WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project	/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Borough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 07-Jul-13								
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority Sampling Point: SW13_T181_02													
Investig	gator(s): JER		Landform (hill	side, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Hillside								
Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope: % / 2.8 ° Elevation: 768													
		L ot :	62.794368386		100								
-	ion : Interior Alaska Mountains)											
Soil Ma	NWI classification: PSS1B												
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.													
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No												
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes O No		Wetland? Yes \bullet No \bigcirc										
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No C		vv										
Remarks: fnwws cutpt fnows, game trails moose and wolf scat VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot. Dominance Test worksheet:													
Tree	e Stratum	Absolute % Cover		Indicator Status	Number of Dominant Species								
		20		FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 7 (A)								
2.					Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 10 (B)								
3.		0	-										
4.		0	-		Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 70.0% (A/B)								
5.		0	-										
	Total Cover		_		Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:								
San			– % of Total Cover:	4									
Jup		-											
	Picea glauca	2		FACU	FACW Species $4 \times 2 = 8$								
2.	Betula glandulosa	25	_	FAC	FAC Species <u>191</u> $x 3 = 573$								
3.	Vaccinium uliginosum	45	_	FAC	FACU Species 30 x 4 = 120								
4.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	10		FAC	UPL Species x 5 =								
5.	Arctous ruber	25	_	FAC	Column Totals: <u>225</u> (A) <u>701</u> (B)								
6.	Salix bebbiana		- 📙	FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A =3.116								
7.	Salix reticulata	20	- 🗌	FAC									
	Rhododendron groenlandicum	25	- 🗹	FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:								
9.	Rosa acicularis	2	- 🗌	FACU	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%								
10.	Empetrum nigrum	25		FAC	Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0								
Her	Total Cover <u>b Stratum</u> 50% of Total Cover:	100	% of Total Cover	: 36	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)								
1.	Mertensia paniculata	3		FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)								
2.	Calamagrostis canadensis	1		FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must								
3.	Valeriana capitata	1	_	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.								
4.	Festuca altaica	3		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>								
5.	Saussurea angustifolia	5		FAC	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes								
6.	Geocaulon lividum	3		FACU	(Where applicable)								
7.	Dodecatheon frigidum	2	- Ц	FACW	% Bare Ground								
8.	Cornus suecica	5		FAC	Total Cover of Bryophytes								
9.	Pedicularis labradorica	2	- Ц	FACW									
10.		0			Hydrophytic								
	Total Cover:		-		Vegetation Present? Yes • No ·								
	50% of Total Cover:	<u>12.5</u> 20%	% of Total Cover:	5	Present? Yes • No U								
Rem	arks: dasfru 2, salpul 3, hylspl 25												

	Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features										
Depth (inches)	Color (moi	st)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks		
0-5			100			.,,,,,		Fibric Organics	wood decomposing in matrix		
5-9			100					Hemic Organics			
9-11			100		-			Sapric Organics			
11-13	10YR	3/2	80					Sandy Loam	inclusions of 10yr 5/3		
				,	-			<u> </u>			
¹ Type: C=Cor	centration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduc	ed Matrix ² Location	: PL=Por	 e Linina. RC	=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix			
¹ Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: ³											
	Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Ch		4	Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder				
Histic Epip	. ,			Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)				Underlying Layer			
	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox W	•	,		Other (Explain in Remark	s)		
	Surface (A12)										
🗌 Alaska Gle	. ,			³ One indicator of and an appropriate				mary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,		
🗌 Alaska Rec	lox (A14)					•		esent			
🗌 Alaska Gle	yed Pores (A15)		⁴ Give details of co	lor chang	e in Remark	5				
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):								0 0		
Type: frost								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes $oldsymbol{igodol}$ No $igodol$		
Depth (inch	les): 11										
HYDROLO	GY										
Wetland Hydi	ology Indicat	tors:						Secondary India	cators (two or more are required)		
Primary Indica	tors (any one is	sufficient)						Water Stained Leaves (B9)			
Surface W	. ,		Inundation Vi				Drainage Patterns (B10)				
	er Table (A2)			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				 Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) 			
Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)					(01)			()			
Water Mai				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)				Salt Deposits (C5)			
	Sediment Deposits (B2) Dry-Season Water Table Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remark							c Position (D2)			
·	or Crust (B4)					Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
Iron Depo								_	raphic Relief (D4)		
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)							FAC-neutra			
Field Observa	tions:	_	_								
Surface Water	Present?	Yes \bigcirc	No 🖲	Depth (inches	5):						
Water Table P	resent?	Yes \bigcirc	No 🖲	Depth (inches	5):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔾		
Saturation Pre (includes capil		Yes 🖲	No \bigcirc	Depth (inches	5): 11						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Remarks: infered saturation from frost											
	on non nost										