WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Applic	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	В	orough/City:	Denali Bo	rough Sampling Date: 06-Aug-13			
	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority			-	Sampling Point: SW13_T174_06			
nvest	igator(s): WAD, RWM		Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Toeslope					
	relief (concave, convex, none): concave		Slope: % / 1.5 ° Elevation: 103					
	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains	L at :	63.363766432					
		Lat	03.303700432	<u> </u>				
	ap Unit Name:		- \	No ○	NWI classification: PSS1B			
Are \	√egetation □ , Soil □ , or Hydrology □ r	ignificantly naturally pr ving sam	y disturbed? oblematic?	Are "N (If nee	(If no, explain in Remarks.) Iormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○ Iorded, explain any answers in Remarks.)			
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ● No ○		lo	tha Cam	nlad Araa			
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ● No ○		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ● No ○					
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○		WI	tnin a w	etiand? Tes © NO C			
	arks: ETATION - Use scientific names of plants. Li:	st all spe	ecies in the	olot.				
		Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
	ee Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)			
1.					Total Number of Dominant			
2.		0			Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)			
3.		0			Percent of dominant Species			
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)			
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	Total Cover:				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sa	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species0 x 1 =0			
1	Salix pulchra	50	✓	FACW	FACW Species 55 x 2 = 110			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Salix reticulata	20	✓	FAC	FAC Species 64 x 3 = 192			
	Salix reticulata Salix barclavi			FAC FAC	FAC Species 64 x 3 = 192 FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0			
3.	Salix barclayi	5		FAC FAC	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0			
3. 4.	Salix barclayi	5			FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0			
3. 4. 5.	Salix barclayi	5 0 0			FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0			
3. 4. 5. 6.	Salix barclayi	5 0 0 0			FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Salix barclayi	5 0 0	Y		FACU Species 0 $x = 0$ UPL Species 0 $x = 0$ Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Salix barclayi	5 0 0 0 0	y		FACU Species 0 $x = 4$ 0 UPL Species 0 $x = 5$ 0 Column Totals: $x = 119$ (A) $x = 302$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $x = 2.538$			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Salix barclayi	5 0 0 0 0 0	Y		FACU Species 0 $x = 0$ UPL Species 0 $x = 0$ Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: \checkmark Dominance Test is > 50%			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Salix barclayi	5 0 0 0 0 0 0	y		FACU Species 0 $x 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0 $x 5 = 0$ Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Salix barclayi	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	G of Total Cover	FAC	FACU Species 0 $x 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0 $x 5 = 0$ Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Salix barclayi Total Cover:	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FAC	FACU Species 0 $x 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0 $x 5 = 0$ Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.538$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Salix barclayi Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover:	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75 20%	G of Total Cover	FAC	FACU Species 0 $x 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0 $x 5 = 0$ Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He	Salix barclayi Total Cover: rb Stratum Carex bigelowii	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75 15 10	6 of Total Cover	FAC 15 FAC	FACU Species 0 $x 4 = 0$ UPL Species 0 $x 5 = 0$ Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2.	Salix barclayi Total Cover: strb Stratum Carex bigelowii Rumex arcticus Patagitas frigidus	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75 20% 15 10 5	6 of Total Cover	FAC 15 FAC FAC	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0 Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3.	Salix barclayi Total Cover: rb Stratum Carex bigelowii Rumex arcticus Petasites frigidus Footuge obtains	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75 20% 15 10 5 5	6 of Total Cover	FAC 15 FAC FAC FACW	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0 Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4.	Salix barclayi Total Cover: rb Stratum Carex bigelowii Rumex arcticus Petasites frigidus Festuca altaica	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75 37.5 20% 15 10 5 3	6 of Total Cover	FAC FAC FACW FAC	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0 Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Salix barclayi Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex bigelowii Rumex arcticus Petasites frigidus Festuca altaica Valeriana capitata	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75 20% 15 10 5 3 3	6 of Total Cover	FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0 Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Salix barclayi Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex bigelowii Rumex arcticus Petasites frigidus Festuca altaica Valeriana capitata Rhodiola integrifolia	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75 10 5 5 3 3 1	6 of Total Cover	FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0 Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Salix barclayi Total Cover: rb Stratum Carex bigelowii Rumex arcticus Petasites frigidus Festuca altaica Valeriana capitata Rhodiola integrifolia Polemonium acutiflorum	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75 10 5 5 3 3 1 1	6 of Total Cover	FAC	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0 Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Salix barclayi Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex bigelowii Rumex arcticus Petasites frigidus Festuca altaica Valeriana capitata Rhodiola integrifolia Polemonium acutiflorum Poa arctica	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75 10 5 5 3 3 1 1	6 of Total Cover	FAC	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0 Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 9.	Salix barclayi Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Carex bigelowii Rumex arcticus Petasites frigidus Festuca altaica Valeriana capitata Rhodiola integrifolia Polemonium acutiflorum Poa arctica	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 75 10 5 5 3 3 1 1 1	6 of Total Cover	FAC	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0 Column Totals: 119 (A) 302 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.538 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13_T174_06

JUIL									Samping	Point: 3W13_1174_00	
Profile Description			eded to docu	ment the inc				cators)			
Depth (inches)	Matrix			-		ox Features		2			
(inches) 0-3	Color (moist)		<u>%</u> _	Color (m	Color (moist)		% Type ¹	<u>Loc</u> ²	Texture Fibria Overnica	Remarks	
									Fibric Organics Hemic Organics		
3-6			100			-			-		
6-7			100						Sapric Organics		
7-10	10YR	3/2	100						Silt Loam		
10-19	2.5Y	3/2	80	7.5YR	4/6	20	C	PL	Silt Loam	organic staining throughout	
¹Type: C=Con	centration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduc	ced Matrix	² Location:	PL=Por	e Lining. RO	C=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil Ir	ndicators:			Indicate	ors for Pro	blemati	c Hydric S	oils: ³			
Histosol or	Histel (A1)			Alasł	ka Color Cha	ange (TA	1)4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder	
Histic Epip	Histic Epipedon (A2)				Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)				Underlying Layer		
	Sulfide (A4)			✓ Alas	ka Redox Wi	ith 2.5Y F	lue		Other (Explain in Remark	(S)	
	Surface (A12))		³ One ir	ndicator of h	ıvdronhvt	ic vegetatio	on, one prin	nary indicator of wetland h	nydrology.	
Alaska Gle					appropriate					.,	
Alaska Red	iox (A14) yed Pores (A1!	5)		4 Give d	details of col	or change	e in Remarl	(S			
	` `										
Restrictive Laye	r (if present):									- v	
Type: Depth (inch	00)1								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ● No O	
Remarks:	C5).										
HYDROLO	GY										
Wetland Hydr	ology Indica	tors:							_Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indicat		is sufficient)								ned Leaves (B9)	
Surface Water (A1)			Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)					✓ Drainage Patterns (B10)			
☐ High Water Table (A2)				Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				ce (B8)		hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
✓ Saturation (A3) Water Marks (B1)				☐ Marl Deposits (B15) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)					☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) ☐ Salt Deposits (C5)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)				Dry-Season Water Table (C2)						Stressed Plants (D1)	
Drift Deposits (B3)				Other (Explain in Remarks)					Geomorphic Position (D2)		
	or Crust (B4)								Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)								✓ Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4)		
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)								✓ FAC-neutra	al Test (D5)	
Field Observa	tions:										
Surface Water	Present?		No O	De	epth (inches)): 1					
Water Table P	resent?	Yes 💿	No O	De	epth (inches)): 15		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 💿 No 🔾	
Saturation Pre (includes capil		Yes	No	De	epth (inches)): 3					
Describe Record		am gauge, i	monitor we	ell, aerial pl	hotos, previ	ous inspe	ection) if av	ailable:			
Remarks:											

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0