## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

منامم۸	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	ŀ	Borough/City:	Denali Bo	rough Sampling Date: 05-Aug-13						
Applica	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority			-	Sampling Point: SW13_T201_03						
Investigator(s): SLI, EAC Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Kame											
	relief (concave, convex, none): convex		Slope:	% / 1.4							
Subred	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.:	63.366475104		Long.: -148.942061901 Datum: NAD83						
	ap Unit Name:		00.000+70104		NWI classification: Upland						
	matic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti	imo of voa	r2 Ves	● No ○	(If no, explain in Remarks.)						
		•	ly disturbed?		ormal Circumstances" present? Yes  No  No						
		•	roblematic?		ded, explain any answers in Remarks.)						
				·							
SUMI	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sho		npling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.						
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes   No C		la	tha Cam	nlad Area						
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No			thin a W	pled Area etland? Yes ◯ No ◉						
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No	)	WI	tnin a vv							
Rema	arks: kame (?) - dry betgla-lichen community										
VEGE	<b>ETATION</b> -Use scientific names of plants. L	ist all sp	ecies in the	plot.							
		Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:						
	e Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)						
	Picea glauca	3	. 📙	FACU	Total Number of Dominant						
2.					Species Across All Strata: (B)						
3.			. 📙		Percent of dominant Species						
4. 5.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)						
5.	Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet:						
		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:									
San				0.6	ODI Cassiss1 -						
			6 of Total Cover:		OBL Species 0 x1 = 0						
1.	Picea glauca	5		FACU	FACW Species 16 x 2 = 32						
1.	Picea glauca Betula glandulosa	55		FACU	FACW Species 16 x 2 = 32 FAC Species 111.1 x 3 = 333.3						
1. 2. 3.	Picea glauca Betula glandulosa Vaccinium uliginosum	5 55 30		FACU FAC	FACW Species 16 x 2 = 32  FAC Species 111.1 x 3 = 333.3  FACU Species 8.1 x 4 = 32.40						
1. 2. 3. 4.	Picea glauca Betula glandulosa Vaccinium uliginosum Empetrum nigrum	5 55 30 20		FACU FAC FAC	FACW Species       16       x 2 =       32         FAC Species       111.1       x 3 =       333.3         FACU Species       8.1       x 4 =       32.40         UPL Species       0       x 5 =       0						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Picea glauca Betula glandulosa Vaccinium uliginosum Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium vitis-idaea	5 55 30 20 3		FACU FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 16 x 2 = 32  FAC Species 111.1 x 3 = 333.3  FACU Species 8.1 x 4 = 32.40						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Picea glauca Betula glandulosa Vaccinium uliginosum Empetrum nigrum	5 55 30 20		FACU FAC FAC	FACW Species       16       x 2 =       32         FAC Species       111.1       x 3 =       333.3         FACU Species       8.1       x 4 =       32.40         UPL Species       0       x 5 =       0						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Picea glauca  Betula glandulosa  Vaccinium uliginosum  Empetrum nigrum  Vaccinium vitis-idaea  Salix pulchra  Rhododendron tomentosum	5 55 30 20 3 1		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 16       x 2 = 32         FAC Species 111.1       x 3 = 333.3         FACU Species 8.1       x 4 = 32.40         UPL Species 0       x 5 = 0         Column Totals: 135.2       (A) 397.7       (B)						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Picea glauca Betula glandulosa Vaccinium uliginosum Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Salix pulchra Rhododendron tomentosum	5 55 30 20 3 1 15		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 16						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Picea glauca  Betula glandulosa  Vaccinium uliginosum  Empetrum nigrum  Vaccinium vitis-idaea  Salix pulchra  Rhododendron tomentosum	5 55 30 20 3 1 15		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 16						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Picea glauca Betula glandulosa Vaccinium uliginosum Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Salix pulchra Rhododendron tomentosum	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW	FACW Species $16$ $\times 2 = 32$ FAC Species $111.1$ $\times 3 = 333.3$ FACU Species $8.1$ $\times 4 = 32.40$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $135.2$ (A) $397.7$ (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = $2.942$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations (Provide supporting data in						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Picea glauca  Betula glandulosa  Vaccinium uliginosum  Empetrum nigrum  Vaccinium vitis-idaea  Salix pulchra  Rhododendron tomentosum	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW	FACW Species $16$ $\times 2 = 32$ FAC Species $111.1$ $\times 3 = 333.3$ FACU Species $8.1$ $\times 4 = 32.40$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $135.2$ (A) $397.7$ (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = $2.942$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations $^1$ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her	Picea glauca  Betula glandulosa  Vaccinium uliginosum  Empetrum nigrum  Vaccinium vitis-idaea  Salix pulchra  Rhododendron tomentosum  Total Cover  50% of Total Cover:	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0 0 129 64.5 20		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW FACW FACW FAC	FACW Species $16$ $\times 2 = 32$ FAC Species $111.1$ $\times 3 = 333.3$ FACU Species $8.1$ $\times 4 = 32.40$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $135.2$ (A) $397.7$ (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = $2.942$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations $^1$ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation $^1$ (Explain)						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2.	Picea glauca  Betula glandulosa  Vaccinium uliginosum  Empetrum nigrum  Vaccinium vitis-idaea  Salix pulchra  Rhododendron tomentosum  Total Cover:  50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0 0 129 64.5 209 0.1 3		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW FACW FACW FAC	FACW Species 16  x 2 = 32  FAC Species 111.1  x 3 = 333.3  FACU Species 8.1  x 4 = 32.40  UPL Species 0  x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 135.2  (A) 397.7  (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.942  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Her</b> 1. 2. 3.	Picea glauca  Betula glandulosa  Vaccinium uliginosum  Empetrum nigrum  Vaccinium vitis-idaea  Salix pulchra  Rhododendron tomentosum  Total Cover:  50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii  Bistorta plumosa	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0 0 129 64.5 209 64.5 209 0.1		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW FACW FACW FAC	FACW Species $16$ $\times 2 = 32$ FAC Species $111.1$ $\times 3 = 333.3$ FACU Species $8.1$ $\times 4 = 32.40$ UPL Species $0$ $\times 5 = 0$ Column Totals: $135.2$ (A) $397.7$ (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = $2.942$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations $^1$ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation $^1$ (Explain)						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4.	Picea glauca  Betula glandulosa  Vaccinium uliginosum  Empetrum nigrum  Vaccinium vitis-idaea  Salix pulchra  Rhododendron tomentosum  Total Cover:  50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii  Bistorta plumosa	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0 0 129 64.5 20 0.1 3 0.1		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW FACW FACW FAC	FACW Species 16  x 2 = 32  FAC Species 111.1  x 3 = 333.3  FACU Species 8.1  x 4 = 32.40  UPL Species 0  x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 135.2  (A) 397.7  (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.942  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Picea glauca  Betula glandulosa  Vaccinium uliginosum  Empetrum nigrum  Vaccinium vitis-idaea  Salix pulchra  Rhododendron tomentosum  Total Cover:  50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii  Bistorta plumosa	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0 0 129 64.5 20 0.1 3 0.1 0		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW FACW FACW FAC	FACW Species 16  x 2 = 32  FAC Species 111.1  x 3 = 333.3  FACU Species 8.1  x 4 = 32.40  UPL Species 0  x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 135.2  (A) 397.7  (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.942  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 6.	Picea glauca Betula glandulosa Vaccinium uliginosum Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Salix pulchra Rhododendron tomentosum  Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Cornus suecica Carex bigelowii Bistorta plumosa	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0 0 129 64.5 20 0.1 3 0.1 0 0		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW FACW FACW FAC	FACW Species 16  x 2 = 32  FAC Species 111.1  x 3 = 333.3  FACU Species 8.1  x 4 = 32.40  UPL Species 0  x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 135.2  (A) 397.7  (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.942   Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Her</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Picea glauca Betula glandulosa Vaccinium uliginosum Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Salix pulchra Rhododendron tomentosum  Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Cornus suecica Carex bigelowii Bistorta plumosa	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0 0 129 64.5 20 0.1 3 0.1 0 0 0		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW FACW FACW FAC	FACW Species 16  x 2 = 32  FAC Species 111.1  x 3 = 333.3  FACU Species 8.1  x 4 = 32.40  UPL Species 0  x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 135.2  (A) 397.7  (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.942  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Picea glauca  Betula glandulosa  Vaccinium uliginosum  Empetrum nigrum  Vaccinium vitis-idaea  Salix pulchra  Rhododendron tomentosum  Total Cover:  50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii  Bistorta plumosa	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0 0 129 64.5 20 3 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW FACW FACW FAC	FACW Species 16  x 2 = 32  FAC Species 111.1  x 3 = 333.3  FACU Species 8.1  x 4 = 32.40  UPL Species 0  x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 135.2  (A) 397.7  (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.942   Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Hear</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Picea glauca  Betula glandulosa  Vaccinium uliginosum  Empetrum nigrum  Vaccinium vitis-idaea  Salix pulchra  Rhododendron tomentosum  Total Cover:  50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii  Bistorta plumosa	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0 0 129 64.5 20 3 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW FACW FACW FAC	FACW Species 16  x 2 = 32  FAC Species 111.1  x 3 = 333.3  FACU Species 8.1  x 4 = 32.40  UPL Species 0  x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 135.2  (A) 397.7  (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.942  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground  Total Cover of Bryophytes						
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Hear</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Picea glauca  Betula glandulosa  Vaccinium uliginosum  Empetrum nigrum  Vaccinium vitis-idaea  Salix pulchra  Rhododendron tomentosum  Total Cover:  50% of Total Cover:  Cornus suecica  Carex bigelowii  Bistorta plumosa	5 55 30 20 3 1 15 0 0 0 129 64.5 20 0.1 3 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW FACW FACW FACW FAC	FACW Species 16  x 2 = 32  FAC Species 111.1  x 3 = 333.3  FACU Species 8.1  x 4 = 32.40  UPL Species 0  x 5 = 0  Column Totals: 135.2  (A) 397.7  (B)  Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.942  Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%  ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0  Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)  1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m  % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  % Bare Ground						

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13\_T201\_03

Profile Descripti	on: (Describe to	the denth r	needed to doc	ument the indicator or co	nfirm the al	nsence of indic	ators)				
		Matrix	iccucu to ucc.		lox Feat		attisj				
Depth (inches)	Color (mo	oist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	_Loc_2	Texture	Remarks		
0-2	5YR	2.5/1	100					Silt Loam	some organic content		
2-4	7.5YR	4/2	100					Fine Sandy Loam	eluviation zone, broken horizon.		
4-8	2.5YR	3/2	100					Fine Sandy Loam	spodic horizon, wavy bound w H4		
8-14	2.5Y	5/3						Fine Sandy Clay Loam			
	2.51	3/3						Time Sanay Slay Esam	. ———		
								-			
									. ———		
¹Type: C=Con	centration. D	=Depletior	n. RM=Redu	ced Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location	n: PL=Por	re Lining. RC	=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators for Pr	oblemati	ic Hydric Sc	oils: <sup>3</sup>				
	Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Cl		4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder		
Histic Epip	` '			Alaska Alpine s	wales (TA	.5)		Underlying Layer			
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	Vith 2.5Y	Hue		Other (Explain in Remark	ks)		
☐ Thick Dark	Surface (A12	)		3.5							
Alaska Gle	yed (A13)			<ul> <li>One indicator of and an appropriat</li> </ul>				nary indicator of wetland hesent	nydrology,		
Alaska Red	lox (A14)										
☐ Alaska Gle	yed Pores (A1	5)		4 Give details of co	olor chang	je in Kemark	S				
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):										
Type:								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes O No 💿		
Depth (inch	nes):							•			
Remarks:							<u> </u>				
gravels through	out no hydric	soil indic	ators								
graveis unough	iout. no nyunc	. Son maice	2013.								
HYDROLO											
Wetland Hydi								Secondary Indicators (two or more are required)			
Primary Indica		is sufficier	it)						ined Leaves (B9)		
Surface W	. ,			☐ Inundation V		-	, , ,	_	Patterns (B10)		
	High Water Table (A2)			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)					Chizospheres along Living Roots (C3)		
	Saturation (A3)			Marl Deposits	` '	(04)			of Reduced Iron (C4)		
Water Mai				Hydrogen Su				☐ Salt Depos			
	Deposits (B2)			☐ Dry-Season \					Stressed Plants (D1)		
☐ Drift Depo				U Other (Explai	n in Rema	arks)			ic Position (D2)		
	or Crust (B4)								quitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Depo	` '								graphic Relief (D4) al Test (D5)		
Field Observa	oil Cracks (B6)							FAC-fieud	ar rest (D3)		
Surface Water		Vec	O No ●	Depth (inche	c).						
			No •		•		Matia.	nd Hadralana Drasan	it? Yes O No 💿		
Water Table P				Depth (inche	s):		wetiai	nd Hydrology Presen	it? Yes O No O		
Saturation Pre (includes capil		Yes	○ No •	Depth (inche	s):						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Sandan Sa											
Remarks:											
no wetland hydrology indicators											

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0