## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

••	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 07-Aug-13			
	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T142_06			
nvest	igator(s): WAD, RWM		Landform (hill	side, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): drainage feature			
.ocal	relief (concave, convex, none): concave		Slope:	%/ 1.0	elevation: 119			
ubre	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains	Lat ·	63.094686151	3	Long.: -148.292552949 Datum: NAD83			
	ap Unit Name:	-	00.004000101	0				
	matic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this t			• No ()	(If no, explain in Remarks.)			
Are ' Are '	Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly naturally pr	v disturbed? oblematic?	Are "N (If nee	ormal Circumstances" present? Yes $ullet$ No $igodot$ ded, explain any answers in Remarks.)			
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes  No	)						
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes	)	ls	the Sam	Sampled Area			
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes  No C		wi	thin a W	Vetland? Yes $ullet$ No $igodoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldol$			
Rem	arks: banks of small stream, adjacent to two small per		ooded ponds					
	ETATION - Use scientific names of plants. L	ist all spe Absolute % Cover	cies in the Dominant Species?		<b>Dominance Test worksheet:</b> Number of Dominant Species			
1.		0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A)			
2.					Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)			
3.		0						
4.		0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)			
5.		0						
	Total Cover	. 0			Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sa	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species $70 \times 1 = 70$			
	<u> </u>	20						
1. 2.	Salix pulchra				FAUVY Species $30$ X/= $78$			
	Coliv fuenecene	30		FACW	FACW Species 39 $\times 2 = 78$ FAC Species 0 $\times 3 = 0$			
	Salix fuscescens	4		FACW	FAC Species $0 \times 3 = 0$			
3.	Salix fuscescens	4			FAC Species $0$ $x \ 3 =$ $0$ FACU Species $0$ $x \ 4 =$ $0$			
3. 4.	Salix fuscescens	4 0 0			FAC Species $0$ $x \ 3 =$ $0$ FACU Species $0$ $x \ 4 =$ $0$ UPL Species $0$ $x \ 5 =$ $0$			
3. 4. 5.	Salix fuscescens	4 0 0 0			FAC Species $0$ $x \ 3 =$ $0$ FACU Species $0$ $x \ 4 =$ $0$			
3. 4. 5. 6.	Salix fuscescens	4 0 0 0 0			FAC Species $0$ $x \ 3 =$ $0$ FACU Species $0$ $x \ 4 =$ $0$ UPL Species $0$ $x \ 5 =$ $0$			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Salix fuscescens	4 0 0 0 0 0			FAC Species0 $x \ 3 =$ 0FACU Species0 $x \ 4 =$ 0UPL Species0 $x \ 5 =$ 0Column Totals:109(A)148Prevalence Index = B/A =1.358			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Salix fuscescens				FAC Species0 $x \ 3 =$ 0FACU Species0 $x \ 4 =$ 0UPL Species0 $x \ 5 =$ 0Column Totals:109(A)148Prevalence Index = B/A =1.358Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Salix fuscescens				FAC Species0 $x \ 3 =$ 0FACU Species0 $x \ 4 =$ 0UPL Species0 $x \ 5 =$ 0Column Totals:109(A)148Prevalence Index = B/A =1.358Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: $\checkmark$ Dominance Test is > 50%			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Salix fuscescens	4           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0			FAC Species0 $x \ 3 =$ 0FACU Species0 $x \ 4 =$ 0UPL Species0 $x \ 5 =$ 0Column Totals:109(A)148Prevalence Index = B/A =1.358Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: $\checkmark$ Dominance Test is > 50% $\checkmark$ Prevalence Index is <3.0			
3. 4. 5. 7. 8. 9.	Salix fuscescens	4           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           34	S of Total Cover	FACW	FAC Species0 $x \ 3 =$ 0FACU Species0 $x \ 4 =$ 0UPL Species0 $x \ 5 =$ 0Column Totals:109(A)148Prevalence Index = B/A =1.358Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: $\checkmark$ Dominance Test is > 50%			
3. 4. 5. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Salix fuscescens	4           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           34		FACW	FAC Species0 $x \ 3 =$ 0FACU Species0 $x \ 4 =$ 0UPL Species0 $x \ 5 =$ 0Column Totals:109(A)148Prevalence Index = B/A =1.358Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: $\checkmark$ Dominance Test is > 50% $\checkmark$ Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ $\square$ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b>	Salix fuscescens Salix fuscescens Total Cover:		G of Total Cover	FACW	FAC Species0x 3 =0FACU Species0x 4 =0UPL Species0x 5 =0Column Totals:109(A)148Prevalence Index = B/A =1.358Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: $\checkmark$ Dominance Test is > 50% $\checkmark$ Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ $\square$ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) $\square$ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2.	Salix fuscescens Salix fuscescens Total Cover Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Company polyetro		G of Total Cover	FACW	FAC Species $0$ x 3 = $0$ FACU Species $0$ x 4 = $0$ UPL Species $0$ x 5 = $0$ Column Totals: $109$ (A) $148$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $1.358$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 10. <b>He</b> 1. 2. 3.	Salix fuscescens Salix fuscescens Total Cover Total Cover S0% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	G of Total Cover	FACW 	FAC Species       0       x 3 =       0         FACU Species       0       x 4 =       0         UPL Species       0       x 5 =       0         Column Totals:       109       (A)       148       (B)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       1.358         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         ✓       Prevalence Index is <3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4.	Salix fuscescens  Salix fuscescens  Total Cover  Total Cover  S0% of Total Cover:	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	G of Total Cover	FACW 	FAC Species       0       x 3 =       0         FACU Species       0       x 4 =       0         UPL Species       0       x 5 =       0         Column Totals:       109       (A)       148       (B)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       1.358         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         ✓       Prevalence Index is <3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Salix fuscescens  Salix fuscescens  Total Cover  So% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	G of Total Cover	FACW 	FAC Species       0       x 3 =       0         FACU Species       0       x 4 =       0         UPL Species       0       x 5 =       0         Column Totals:       109       (A)       148       (B)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       1.358         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         ✓       Prevalence Index is <3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Salix fuscescens  Salix fuscescens  Total Cover  So% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia		G of Total Cover	FACW 	FAC Species       0       x 3 =       0         FACU Species       0       x 4 =       0         UPL Species       0       x 5 =       0         Column Totals:       109       (A)       148       (B)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       1.358         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <ul> <li>Dominance Test is &gt; 50%</li> <li>Prevalence Index is ≤3.0</li> <li>Morphological Adaptations<sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)</li> <li>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)</li> <li>Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.</li> <li>Plot size (radius, or length x width)</li> <li>10m</li> <li>% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes</li> <li></li></ul>			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Salix fuscescens Salix fuscescens Total Cover Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	G of Total Cover	FACW 	FAC Species       0       x 3 =       0         FACU Species       0       x 4 =       0         UPL Species       0       x 5 =       0         Column Totals:       109       (A)       148       (B)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       1.358         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         ✓       Prevalence Index is <3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Salix fuscescens  Salix fuscescens  Total Cover  So% of Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	G of Total Cover	FACW 	FAC Species0x 3 =0FACU Species0x 4 =0UPL Species0x 5 =0Column Totals:109(A)148Prevalence Index = B/A =1.358Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: $\checkmark$ Dominance Test is > 50% $\checkmark$ Prevalence Index is <3.0			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Salix fuscescens  Salix fuscescens  Total Cover  Total Cover: Carex aquatilis Comarum palustre Arctagrostis latifolia	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	G of Total Cover	FACW 	FAC Species0x 3 =0FACU Species0x 4 =0UPL Species0x 5 =0Column Totals:109(A)148Prevalence Index = B/A =1.358Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: $\checkmark$ Dominance Test is > 50% $\checkmark$ Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ $\square$ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) $\square$ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.Plot size (radius, or length x width)10m% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes			
3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Salix fuscescens Salix fuscescens Total Cover Total Cover:	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $	G of Total Cover	FACW	FAC Species0x 3 =0FACU Species0x 4 =0UPL Species0x 5 =0Column Totals:109(A)148Prevalence Index = B/A =1.358Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: $\checkmark$ Dominance Test is > 50% $\checkmark$ Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0$ $\square$ Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) $\square$ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.Plot size (radius, or length x width)10m% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes			

		the depth ne <b>Matrix</b>	eded to docu	iment the indicator or	confirm the al Redox Feat		cators)			
Depth — (inches)	Color (moi	ist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks	
0-3			100					Fibric Organics		
3-6			100					Hemic Organics	, <del>-</del>	
6-8			100				-	Sapric Organics		
8-11	2.5Y	3/1	100					Sand	with roots and organics	
11-16	10YR	3/2	100					Silt Loam	-	
				,		<u> </u>				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concen	ntration. D=	Depletion	. RM=Reduc	ced Matrix <sup>2</sup> Locat	ion: PL=Po	me Lining. R	C=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil Indic	cators:			Indicators for	Problemat	ic Hydric S	oils:			
Histosol or His				Alaska Color		4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder	
✓ Histic Epipedor	. ,			Alaska Alpine		,		Underlying Layer		
Hydrogen Sulf				Alaska Redo	x With 2.5Y	Hue		Other (Explain in Remar	(S)	
Thick Dark Sur	rface (A12)			20 101100	**					
Alaska Gleyed	(A13)			<sup>3</sup> One indicator and an appropr	of hydropny riate landsca	ytic vegetation	on, one pri must be pr	mary indicator of wetland h esent	iydrology,	
Alaska Redox (	. ,			<sup>4</sup> Give details of						
Alaska Gleyed	Pores (A15	)		· GIVE uctails of		Je in Keman	KS			
Restrictive Layer (if	f present):									
Type:								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Depth (inches):										
HYDROLOGY										
Wetland Hydrolo									cators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indicators		<u>s sufficient</u>	.)			• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(07)		ined Leaves (B9)	
	. ,					Aerial Image			Patterns (B10) Chizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
Saturation (A3	<ul> <li>High Water Table (A2)</li> <li>Saturation (A3)</li> </ul>			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) Marl Deposits (B15)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		
Water Marks (					. ,	r (C1)				
Sediment Dep					n Water Tab				Stressed Plants (D1)	
Drift Deposits	,			_	plain in Rema			Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Algal Mat or C	Crust (B4)							Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Iron Deposits	Iron Deposits (B5)							_	graphic Relief (D4)	
Surface Soil C	Cracks (B6)						÷	FAC-neutra	al Test (D5)	
Field Observation		G	$\sim$							
Surface Water Pre	esent?		No O	Depth (inc	:hes): 1					
Water Table Prese		Yes 🖲	) No ()	Depth (inc	:hes): 9		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	it? Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Saturation Present (includes capillary		Yes 🖲	) No ()	Depth (inc	:hes): 0					
Describe Recorded	Data (strea	am gauge,	monitor we	ell, aerial photos, p	revious insp	ection) if av	ailable:			
ļ										
Remarks:										