## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	D	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 03-Aug-13				
Applic	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T173_01				
Invest	igator(s): BAB	side, terrac	terrace, hummocks etc.): mountain top						
	relief (concave, convex, none): rolling		Slope:		2 ° Elevation: 122				
	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains		63.159135309						
		Lat(	33.139133308						
	ap Unit Name:		· · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	NWI classification: Upland				
Are \		significantly	? Yes vidisturbed? oblematic?		(If no, explain in Remarks.)  Iormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○  eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)				
SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show	wing sam	pling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.				
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No •	)							
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ●	)	Is the Sampled Area						
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No •		wi	within a Wetland? Yes ○ No ●					
Rem	arks: Mountain top. Vegetation varies greatly. Variation		rent on rapid	eye.					
	ETATION - Use scientific names of plants. Li	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:  Number of Dominant Species				
1.	ee Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:1(A)				
2.					Total Number of Dominant				
3.					Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)				
4.					Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)				
5.		0							
0.	Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet:				
Sai	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:		of Total Cover:	0	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:				
Sal	julig/ sin ub stratum	0 2070			OBL Species 0 x1 = 0				
	Loiseleuria procumbens	8	<b>✓</b>	FACU	FAC Species 2 x 2 = 4				
2.	Dryas ajanensis	5	<b>✓</b>	UPL	FAC Species <u>8.1</u> x 3 = <u>24.30</u> FACU Species <u>15.1</u> x 4 = <u>60.40</u>				
3.	Vaccinium uliginosum	2 3		FAC					
4.	Salix arctica			FACU					
5.	Betula nana			FACU	Column Totals: <u>32.3</u> (A) <u>124.2</u> (B)				
6.	Casainan tatananan	4							
_	Cassiope tetragona				Prevalence Index = B/A =3.845_				
7.	Cassiope tetragona Arctous ruber	4		FAC					
8.	Arctous ruber	1 4 0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:				
8. 9.	Arctous ruber				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%				
8.	Arctous ruber	0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ☐ Dominance Test is > 50%  ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0				
8. 9. 10.	Arctous ruber	0 24	of Total Cover	FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%				
8. 9. 10.	Arctous ruber  Total Cover	0 24	of Total Cover	FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ☐ Dominance Test is > 50%  ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in				
8. 9. 10.	Arctous ruber  Total Cover. rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	24 12 20%		FAC	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  □ Dominance Test is > 50%  □ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  □ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)				
8. 9. 10. <b>He</b>	Total Cover  **Total Cover:  **Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	24 12 20% 3 2	<b>✓</b>	FAC 4.8 UPL	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  ☐ Dominance Test is > 50%  ☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  ☐ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)				
8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2.	Arctous ruber  Total Cover  rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum  Carex macrochaeta	0 24 12 20% 3 2 1	<b>✓</b>	FAC  : 4.8  UPL FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  □ Dominance Test is > 50% □ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 □ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.				
8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2. 3.	Arctous ruber  Total Cover.  rb Stratum  50% of Total Cover:  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum  Carex macrochaeta  Antennaria monocephala	24 12 20% 3 2 1 0.1	<b>✓</b>	FAC  4.8  UPL  FACW  UPL	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)  Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.				
8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2. 3. 4.	Arctous ruber  Total Cover  50% of Total Cover:  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum  Carex macrochaeta  Antennaria monocephala  Campanula lasiocarpa	24 12 20% 3 2 1 0.1	<b>✓</b>	FAC  4.8  UPL  FACW  UPL  UPL	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  □ Dominance Test is > 50% □ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 □ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.				
8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Arctous ruber  Total Cover  50% of Total Cover:  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum  Carex macrochaeta  Antennaria monocephala  Campanula lasiocarpa  Festuca altaica	24 12 20% 2 3 2 1 0.1 1 0.1 0.1	<b>✓</b>	FAC  UPL FACW UPL UPL FAC FACU FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  □ Dominance Test is > 50% □ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 □ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  9 Cover of Wetland Bryophytes				
8. 9. 10. <b>Her</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Arctous ruber  Total Cover 50% of Total Cover:  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum  Carex macrochaeta Antennaria monocephala  Campanula lasiocarpa Festuca altaica Artemisia norvegica Festuca rubra Antennaria friesiana	24 12 20% 3 2 1 0.1 1 0.1 0.1 0.1	<b>✓</b>	FAC  4.8  UPL FACW UPL UPL FAC FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)  Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)				
8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Arctous ruber  Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Carex macrochaeta Antennaria monocephala Campanula lasiocarpa Festuca altaica Artemisia norvegica Festuca rubra	24 12 20% 3 2 1 0.1 1 0.1 0.1 1 0.1	<b>✓</b>	FAC  UPL FACW UPL UPL FAC FACU FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)  Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  Bare Ground				
8. 9. 10. <b>Hee</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Arctous ruber  Total Cover 50% of Total Cover:  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum  Carex macrochaeta  Antennaria monocephala  Campanula lasiocarpa  Festuca altaica  Artemisia norvegica  Festuca rubra  Antennaria friesiana	24 12 20% 3 2 1 0.1 1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.0	<b>✓</b>	FAC  UPL FACW UPL UPL FAC FACU FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  Dominance Test is > 50%  Prevalence Index is ≤3.0  Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)  Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)  Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width)  Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)  Bare Ground  Total Cover of Bryophytes  Hydrophytic				
8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Arctous ruber  Total Cover. 50% of Total Cover:  Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum  Carex macrochaeta  Antennaria monocephala  Campanula lasiocarpa  Festuca altaica  Artemisia norvegica  Festuca rubra  Antennaria friesiana	24 12 20%  3 2  1 0.1  1 0.1  0.1  0 0  0 8.3		FAC  UPL FACW UPL UPL FAC FACU FAC UPL	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:  □ Dominance Test is > 50% □ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 □ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.  Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground 40 Total Cover of Bryophytes 8				

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13\_T173\_01

Profile Description		the depth nee	eded to docum	rument the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)  Redox Features							
Depth (inches)							. 2	Texture	Remarks		
	Color (mo		<u>%</u>	Color (moist)	<u>%</u>	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Loam			
0-2	7.5YR	3/1						-	high organic content		
2-10	10YR	4/3	100				-	Sandy Loam			
10-19			100					Gravel-Cobble	matrix air, no seds. ang to seemi ang grvl a		
					_						
							-				
¹Type: C=Con	centration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduce	d Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location	n: PL=Por	e Lining. RC	=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil Tr	ndicators:			Indicators for P	oblematic	c Hydric Sc	oils: <sup>3</sup>		-		
	Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:  Histosol or Histel (A1)  Alaska Color Change (TA4)						, <b>5.</b>	☐ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder			
						•		Underlying Layer			
	Sulfide (A4)			☐ Alaska Alpine s	-	-		Other (Explain in Remarks)			
	Surface (A12)	)									
Alaska Gle		,						nary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,		
Alaska Red				and an appropria	te iandscap	e position r	nust be pre	esent			
Alaska Gle	yed Pores (A1	5)		<sup>4</sup> Give details of c	olor chang	e in Remark	S				
Restrictive Laye	r (if present):										
Type:								<b>Hydric Soil Present</b>	? Yes ○ No •		
Depth (inch	es):										
no hydric soil in	dicators obser	ved									
HYDROLO	GY										
Wetland Hydr		tors:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)		
Primary Indicat								Water Stained Leaves (B9)			
Surface W	ater (A1)			☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)				Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)				Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)			
Saturation (A3)				Marl Deposits (B15)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			
☐ Water Marks (B1) ☐ Hydrogen Su					ılfide Odor	(C1)		Salt Depos	its (C5)		
Sediment	Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season	Water Tabl	e (C2)		Stunted or	Stressed Plants (D1)		
Drift Depo	. ,			Other (Expla	in in Rema	rks)			ic Position (D2)		
	or Crust (B4)								uitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Depo	` '								raphic Relief (D4)		
	oil Cracks (B6)						1	☐ FAC-neutra	l Test (D5)		
Field Observa Surface Water		Voc (	No •	Danth (in also							
		_	_	Depth (inche	•						
Water Table P			No 💿	Depth (inche	es):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes ○ No •		
Saturation Pre (includes capil		Yes O	No 💿	Depth (inche	es):						
Describe Record	ded Data (stre	am gauge, i	monitor well	, aerial photos, pre	vious inspe	ection) if ava	ilable:				
Remarks:											
no wetland hydrology indicators observed											

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0