland Hydr	ology Indica	tors:							Secondary Indic	ators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indicat	tors (any one i	is sufficien	t)						Water Stain	ed Leaves (B9)	
Surface W	ater (A1)			☐ In	undation Vis	sible on A	erial Image	ry (B7)	☐ Drainage Pa	atterns (B10)	
✓ High Wate							ce (B8)	B8) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)			
Saturation (A3)				☐ Ma	Marl Deposits (B15)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		
Water Marks (B1)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)					Salt Deposits (C5)		
	Deposits (B2)			Dr	y-Season W	ater Table	e (C2)			Stressed Plants (D1)	
☐ Drift Depo	sits (B3)			☐ Ot	her (Explain	in Remai	rks)			Position (D2)	
Algal Mat	or Crust (B4)								✓ Shallow Aqı		
Iron Depo										raphic Relief (D4)	
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)								✓ FAC-neutral	Test (D5)	
Field Observa		(									
Surface Water	Present?		No 🖲		epth (inches	i):					
Water Table P	resent?	Yes 🧐	No C	De	epth (inches	): 8		Wetlar	nd Hydrology Present	:? Yes • No O	
Saturation Pre (includes capil		Yes 🤄	No O	De	epth (inches	): 4					
Describe Record		am gauge	, monitor v	ell, aerial p	hotos, previ	ous inspe	ction) if ava	ilable:			
Remarks:											

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0