WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Applica			Borough/City:	Denali Bo	rough Sampling Date: 08-Aug-13		
	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T170_04		
nvesti	gator(s): WAD, RWM		Landform (hills	side, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Toeslope		
Local	elief (concave, convex, none): concave		Slope:	%/ 5.3	⁶ [°] Elevation: 818		
Subre	jion : Interior Alaska Mountains	l ət ·	63.421762227		Long.: -148.653116107 Datum: NAD83		
		Lat	03.421702227	0			
	ap Unit Name:				NWI classification: PSS1B		
Are \ Are \		significant naturally p	ly disturbed? problematic?	(If nee	(If no, explain in Remarks.) ormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○ ded, explain any answers in Remarks.)		
	-	-	nping point	locations	s, transects, important leatures, etc.		
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes • No)		the Sam	nlad Araa		
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No C		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes $ullet$ No $igodoldsymbol{ imes}$				
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No C)	WI	inin a w			
VEGE	TATION - Use scientific names of plants. Li	st all sp Absolute		olot. Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tre	e Stratum	% Cover		Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1.		0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A)		
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)		
3.		0			Percent of dominant Species		
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)		
5.		0					
	Total Cover	0	-		Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	6 of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species $2 \times 1 = 2$		
	D'ana ala an			FACU			
1.	Picea glauca	4		FACU	FACW Species <u>55</u> x 2 = <u>110</u>		
2.	Salix pulchra	45		FACW	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216		
2. 3.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Datula plandulaa	45 5		FACW FACW	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44		
2. 3. 4.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa	45 5 2		FACW FACW FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0		
2. 3. 4. 5.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata	45 5 2 5		FACW FACW FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum	45 5 2 5 5		FACW FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata	45 5 2 5 5 2		FACW FACW FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.657		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa	45 5 2 5 5 2 0		FACW FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.657		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0		FACW FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.657 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: \checkmark Dominance Test is > 50%		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 68		FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.657		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover:	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 68		FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.657 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: \checkmark Dominance Test is > 50% \checkmark Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 \square Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. _He i	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa Total Cover:	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0		FACW FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC II3.6	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.657 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: \checkmark Dominance Test is > 50% \checkmark Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 \square Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) \square Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa Total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Chamaenerion angustifolium Patasitee frigidue	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 34 20 10		FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC TAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 Prevalence Index = $B/A =$ 2.657 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: \checkmark Dominance Test is > 50% \checkmark Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 \square Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. _He i	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa Dasiphora fruticosa Total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Chamaenerion angustifolium Petasites frigidus Equicotum apropo	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 Olumn Totals: 140 (A) 372 Prevalence Index = $B/A =$ 2.657 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: \checkmark Dominance Test is > 50% \checkmark Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 \square Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) \square Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hei 1. 2. 3.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa Dasiphora fruticosa Total Cover: S0% of Total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Chamaenerion angustifolium Petasites frigidus Equisetum arvense Dalamapium agutiflarum	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 x 2 = 110 FAC Species 72 x 3 = 216 FACU Species 11 x 4 = 44 UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 IV Orbitation Totals: 140 (A) 372 Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.657 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:Image: Dominance Test is > 50%Image: Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Image: Problematic Hydrophytic VegetationImage: Problematic Hydrophytic VegetationImage: Problematic Hydrophytic VegetationImage: Problematic Hydrophytic VegetationImage: Problematic Solid Sturbed or Problematic.Plot size (radius, or length x width) $10m$		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa Dasiphora fruticosa Total Cover: S0% of Total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Chamaenerion angustifolium Petasites frigidus Equisetum arvense Polemonium acutiflorum Carpus canadensis	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 Olumn Totals: 140 (A) 372 Prevalence Index = $B/A =$ 2.657 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: \checkmark Dominance Test is > 50% \checkmark Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 \square Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) \square Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa Dasiphora fruticosa total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Chamaenerion angustifolium Petasites frigidus Equisetum arvense Polemonium acutiflorum Cornus canadensis	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 IPrevalence Index = B/A = 2.657 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:Image: Dominance Test is > 50%Image: Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Image: Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.Plot size (radius, or length x width) $10m$ % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes $10m$		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 10. Hen 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa Dasiphora fruticosa Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Chamaenerion angustifolium Petasites frigidus Equisetum arvense Polemonium acutiflorum Cornus canadensis	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 IPrevalence Index = B/A = 2.657 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:Image: Dominance Test is > 50%Image: Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Image: Prevalence Index is ≤ 1.0 Image: Prevalence Index is ≥ 1.0 Image: Prevalence Index is ≥ 1.0 Imag		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 10. Hen 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa Dasiphora fruticosa Calamagrostis canadensis Chamaenerion angustifolium Petasites frigidus Equisetum arvense Polemonium acutiflorum Cornus canadensis Rumex arcticus Ansarce richardeanii	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 IPrevalence Index = B/A = 2.657 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:Image: Dominance Test is > 50%Image: Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Image: Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Image: Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)Image: Index is disturbed or problematic.Plot size (radius, or length x width) $10m$ % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes% Bare Ground		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa Dasiphora fruticosa total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Chamaenerion angustifolium Petasites frigidus Equisetum arvense Polemonium acutiflorum Cornus canadensis Rumex arcticus Anemone richardsonii	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: \blacksquare Dominance Test is > 50% \checkmark Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 \square Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) \square Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.Plot size (radius, or length x width) $10m$ % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes $(Where applicable)$ % Bare Ground 10		
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Her 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Salix pulchra Salix richardsonii Betula glandulosa Salix reticulata Vaccinium uliginosum Dasiphora fruticosa Dasiphora fruticosa Calamagrostis canadensis Chamaenerion angustifolium Petasites frigidus Equisetum arvense Polemonium acutiflorum Cornus canadensis Rumex arcticus Anemone richardsonii Mertensia paniculata	45 5 2 5 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 55 $x 2 =$ 110 FAC Species 72 $x 3 =$ 216 FACU Species 11 $x 4 =$ 44 UPL Species 0 $x 5 =$ 0 Column Totals: 140 (A) 372 IPrevalence Index = B/A = 2.657 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:Image: Dominance Test is > 50%Image: Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Image: Prevalence Index is ≤ 1.0 Image: Prevalence Index is ≥ 1.0 Image: Prevalence Index is ≥ 1.0 Imag		

SOIL

Depth		atrix		nent the indicator or co	dox Featu	ures				
(inches)	Color (mois	t) <u>q</u>	/o	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remar	ks
0-3		1	00					Fibric Organics		
3-6		1	00					Hemic Organics		
6-11		1	00					Sapric Organics		
									-	
		anlation DA		d Matrix 2 Location			-Deat Cha	nnal M-Matrix		
- Type: C=Con	centration. D=L	epletion. RM	I=Reduce	ed Matrix ² Location		-		nnei. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil In	ndicators:			Indicators for P		4	oils:			
Histosol or	. ,			Alaska Color C		,		Alaska Gleyed Without Hu	ue 5Y or Redder	
✓ Histic Epipe				Alaska Alpine		-		Underlying Layer		
	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox	With 2.5Y	Hue		Other (Explain in Remark	S)	
	Surface (A12)			³ One indicator of	• hvdrophv	tic vegetatio	on one prin	nary indicator of wetland h	vdrology	
Alaska Gley				and an appropria	te landsca	pe position	must be pre	esent	yarology,	
Alaska Red	()			⁴ Give details of c	olor chanc	e in Remarl	(5			
Alaska Gley	yed Pores (A15)				olor chang		<u> </u>			
Restrictive Laye	r (if present):								-	
Type:								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🖲 No	0
Depth (inch	es):									
may be a miner										
HYDROLO	GY									
Wetland Hydr	ology Indicate	ors:						Secondary India	cators (two or more ar	re required)
Primary Indicat	ors (any one is	sufficient)						Water Stain	ned Leaves (B9)	
Surface W	. ,			Inundation V	isible on A	Aerial Image	ry (B7)	Drainage P	atterns (B10)	
	r Table (A2)			Sparsely Veg	etated Co	ncave Surfa	ce (B8)		hizospheres along Livi	ng Roots (C3)
Saturation				Marl Deposit	. ,			_	f Reduced Iron (C4)	
Water Mar				Hydrogen Su				Salt Depos		
	Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season		• •			Stressed Plants (D1)	
Drift Depo	. ,			Other (Expla	in in Rema	arks)		_	c Position (D2)	
	or Crust (B4)							Shallow Aq		
Iron Depo	. ,								raphic Relief (D4)	
	oil Cracks (B6)							✓ FAC-neutra	T Test (D5)	
Field Observa Surface Water		Yes 〇		Donth (inch	~~).					
		-	-	Depth (inche	,		Watta	ad Useduala my Duasan	• - 2 V ()	\sim
Water Table Pi Saturation Pres		Yes 💿		Depth (inche	es): 11		wetiai	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No	0
(includes capil		Yes 🖲	No 🔾	Depth (inche	es): 0					
Describe Record	led Data (strear	n gauge, mo	nitor wel	l, aerial photos, pre	vious insp	ection) if av	ailable:			
Remarks:										