WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

| | ct/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project | В | orough/City: | Denali Bo | rough Sampling Date: 30-Jul-13 | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Applic | ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority | | | | Sampling Point: SW13_T147_07 | | | | |
| | igator(s): CTS, AMD | | Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Flat | | | | | | |
| | relief (concave, convex, none): concave | | Slope: | % / 1.4 | | | | | |
| | gion : Interior Alaska Mountains | l at : | 63.3711850638 Long.: -148.946151256 Datum: NAD83 | | | | | | |
| | | Lat | 03.37 1100003 | 00 | | | | | |
| | ap Unit Name: | | - \ | No ○ | NWI classification: PEM1/SS1B | | | | |
| Are \ | | significantly naturally pr | y disturbed? oblematic? | Are "N (If nee | (If no, explain in Remarks.) Iormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○ Iorded, explain any answers in Remarks.) Iorded, explain any answers in Remarks.) | | | | |
| | Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | Hydric Soil Present? Yes ● No C | | Is | Is the Sampled Area | | | | | |
| | Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No | | within a Wetland? Yes ● No ○ | | | | | | |
| Rem | earks: Slowg or Slow? V. wet site in swale. | <i></i> | ' | | | | | | |
| | ETATION - Use scientific names of plants. L | ist all spe Absolute % Cover | cies in the Dominant Species? | • | Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species | | | | |
| 1. | | 0 | | | That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3(A) | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B) | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) | | | | |
| 5. | | 0 | | | Parada a Tada a madabata | | | | |
| | Total Cover | r: | | | Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: | | | | |
| Sa | pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover: | 0 | OBL Species 36 x 1 = 36 | | | | | | |
| 1 | Calix pulabra | 30 | ✓ | FACW | FACW Species 30 x 2 = 60 | | | | |
| | Salix pulchra Vaccinium uliginosum | | | FAC | FAC Species #### x 3 = 24.60 | | | | |
| 3. | | | | TAC | FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0 | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0 | | | | |
| 5. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Column Totals: <u>74.2</u> (A) <u>120.6</u> (B) | | | | |
| b. | | U | | | | | | | |
| 6. 7. | | 0 | | | Prevalence Index = B/A =1.625_ | | | | |
| | | | | | Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.625 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: | | | | |
| 7. | | 0 0 | | | | | | | |
| 7. 8. | | 0 0 | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. | | 0 0 0 0 0 | G of Total Cover | —————————————————————————————————————— | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. | Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: | 0 0 0 0 0 | 6 of Total Cover | 6.6 FAC | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. | Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: | 0 0 0 0 0 16.5 20% | 6 of Total Cover | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. He | Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis | 0 0 0 0 33 16.5 20% | 6 of Total Cover | FAC | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. <u>He</u> 1. 2. | Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Carex aquatilis | 0 0 0 0 33 16.5 20% | 6 of Total Cover | FAC OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. | Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Eriophorum angustifolium Rumex arcticus | 0 0 0 0 16.5 20% 5 15 20 1 0.1 | 6 of Total Cover | FAC OBL OBL FAC | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: _ Calamagrostis canadensis Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Eriophorum angustifolium Rumex arcticus Carex canescens (IAM) | 0 0 0 0 33 16.5 20% 5 15 20 1 0.1 | 6 of Total Cover | FAC OBL OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. | Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: _ Calamagrostis canadensis Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Eriophorum angustifolium Rumex arcticus Carex canescens (IAM) | 0 0 0 33 16.5 20% 5 15 20 1 0.1 0.1 | 6 of Total Cover | FAC OBL OBL FAC | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. | Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Eriophorum angustifolium Rumex arcticus Carex canescens (IAM) | 0 0 0 33 16.5 20% 5 15 20 1 0.1 0.1 | 6 of Total Cover | FAC OBL OBL FAC | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. | Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Eriophorum angustifolium Rumex arcticus Carex canescens (IAM) | 0 0 0 33 16.5 20% 5 15 20 1 0.1 0.1 0 | 6 of Total Cover | FAC OBL OBL FAC | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground 2 Total Cover of Bryophytes | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. | Total Cover rb Stratum Calamagrostis canadensis Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Eriophorum angustifolium Rumex arcticus Carex canescens (IAM) | 0 0 0 0 33 16.5 20% 5 15 20 1 0.1 0.1 0 | 6 of Total Cover | FAC OBL OBL FAC | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes 4 Hydrophytic | | | | |
| 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. | Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Calamagrostis canadensis Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Eriophorum angustifolium Rumex arcticus Carex canescens (IAM) | 0 0 0 0 33 16.5 20% 5 15 20 1 0.1 0.1 0 0 0 | | FAC OBL OBL FAC FAC | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground 2 Total Cover of Bryophytes | | | | |

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13_T147_07

| Profile Descript | ion: (Describe to | | eeded to docume | nt the indicator or co | | | ators) | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| Depth (inches) | | Matrix | | | dox Featu | | . 2 | Texture | Remarks | |
| 0-5 | Color (m | oist) | 100 | Color (moist) | <u>%</u> | Type ¹ | <u>Loc</u> 2 | Fibric Organics | Remarks | |
| | | 2.5/1 | | | | | | Sandy Clay Loam | | |
| 5-17 | 5Y | | | | - | | | Salidy Clay Loalii | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ¹Type: C=Co | ncentration. D | =Depletion | . RM=Reduced | Matrix ² Location | n: PL=Por | e Lining. RC | =Root Cha | nnel. M=Matrix | | |
| Hydric Soil I | ndicators: | | 1 | Indicators for Pr | oblemati | c Hydric Sc | oils: ³ | | | |
| Histosol or Histel (A1) | | | | Alaska Color Change (TA4) | | | | Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder | | |
| Histic Epip | pedon (A2) | | [| Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) | | | | Underlying Layer | | |
| Hydrogen | Sulfide (A4) | | [| ☐ Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue | | | | Other (Explain in Remark | s) | |
| Thick Darl | k Surface (A1 | 2) | | 30 | | | | | | |
| Alaska Gle | eyed (A13) | | | and an appropriat | | | | nary indicator of wetland hy | ydrology, | |
| Alaska Re | dox (A14) | | | | | • | • | | | |
| Alaska Gle | eyed Pores (A | 15) | | 4 Give details of co | olor chang | е іп кетагк | S | | | |
| Restrictive Lay | er (if present) | : | | | | | | | | |
| Type: san | dy clay loam, | Active layer | | | | | | Hydric Soil Present? | ? Yes ● No O | |
| Depth (incl | nes): 5, 17 | | | | | | | | | |
| positive reaction | n to alpha, al | pha-dipyrid [.] | yl dye indicates | reducing environ | ment. | | | | | |
| HYDROLO | GY | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetland Hyd | | | | | | | | Secondary Indic | ators (two or more are required) | |
| Primary Indica | | is sufficien | t) | | | | | | ned Leaves (B9) | |
| | Vater (A1) | | | Inundation V | | _ | | ✓ Drainage Pa | ` ' | |
| ✓ High Wat | ` , | | | Sparsely Veg | | ncave Surfac | ce (B8) | | nizospheres along Living Roots (C3) | |
| ✓ Saturation | . , | | | Marl Deposits | . , | (61) | | | Reduced Iron (C4) | |
| Water Ma | : Deposits (B2 | ` | | Hydrogen Su | | | | ☐ Salt Deposi | Stressed Plants (D1) | |
| Drift Dep | | , | | ☐ Dry-Season \☐ Other (Explain | | | | Geomorphi | ` , | |
| | or Crust (B4) | | | | III III Keilla | ii KS) | | ✓ Shallow Aq | | |
| Iron Depo | | | | | | | | | raphic Relief (D4) | |
| | oil Cracks (B6 |) | | | | | | ✓ FAC-neutra | | |
| Field Observa | | - | | | | | | | | |
| Surface Wate | r Present? | Yes 🤇 | No 💿 | Depth (inche | es): | | | | | |
| Water Table F | Present? | Yes 🤄 | No O | Depth (inche | es): 10 | | Wetlar | nd Hydrology Present | t? Yes • No O | |
| Saturation Pro | esent? | Voc (| No O | Depth (inche | • | | | | | |
| (includes capi | llary fringe) | 165 @ | 7 110 🔾 | рерит (піспе | :5): 6 | | | | | |
| Describe Recor | ded Data (str | eam gauge | monitor well, | aerial photos, pre | vious inspe | ection) if ava | iilable: | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Some surface | water in sedge | e openings | | | | | | | | |
| | 9 | . 5- | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0