## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Projec	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Boroug	gh/City:	Denali Bo	orough Sampling Date: 06-Aug-13			
Applica	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority					Sampling Point: SW13_T160_04			
	gator(s): CTS, AMD		Land	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Gulch or Gully					
	relief (concave, convex, none): flat		— Slope		% / 4.7	-			
	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat	_ '	9489789		Long.: -148.819687724 Datum: NAD83			
		Lat.	. 03.30	19409708	94				
	ap Unit Name:				<b>○</b> N- ○	NWI classification: PSS1C			
	matic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this	•			● No ○	(If no, explain in Remarks.)  Iormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○			
	/egetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ /egetation ☐ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☐	significa	•			ionnai oli odinotanoco procont.			
Are v	/egetation ☐ , Soil ☑ , or Hydrology ☐	naturally	problen	natic?	(If nee	eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)			
SUMI	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map she	owing s	amplin	g point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.			
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes   No	$\supset$							
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes   No	$\supset$		Is the Sampled Area					
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No	$\bigcirc$	wi	ithin a W	etland? Yes ● No ○				
Rem									
/FGI	<b>ETATION</b> -Use scientific names of plants.	lict all c	necies	in the	nlot				
	- 30 Scientific harries of plants.		•			Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tre	e Stratum	Absolu % Cov		minant ecies?	Indicator Status	Number of Dominant Species			
1.			)			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3(A)			
2.			— )			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)			
3.			)			Percent of dominant Species			
4.			<u> </u>			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 60.0% (A/B)			
5.			 )			Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	Total Cove	er: <u>0</u>				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sap	oling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 2	0% of To	% of Total Cover:		OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$			
1	Salix alaxensis	3	5	<b>✓</b>	FAC	FACW Species 23 x 2 = 46			
2.	Salix richardsonii		0	<b>V</b>	FACW	FAC Species 70 x 3 = 210			
3.	Salix pseudomonticola		7		FAC	FACU Species 6 x 4 = 24			
4.	Dasiphora fruticosa		— 3		FAC	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0			
5.	Salix reticulata		1		FAC	Column Totals: 99 (A) 280 (B)			
6.	Vaccinium uliginosum	1	 5		FAC				
7.			 )			Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.828			
8.			)			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
9.			)			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%			
10.		(	)			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0			
	Total Cove					Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in			
Her	<b>b Stratum</b> 50% of Total Cover:	44.5	20% of To		r: <u>17.8</u>	Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
1.	Equisetum scirpoides		2	<b>✓</b>	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)			
2.	Carex media		<u> </u>	<b>✓</b>	FACW	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
3.	Chamaenerion latifolium		<u> </u>		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
4.	Carex scirpoidea		<u>L</u>		FACU	Plot size (radius, or length x width)			
5.	Parnassia palustris		<u> </u>		FACW	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes			
6.	Rubus arcticus (IAM)		3		FACU	(Where applicable)			
7			)			% Bare Ground45			
			)			Total Cover of Bryophytes35			
8.		(	,						
8. 9.			1						
8. 9.		_ (	)			Hydrophytic			
8. 9.		er: <u>10</u>		tal Cover:	. 2	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes • No •			

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13\_T160\_04

	/Describe to	u- a danth no	d to documo		-firm the absonce	-f:adiantara)	Sumpling		
		tne deptn ne <b>Matrix</b>	edea to aocume	nt the indicator or co	nfirm the absence of dox Features	of indicators)			
Depth (inches)	Color (mo		%	Color (moist)	<u>% Tyr</u>	pe <sup>1</sup> Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
0-2	10YR	2/1	100				Loam		
2-5	5Y	3/2	100				Loamy Sand		
5-10	5Y	3/1	100				Loamy Sand	Lots of organics mixed with sand	
10-15	10-15							Lots of gravel/boulders, only boulders belo	
-							-		
¹Type: C=Co	ncentration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduced	Matrix <sup>2</sup> Locatio	n: PL=Pore Linir	ng. RC=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil T	ndicators:		]	Indicators for P	oblematic Hvd	lric Soils:			
	Hydric Soil Indicators:  Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:  Alaska Color Change (TA4)  Alaska Color Change (TA4)						Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder	
Histic Epipedon (A2)				Alaska Alpine s			Underlying Layer		
	Sulfide (A4)		[	Alaska Redox \	With 2.5Y Hue	V	Other (Explain in Remark	(S)	
☐ Thick Darl	k Surface (A12)	)		3.0	the described to the	.1.17	Callanta of allered b	A. J.	
Alaska Gle	eyed (A13)			and an appropria			mary indicator of wetland hesent	iyarology,	
Alaska Re	. ,			4 Give details of c	nlor change in R	emarks			
☐ Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A15	5)		dive details of e	olor change in K	Citiano			
Restrictive Lay	er (if present):								
Type:	( <b>)</b>						Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ● No O	
Depth (incl	nes):								
Remarks:									
insufficient org	anic material fo	or redox de	velopment. Ba	sed on mulptiple	primary hydrolog	gy indicators and	d hydrophytic vegetation, as	ssume soils are hydric.	
<b>HYDROLO</b>	GY								
Wetland Hyd							Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)	
	ators (any one i	s sufficient	)					ned Leaves (B9)	
	Surface Water (A1)				isible on Aerial I	- , , ,		Patterns (B10)	
	✓ High Water Table (A2)				etated Concave	Surface (B8)		hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
Saturation	` ,			Marl Deposit	. ,			of Reduced Iron (C4)	
	☐ Water Marks (B1) ☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)				lfide Odor (C1) Water Table (C2)		Salt Depos	Stressed Plants (D1)	
Drift Dep	,			_ '	in in Remarks)	)		ic Position (D2)	
	. ,			□ Other (Expla	iii iii Reiliarks)			` '	
Algal Mat	or Cruct (R4)						Shallow Ac		
	or Crust (B4)						Shallow Ad		
Iron Depo	osits (B5)						Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4)	
Iron Depo	osits (B5) soil Cracks (B6)						Microtopog		
☐ Iron Depo	osits (B5) soil Cracks (B6) ations:	Yes O	No •	Depth (inche	es):		Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4)	
Iron Depo	osits (B5) foil Cracks (B6) ations: r Present?		No ● No ○	, ,	•	Wetla	Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4)	
Iron Depo Surface S Field Observa Surface Water Water Table F Saturation Pro	osits (B5) soil Cracks (B6) ations: r Present? Present? esent?	Yes 💿	No O	Depth (inche	es): 11	Wetla	☐ Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4) al Test (D5)	
Iron Depo Surface S Field Observa Surface Wate Water Table I	osits (B5) soil Cracks (B6) ations: r Present? Present? esent?	Yes 💿		, ,	es): 11	Wetla	☐ Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4) al Test (D5)	
Iron Depo Surface S Field Observa Surface Water Water Table Pro (includes capi	osits (B5) doil Cracks (B6) ations: r Present? Present? esent?	Yes • Yes •	No O	Depth (inche	es): 11		☐ Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4) al Test (D5)	
Iron Depo	osits (B5) doil Cracks (B6) ations: r Present? Present? esent?	Yes • Yes •	No O	Depth (inche	es): 11		☐ Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4) al Test (D5)	
Iron Depo Surface S Field Observa Surface Water Water Table I Saturation Pro (includes capi Describe Recon	osits (B5) doil Cracks (B6) ations: r Present? Present? esent?	Yes • Yes •	No O	Depth (inche	es): 11		☐ Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4) al Test (D5)	
Iron Depo	osits (B5) doil Cracks (B6) ations: r Present? Present? esent?	Yes • Yes •	No O	Depth (inche	es): 11		☐ Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4) al Test (D5)	
Iron Depot Surface S Field Observa Surface Water Water Table If Saturation Pro (includes capit Describe Recon	osits (B5) doil Cracks (B6) ations: r Present? Present? esent?	Yes • Yes •	No O	Depth (inche	es): 11		☐ Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4) al Test (D5)	

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0