WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City:	Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling	g Date: 08-Jul-13			
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Sampling Point:	SW13_T130_03			
Investigator(s): JGK	Landform (hills	side, terrace, hummocks etc.): Shoulde	r slope			
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	Slope:	% / 4.6 ° Elevation: 106				
Subregion : Interior Alaska Mountains Lat.:	63.036976814	1 Long.: -148.140089394	Datum: NAD83			
Soil Map Unit Name:		NWI classification:	PSS1B			
	ar? Yes (ntly disturbed? problematic?	 No (If no, explain in Remarks Are "Normal Circumstances" present? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks) 	Yes 🔍 No 🔿			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.						

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes () Yes () Yes ()	No () No () No ()	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes 🖲 No 🔿	
Remarks:					

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

AL		Absolute	e Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
Tre	e Stratum	<u>% Cove</u>		Status	Number of Dominant Species			
1.		0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)			
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)			
3.		0			Percent of dominant Species			
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)			
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:			
	Total Cover	: 0	_		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20	% of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$			
1	Betula nana	35	\checkmark	FAC	FACW Species 24.1 x 2 = 48.20			
2.	Vaccinium uliginosum	15	-	FAC	FAC Species 91 x 3 = 273			
3.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	F		FAC	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0			
4.	Rhododendron tomentosum			FACW	UPL Species 5 x 5 = 25			
5.	Empetrum nigrum	-		FAC	Column Totals: <u>120.1</u> (A) <u>346.2</u> (B)			
6.	Salix pulchra	1.5		FACW				
7.	Salix reticulata	-		FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.883			
8.					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
					✓ Dominance Test is > 50%			
		0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0			
Total Cover: 87					Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in			
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 43.5 20% of Total Cover:				17.4	Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
1.	Carex bigelowii	20	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
2.	Poa glauca	2		UPL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
3.	Artemisia furcata	3		UPL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
4.	Rhodiola integrifolia			FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m			
5.	Equisetum arvense	1	_	FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes <u>15</u>			
6.	Petasites frigidus	2		FACW	(Where applicable)			
7.	Pedicularis labradorica	0.1		FACW	% Bare Ground			
8.					Total Cover of Bryophytes			
		0			Hydrophytic			
	Total Cover	33.1	_		Vegetation			
	50% of Total Cover:	6.55 20	% of Total Cover:	6.62	Present? Yes No			
Remarks: Lichen 10 Tr Carex sp., trace Stellaria sp. (coll)								

	ofile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features										
Depth (inches)	Color (mo	iet)	%	Color (r	noist)	%	Type ¹	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks	
0-2		151/				-70	Туре	LUC	Fibric Organics		
				E) (D							
2-14	2.5Y	4/1	60	5YR	4/4	30	C	PL	Sandy Silt Clay Loam	10% PL 10 YR 4/2	
									·		
									·		
¹ Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduc	ed Matrix	² Location	: PL=Pore	e Lining. RC	=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicat	tors for Pro	blematic	: Hydric So	oils: ³			
	Histel (A1)				ka Color Ch		4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder	
Histic Epip	. ,				ka Alpine sv		-		Underlying Layer		
	Sulfide (A4)				ka Redox W	•	,		Other (Explain in Remark	(S)	
	Surface (A12)										
Alaska Gle	. ,								nary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,	
Alaska Gle				and an	appropriate	e landscap	e position r	nust be pre	esent		
	yed Pores (A15	-		4 Give	details of co	lor change	e in Remark	s			
	yeu Poles (Als)									
Restrictive Laye	er (if present):										
Type:									Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Depth (inch	nes):										
Remarks:											
Soil too thixotro	ophic to dig be	yond 14 in									
HYDROLO											
Wetland Hyd										cators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indica	tors (any one i	s sufficient	:)						Water Stained Leaves (B9)		
Surface W	. ,			In	undation Vi	sible on A	erial Image	ту (B7)	🗌 Drainage F	Patterns (B10)	
High Wate	er Table (A2)			S	oarsely Vege	tated Con	cave Surfac	ce (B8)	Oxidized R	hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
Saturation	. ,			М	arl Deposits	(B15)				f Reduced Iron (C4)	
Water Ma	Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Salt Deposits (C5)							its (C5)			
Sediment	Sediment Deposits (B2) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Stunted or Stressed F							Stressed Plants (D1)			
Drift Depo	Deposits (B3)							ic Position (D2)			
🗌 Algal Mat	Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Shallow Aquitard (D3)								juitard (D3)		
Iron Depo	osits (B5)								Microtopog	graphic Relief (D4)	
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6)								✓ FAC-neutra	ll Test (D5)	
Field Observa	ations:	_	_								
Surface Water	Present?	Yes C) No 🖲	D	epth (inches	s):					
Water Table P	Present?	Yes 🖲) No ()	D	epth (inches	s): 10		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Saturation Pre		Yes 🖲	No O		epth (inches	,					
(includes capiliary minge)											
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Remarks:											