WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project | Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough | Sampling Date: 06-Aug-13

Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority | Sampling Point: **SW13_T161_04**

Investigator(s): BAB | Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Toeslope

Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave | Slope: % / 7.2 ° | Elevation: 134

Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains | Lat.: 63.3293561726 | Long.: -148.513329857 | Datum: NAD83

Soil Map Unit Name: | **NWI classification: PSS1B**

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ◉ No ○ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ◉ No ○

Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** **Yes ◉ No ○**
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ◉ No ○	

Remarks: Toeslope in a bowl shape, several springs throughout plot. talus field above.

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
Tree Stratum			
1.	0	☐	
2.	0	☐	
3.	0	☐	
4.	0	☐	
5.	0	☐	
Total Cover:	0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (50% of Total Cover: 0 / 20% of Total Cover: 0)			
1. Salix polaris	40	☑	FACW
2.	0	☐	
3.	0	☐	
4.	0	☐	
5.	0	☐	
6.	0	☐	
7.	0	☐	
8.	0	☐	
9.	0	☐	
10.	0	☐	
Total Cover:	40		
Herb Stratum (50% of Total Cover: 20 / 20% of Total Cover: 8)			
1. Saxifraga nivalis	4	☑	UPL
2. Trisetum spicatum	1	☐	FAC
3. Poa alpina	0.1	☐	FACU
4. Oxyria digyna	6	☑	FACU
5. Carex media	1	☐	FACW
6. Geum rossii	0.1	☐	FACU
7. Ranunculus hyperboreus	0.1	☐	OBL
8. Parnassia kotzebuei	0.1	☐	FACW
9. Micranthes nelsoniana	1	☐	FAC
10. Arctophila fulva	1	☐	OBL
Total Cover:	14.4		
50% of Total Cover: 7.2 / 20% of Total Cover: 2.88			

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)

Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:	
OBL Species	1.1	x 1 =	1.1
FACW Species	41.1	x 2 =	82.2
FAC Species	2	x 3 =	6
FACU Species	6.2	x 4 =	24.8
UPL Species	4	x 5 =	20
Column Totals:	54.4 (A)		134.1 (B)

Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.465

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ☐ Dominance Test is > 50%
- ☑ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0
- ☐ Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1] Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Plot size (radius, or length x width): 10m

% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable):

% Bare Ground: 10

Total Cover of Bryophytes: 15

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes ◉ No ○**

Remarks: trace sedros, clasar, artarc, equarv, bisoff, polviv, saxexi, poarc, poa alpigena, petfri, luzarc, tarala, and carmem

SOIL

Sampling Point: SW13_T161_04

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Redox Features Type[1]	Redox Features Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3		100					Fibric Organics	
3-18	5Y 3/2	100					Loamy Sand	lots of ang gravel

[1] Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix [2] Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ☐ Histosol or Histel (A1)
- ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ☐ Alaska Gleyed (A13)
- ☐ Alaska Redox (A14)
- ☐ Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:[3]

- ☐ Alaska Color Change (TA4)[4]
- ☐ Alaska Alpine swales (TA5)
- ☐ Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue
- ☐ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer
- ☑ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3] One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, and an appropriate landscape position must be present

[4] Give details of color change in Remarks

Restrictive Layer (if present):
Type:
Depth (inches):

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Remarks:
no hydric soils indicators. definately a wetland. spring emerging, concave surface. no redox features. insufficient soil carbon for development of redox features.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient)

- ☑ Surface Water (A1)
- ☑ High Water Table (A2)
- ☑ Saturation (A3)
- ☐ Water Marks (B1)
- ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ☐ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ☐ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ☐ Marl Deposits (B15)
- ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (two or more are required)

- ☐ Water Stained Leaves (B9)
- ☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
- ☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ☐ Salt Deposits (C5)
- ☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ☑ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ☐ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ☐ FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches): 2
Water Table Present?	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches): 5
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ◉	No ○	Depth (inches): 4

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ◉ No ○

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Remarks:
small spring coming from mountainside.