WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project	/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Bo	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 11-Jul-13			
Applica	nt/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T139_08			
Investigator(s): WAD, BAB Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hillside								
	elief (concave, convex, none): convex		Slope:	%/ 3.0				
-	ion : Southcentral Alaska							
	p Unit Name:				NWI classification: Upland			
Are V Are V SUMN	egetation , Soil , or Hydrology r	significantly naturally pro wing sam	disturbed? oblematic?	(If nee	(If no, explain in Remarks.) ormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○ ded, explain any answers in Remarks.) s, transects, important features, etc.			
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No		Is	the Sam	pled Area			
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No 🖲				/etland? Yes \bigcirc No \bigcirc			
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No C Irks: small patch of mixed forest within sloping peatlar							
VEGE	TATION - Use scientific names of plants. Li	st all spe Absolute	cies in the Dominant	plot. Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tree	Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)			
1.	Picea mariana	35		FACW	Total Number of Dominant			
2.	Picea glauca	10		FACU	Species Across All Strata:5(B)			
3.	Betula kenaica	10		FACU	Percent of dominant Species			
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)			
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	Total Cover:				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 2	27.5 20%	of Total Cover:	11	OBL Species x 1 =			
1.	Vaccinium alaskaense	35	\checkmark	FAC	FACW Species <u>38</u> x 2 = <u>76</u>			
2.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	5		FAC	FAC Species <u>92</u> x 3 = <u>276</u>			
3.	Empetrum nigrum	5		FAC	FACU Species <u>37</u> x 4 = <u>148</u>			
4.	Alnus viridis	5		FAC	UPL Species x 5 =			
5.	Sorbus scopulina	3		FACU	Column Totals: <u>172</u> (A) <u>505</u> (B)			
6.	Betula kenaica	2		FACU				
7.		0			Prevalence Index = B/A =2.936			
8.		0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
9.		0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%			
10.		0			✓ Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0			
Her	Total Cover: <u>50% of Total Cover:</u>		of Total Cover	:	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
1.	Rubus pedatus	15	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
2.	Cornus suecica	10		FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
3.	Dryopteris expansa	10	\checkmark	FACU	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
4.	Equisetum sylvaticum	8		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>			
5.	Carex aquatilis	5		OBL	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes			
6.	Equisetum arvense	5		FAC	(Where applicable)			
7.	Calamagrostis canadensis	4		FAC	% Bare Ground			
8.	Sanguisorba canadensis	3		FACW	Total Cover of Bryophytes			
9.	Spinulum annotinum	2		FACU				
10.		0			Hydrophytic			
	Total Cover:		of Total Course	40.4	Vegetation Present? Yes No			
	50% of Total Cover:	3120%	of Total Cover:	12.4				
Rem	arks:							

	-	the depth ne Matrix	eded to doci	ument the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Redox Features				ators)			
Depth (inches)			%	Color (moist)				Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0-3		1307	100		01327		116-		Fibric Organics		
3-5			100						Hemic Organics		
5-7			100						Sapric Organics		
7-12	10YR	3/3	90	7.5YR	4/6	10	RM	PL	Sandy Loam		
¹ Type: C=Cond	centration. D=	-Depletion.	RM=Redu	ced Matrix	² Location	: PL=Por	e Lining. RC	=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil In	dicators:						c Hydric So	oils:			
Histosol or	. ,				Alaska Color Change (TA4)				Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer		
	Histic Epipedon (A2)				Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) Underlying Layer Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue Other (Explain in Remarks)				د)		
Hydrogen S	Sulfide (A4) Surface (A12)	`			a Redox w	/itn 2.51 r	lue	L		5)	
Alaska Gley	. ,)							mary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,	
Alaska Gley	. ,			and an	appropriate	e landscap	pe position r	nust be pro	esent		
	ed Pores (A15	5)		⁴ Give d	etails of co	lor change	e in Remark	S			
Restrictive Layer	r (if present):										
Type: none									Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🔿 No 🖲	
Depth (inche	•										
Remarks:											
HYDROLOG	GY										
Wetland Hydro	ology Indica	tors:								cators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indicate		s sufficient)							ned Leaves (B9)	
Surface Wa	. ,				Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)				Drainage Patterns (B10)		
High Water Saturation	r Table (A2)				Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				 Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) 		
_					Marl Deposits (B15)				Salt Deposits (C5)		
Water Marks (B1) Sediment Deposits (B2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)					Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Drift Deposits (B3)			Other (Explain in Remarks)					Geomorphic Position (D2)			
	or Crust (B4)			<u> </u>	10. (=		inc,		Shallow Aq	· · ·	
Iron Depos										raphic Relief (D4)	
Surface So	il Cracks (B6)								FAC-neutra	l Test (D5)	
Field Observat	tions:										
Surface Water	Present?	Yes 🖲	_		pth (inches	s): 1					
Water Table Pr	esent?	Yes \bigcirc) No 🖲	De	pth (inches	s):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Saturation Pres (includes capilla		Yes 🖲	No O	De	pth (inches	5): 4					

Remarks:

surface water limited to one small patch, pit not deep enough to intercept water table but assume saturation is related.

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available: