## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sa	ampling Date: 07-Jul-13
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Sampling	Point: SW13_T187_02
Investigator(s): JGK	Landform (hillsio	le, terrace, hummocks etc.):	owland
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	Slope: %	643 643	
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.:	62.8400634529	Long.: -148.17240297	9 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI classific	ation: Upland
	ar? Yes tly disturbed? problematic?	No (If no, explain in Re Are "Normal Circumstances" pr (If needed, explain any answers	esent? Yes 🔍 No 🔿
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sa	mpling point lo	cations, transects, importa	nt features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No O	la tha Campulad Area	
Hydric Soil Present?	$Yes \bigcirc$	No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	No O	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

## VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

			۵he	olute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum			Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1.	Picea glauca			15		FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A)
2.				0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
3.			_	0			Percent of dominant Species
4.				0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>66.7%</u> (A/B)
5.			-	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
		Total Cove	r: _	15			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sap	oling/Shrub Stratum	50% of Total Cover:	7.5	_ 20%	of Total Cover:	3	OBL Species x 1 =
1.	Betula nana			40	$\checkmark$	FAC	FACW Species 30 x 2 = 60
2.	Rhododendron tomentosum		_	30	$\checkmark$	FACW	FAC Species x 3 =285
3.	Vaccinium uliginosum			25		FAC	FACU Species <u>20.1</u> x 4 = <u>80.40</u>
4.	Vessinium vitis ideas			15		FAC	UPL Species x 5 =
5.	Energy at a second second		_	10		FAC	Column Totals: 145.1 (A) 425.4 (B)
6.	Rhododendron groenlandicum		_	5		FAC	
7.	Picea glauca			5		FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.932</u>
8.	Detula naceleskene			0.1		FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.				0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
				0			✓ Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0
		Total Cove		130			Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in
He	rb Stratum	50% of Total Cover:	65.05	_ 20%	of Total Cover:	26.02	Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1.			_	0			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
2.			_	0			<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
				0			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
				0			Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>
5.			_	0			% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes 0
6.			_	0			(Where applicable)
7.			_	0			% Bare Ground
8.			_	0			Total Cover of Bryophytes 60
				0			
				0			Hydrophytic
		Total Cove	r: _	0			Vegetation
	5	50% of Total Cover:	0	20%	of Total Cover:	0	Present? Yes  No
Ren	narks: Trace unkgrass Salix. Lic	chen 25%					

Depth	ion: (Describe to	Matrix				lox Featu			_			
(inches) Color (moist)		%	Color (I	moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	<u>Loc</u> <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks			
0-5									Fibric Organics			
5-6	. <u> </u>							<u>.</u>	Sapric Organics			
6-8	10YR	4/3	60	7.5YR	4/4	40	С	М	Silt Loam	Charcoal ash and fi	agments	
8-10.5	2.5Y	2.5/3	70	10YR	5/4	30	С	М	Fine Sandy Silt Loam	Ash also present		
10.5-16	10YR	4/3	70	10YR	5/6	30	С	М	Silty Gravel	Includes coarse an	gular cobbles 1-2 in di	
										-		
								-				
	. <u> </u>						-					
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D	=Depletior	n. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix	<sup>2</sup> Location	1: PL=Por	e Lining. R	C=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indica	tors for Pr	oblemati	c Hydric S	oils: <sup>3</sup>				
Histosol o	r Histel (A1)			Ala:	ska Color Ch	nange (TA	4 1)		Alaska Gleyed Without I	Hue 5Y or Redder		
Histic Epip	oedon (A2)			Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)				_	Underlying Layer			
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alas	ska Redox V	Vith 2.5Y H	lue		Other (Explain in Remain	rks)		
_	k Surface (A12	2)		3 One	indicator of	hydrophyt	ic vegetati	on one prir	mary indicator of wetland	bydrology		
🔄 Alaska Gle					appropriat					nyarology,		
Alaska Rei	dox (A14) eyed Pores (A1	E)		4 Give	details of co	olor chang	e in Remar	ks				
Restrictive Lay	er (if present):	:							Undria Cail Draaan	t? Yes 🔾	No 🖲	
Type: Depth (incl	hes):								Hydric Soil Presen	tr tes $\bigcirc$	NO 🙂	
Remarks:												
	indicators											
no hydric soil i	Indicators											
	21											
YDROLO	-	atore							Cocondom / Inc	liastara (two ar ma		
Primary Indica			nt)							licators (two or mo ained Leaves (B9)	re are required)	
	Vater (A1)		,	Ir	Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)				Drainage Patterns (B10)			
□ High Water Table (A2) □ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface									Rhizospheres along	Living Roots (C3)		
Saturation	n (A3)			Шм	arl Deposits	s (B15)			Presence	of Reduced Iron (0	24)	
Water Ma	ırks (B1)			🗌 н	ydrogen Su	lfide Odor	(C1)		Salt Depo	sits (C5)		
Sediment	Deposits (B2)	)		🗹 D	ry-Season V	Vater Tabl	e (C2)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
				□ o	ther (Explai	n in Rema	rks)		_ '	hic Position (D2)		
	or Crust (B4)								_	quitard (D3)		
		,								graphic Relief (D4	)	
	oil Cracks (B6)	)							E FAC-neuti	al Test (D5)		
Field Observa Surface Wate		Yes	) No 🖲	~	onth (inch-	c).						
					epth (inche			Watl-	nd Hydrology Prese	nt? Yes 🖲		
Water Table F Saturation Pre				D	epth (inche	s): 24		wetta	nu nyulology Prese	itr tes 🛡		
(includes capi		Yes	No 🖲	D	epth (inche	s):						
Describe Recor	ded Data (stre	eam gauge	e, monitor we	ll, aerial p	ohotos, prev	vious inspe	ection) if av	ailable:				
Domarka												
Remarks:												