WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City	Denali Bo	rough Sampling Date:06-Aug-13		
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority			Sampling Point: SW13_T174_11		
Investigator(s): WAD, RWM	Landform (h	nillside, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): landslide scaf		
Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave	Slope:	%/ 9.4	• Elevation: 104		
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat.: 63.3690949	678	Long.: -148.570611954 Datum: NAD83		
Soil Map Unit Name:			NWI classification: Upland		
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti	me of year? Ye	es 💿 No 🔿	(If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation 🔲 , Soil 🗌 , or Hydrology 🗌	significantly disturbed?	Are "N	ormal Circumstances" present? Yes 💿 No 🔿		
Are Vegetation 🔲 , Soil 🗌 , or Hydrology 🗌	naturally problematic?	(If nee	ded, explain any answers in Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sho	wing sampling poir	nt locations	s, transects, important features, etc.		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 🔍 No 🤇					
Hydric Soil Present? Yes O No	<i>•</i>	s the Sam			
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No) 1	within a W	etland? Yes 🔾 No 🖲		
Remarks: flat debris area of a series of mass movement sca	ars along ridge line.				
VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. L	ist all species in th	e nlot			
			Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum	Absolute Dominant % Cover Species?		Number of Dominant Species		
1.	0		That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2.	0		Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)		
3.	0		Percent of dominant Species		
4.	0		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)		
5.	0		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
Total Cover	:		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20% of Total Cove	er: <u>0</u>	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$		
1. Spiraea stevenii	10	FACU	FACW Species 45 x 2 = 90		
2. Salix polaris	35	FACW	FAC Species x 3 =105		
3. Betula nana	5	FAC	FACU Species <u>12</u> x 4 = <u>48</u>		
4. Salix pulchra	5	FACW	UPL Species x 5 =		
5.	0		Column Totals: <u>92</u> (A) <u>243</u> (B)		
6	0				
7	0		Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.641</u>		
8			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
9			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
10	 :55		✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0		
Total Cover Herb Stratum_ 50% of Total Cover:	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)				
1. Festuca altaica	10	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
2. Arctagrostis latifolia	5	FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
3. Calamagrostis canadensis	5	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4. Aconitum delphiniifolium	5	FAC	Plot size (radius, or length y width)		
5. Bistorta plumosa	2	FACU	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes		
6. Carex bigelowii	10	FAC	(Where applicable)		
7			% Bare Ground		
8			Total Cover of Bryophytes		
9					
10	0		Hydrophytic		
			Vegetation Present? Yes • No O		
50% of Total Cover:	18.5 20% of Total Cove	er: <u>7.4</u>			

Remarks: moss is fairly continuous polytrichum matt.

Depth		Matrix		ument the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Redox Features						
(inches)	Color (m	Color (moist)		Color (moist)		%	Type ¹	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks
0-3			100						Fibric Organics	
3-4			100						Hemic Organics	
4-5	-		100	-				-	Sapric Organics	
5-14	10YR	4/2	90	10YR	4/6	10	C	М	Loamy Sand	
									· ·	
				-					·	
¹ Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D	=Depletio	n. RM=Red	uced Matrix	² Location	1: PL=Por	e Lining. RO	C=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicat	ors for Pr	oblemati	c Hydric S	oils: ³		
Histosol or	r Histel (A1)			Alasl	ka Color Ch	nange (TA	4)		Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5	Y or Redder
Histic Epipedon (A2)			Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)				Underlying Layer			
	Sulfide (A4)			Alas	ka Redox V	Vith 2.5Y H	Hue		Other (Explain in Remarks)	
	k Surface (A12	2)		³ One ir	ndicator of	hydrophyt	tic vegetatio	on, one prir	mary indicator of wetland hydro	blogy,
Alaska Gle							pe position			577
Alaska Red	uox (A14) eyed Pores (A1	15)		⁴ Give o	letails of co	olor chang	e in Remarl	ks		
Restrictive Laye	er (if present)	:							Undria Call Breasant2	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Type: Depth (incl									Hydric Soil Present?	$Yes \cup No \circledast$
	103).									
Remarks: no hydric soil ir	adicators									
	luicators									
IYDROLO										
Wetland Hyd										rs (two or more are required)
Primary Indica		is sufficier	nt)						Water Stained	
Surface W	. ,						erial Image		Drainage Patte	
High Water Table (A2)		Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				ce (B8)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			
		Marl Deposits (B15)					Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Salt Deposits (C5)			
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)				Salt Deposits (C5)				
	Sediment Deposits (B2)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)					Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Drift Deposits (B3)			∟ Ot	Other (Explain in Remarks)				Geomorphic Position (D2)		
	or Crust (B4)								Shallow Aquita	
	· · ·	、								
Surface S	oil Cracks (B6)							🖌 FAC-neutral Te	ST (D5)

Field Observations:

 Surface Water Present?
 Yes
 No
 Depth (inches):

 Water Table Present?
 Yes
 No
 Depth (inches):

 Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)
 Yes
 No
 Depth (inches):

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Remarks:

no hydrology indicators observed

Yes 🔘 No 🖲