WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Denali Borough	Sampling Date:	06-Aug-13		
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Samplir	ng Point:SV	N13_T148_08		
Investigator(s): SLI, EAC	Landform (hillsi	de, terrace, hummocks etc.):	Footslope			
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	Slope:	% / <u>3.9</u> ° Elevation: <u>738</u>				
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.:	63.3842365743	Long.: -148.596335	174 D	atum: NAD83		
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI classi	fication: PSS1B	}		
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)						
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.						

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes () Yes () Yes ()	No () No () No ()	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes 🖲 No 🔾
Remarks:				

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

Absolute Dominant Indicator						Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum			<u>% Cover</u> Speci		Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.	Picea mariana		12	\checkmark	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:6(A)
2.	Picea glauca		3	\checkmark	FACU	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:7(B)
3.		_	0			Percent of dominant Species
4.		_	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>85.7%</u> (A/B)
5.		_	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
	Total Cove	er: _	15			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	7.5	_ 20%	of Total Cover:	3	OBL Species x 1 =
1.	Salix barclayi		15	\checkmark	FAC	FACW Species <u>31.1</u> x 2 = <u>62.20</u>
2.	Picea mariana		7	\checkmark	FACW	FAC Species <u>60.1</u> x 3 = <u>180.3</u>
3.	Betula glandulosa		7	\checkmark	FAC	FACU Species <u>8</u> x 4 = <u>32</u>
4.	Picea glauca		5		FACU	UPL Species x 5 =
5.	Vaccinium uliginosum		5		FAC	Column Totals:99.3 (A)274.6 (B)
6.	Empetrum nigrum	_	5		FAC	
7.	Rhododendron tomentosum	_	5		FACW	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.765</u>
8.	Salix pulchra	_	3		FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea		3		FAC	✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
10.	Vaccinium oxycoccos	_	0.1		OBL	✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0
Total Cover: Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in						Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in
Her	b Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	27.55	20%		11.02	Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1.	Carex bigelowii	_	15	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2.	Equisetum arvense	_	10	\checkmark	FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
3.	Rubus chamaemorus		3		FACW	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4.	Petasites frigidus				FACW	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m
5.	Arctagrostis latifolia		0.1		FACW	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes
6.	Tephroseris atropurpurea		0.1		FAC	(Where applicable)
7.		_	0			% Bare Ground
8.		_	0			Total Cover of Bryophytes90
			0			
10.		_	0			Hydrophytic
	Total Cove	-	29.2			Vegetation
	50% of Total Cover: _	14.6	_ 20%	of Total Cover:	5.84	Present? Yes No
Rem	arks: 1% spiste, trace pedicularis, arcrub, andpol.	5% lic	hen co	ver		

spiste, trace pedicularis, arcrub, andpol. 5% lichen cover.

		the depth ne Matrix	eded to docu	ed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Redox Features			ators)		
Depth (inches)	Color (mo	ist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-6	5YR	2.5/1	100			-11		fibric organics	
6-10	10YR	2/1	100					hemic organics	
10-17	5Y	3/1	100					Sandy Clay Loam	
	51	5/1	100						
	. <u> </u>								
¹ Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix									
Hydric Soil II	ndicators:			Indicators for Pro	oblemati	c Hydric So	oils: ³		
Histosol or	Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Ch	ange (TA	4) ⁴		Alaska Gleyed Without Hu	ie 5Y or Redder
✓ Histic Epip	edon (A2)			Alaska Alpine sv	vales (TA	5)	_	Underlying Layer	
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox W	/ith 2.5Y I	Hue		Other (Explain in Remark	s)
Thick Dark	Surface (A12))		3 Out to the loss of				and the first state of the state of the	
Alaska Gle	yed (A13)			and an appropriate				nary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,
Alaska Rec	dox (A14)						•		
Alaska Gle	yed Pores (A15	5)		⁴ Give details of co	lor chang	e in Remark	S		
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):								
Type: activ	ve layer (frozer	ו)						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Depth (inch	nes): 26								
Remarks:									
6-17in - 30% su	ubrounded cob	bles, 25%	subrounded	l gravels					
				5					
HYDROLO	GY								
Wetland Hyd	rology Indica	tors:						Secondary Indic	ators (two or more are required)
Primary Indica	tors (any one i	s sufficient	t)					Water Stair	ned Leaves (B9)
Surface W	/ater (A1)			Inundation Vi	sible on A	erial Image	ry (B7)	Drainage P	atterns (B10)
🖌 High Wate	er Table (A2)			Sparsely Vege				Oxidized R	nizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
Saturation	n (A3)			Marl Deposits	(B15)			Presence of	Reduced Iron (C4)
U Water Mar	rks (B1)			Hydrogen Sul	fide Odor	(C1)		Salt Deposi	ts (C5)
Sediment	Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season W	/ater Tabl	le (C2)		Stunted or	Stressed Plants (D1)
Drift Depo	. ,			Other (Explain	n in Rema	ırks)			c Position (D2)
	or Crust (B4)							Shallow Aq	
Iron Depo	. ,							_	raphic Relief (D4)
	oil Cracks (B6)							✓ FAC-neutra	l Test (D5)
Field Observa									
Surface Water	r Present?	-	No 🖲	Depth (inches	5):				\sim
Water Table P		Yes 🖲	No 🔿	Depth (inches	s): 11		Wetla	nd Hydrology Present	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔾
Saturation Pre (includes capil		Yes 🖲) No ()	Depth (inches	5): 4				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:									
Remarks:									