WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project	/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Borough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 08-Jul-13							
Applica	int/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T128_07							
•••	gator(s): JER		Landform (hills	Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Floodplain								
	elief (concave, convex, none):		Slope:									
Subreg	ion : Southcentral Alaska	Lat.:	62.945378696	8	Long.: -148.875024357 Datum: NAD83							
-	p Unit Name:			NWI classification: PSS1E								
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)												
Are V Are V	egetation 🗌 , Soil 🗌 , or Hydrology 🗌 s	ignificant aturally p	tly disturbed? problematic?	Are "N (If nee	lormal Circumstances" present? Yes $oldsymbol{igstar}$ No $igstar$ eddd, explain any answers in Remarks.)							
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No											
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No		Is the Sampled Area									
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No		wi	thin a W	'etland? Yes $ullet$ No $igodot$							
Remarks: riverine willow, slcw, small interfluv, water in depressions. plot adjacent to swift perrenial creek with a cobble bottom, 68 ft wide, 12 ft deep,												
waterfall just downstream. VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.												
		Absolute	e Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:							
Tree	e Stratum	% Cove		Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 5 (A)							
1.		0			Total Number of Dominant							
2.		0			Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)							
3.		0			Percent of dominant Species							
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)							
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:							
	Total Cover:	0	_		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:							
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 209	% of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$							
1	Salix pulchra	80	\checkmark	FACW	FACW Species 100 x 2 = 200							
	Saliy alayongig	1	-	FAC	FAC Species 44 x 3 = 132							
	Dasiphora fruticosa	10	-	FAC	FACU Species 2 x 4 = 8							
	Salix reticulata	2		FAC	UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0							
5.		0			Column Totals: <u>146</u> (A) <u>340</u> (B)							
6.		0										
7.		0			Prevalence Index = B/A =							
8.		0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:							
9.		0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%							
10.		0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0							
Her	Total Cover: <u> 50% of Total Cover:</u>	93 6.5 20	% of Total Cover	18.6	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)							
1.	Petasites frigidus	8	\checkmark	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)							
2.	Sanguisorba canadensis	10	\checkmark	FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must							
3.	Calamagrostis canadensis	5		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.							
4.	Rhodiola integrifolia	8	\checkmark	FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m							
5.	Anemone richardsonii	15	\checkmark	FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes							
6.	Viola palustris	2	_	FACW	(Where applicable)							
7.	Mertensia paniculata	2		FACU	% Bare Ground							
8.	Rumex arcticus	1		FAC	Total Cover of Bryophytes 65							
9.	Polemonium acutiflorum	1		FAC								
10.	Valeriana capitata	1	_	FAC	Hydrophytic							
	Total Cover:	53			Vegetation							
	50% of Total Cover: <u>26.5</u> 20% of Total Cover: <u>10.6</u> Present? Yes No C											
Rem	arks: achmil 1, anenar 1, equarv 3, dodfri 2, epiang 1	, swepe	r 5.									

Profile Descript Depth	ion: (Describe to	the depth nee Matrix	eded to docur	ment the inc		firm the abs		cators)		
(inches)	Color (mo	oist)	%	Color (m	ioist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-2			100						Hemic Organics	
2-3			100						Sapric Organics	
3-15	2.5Y	4/1	70	7.5YR	4/6	30	C	PL	Silt Loam	
	2.51	4/1	/0	7.51K	4/0					granite cobbles w surrounding fragments 30
								p		
	·					a.				
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration. D=	=Depletion.	RM=Reduc	ed Matrix	² Location	: PL=Pore	e Lining. R	C=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil I	indicators:			Indicat	ors for Pro	oblematio	c Hydric S	oils: ³		
Histosol o	r Histel (A1)			🗌 Alasl	ka Color Ch	ange (TA4	4 1)		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)			Alasl	ka Alpine sv	wales (TA5	5)		Underlying Layer	
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			🖌 Alasl	ka Redox W	/ith 2.5Y F	lue		Other (Explain in Remark	(3)
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12))								
🗌 Alaska Gle	eyed (A13)							on, one prim must be pre	nary indicator of wetland h	iydrology,
🗌 Alaska Re	dox (A14)								Jent	
🗌 Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A1	5)		⁴ Give c	letails of co	lor change	e in Remarl	ks		
Restrictive Lay	er (if present):									
Type:	,								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🖲 No 🔾
Depth (inc	hes):									
Remarks:										
Remarkor										
HYDROLO	-									
-	rology Indica									cators (two or more are required)
	ators (any one i Vater (A1)	is sumclent						(07)		ned Leaves (B9)
	. ,				undation Vi		-		_	Patterns (B10) hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
	 ✓ High Water Table (A2) ✓ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) ✓ Saturation (A3) ✓ Marl Deposits (B15) 							ce (B8)		of Reduced Iron (C4)
Water Ma					•	. ,	(C1)		Salt Depos	
					drogen Sul					
	Deposits (B2)			_	y-Season W		• •		_	Stressed Plants (D1) ic Position (D2)
	or Crust (B4)				her (Explair	n in Rema	rks)			
	. ,								_	quitard (D3) graphic Relief (D4)
·	Goil Cracks (B6)								FAC-neutra	,
	. ,								I FAC-neutra	
Field Observ Surface Wate			No 🖲	De	epth (inches	-).				
						,				
Water Table		Yes 🔍	No 🔿	De	epth (inches	s): 6		Wetlar	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔾
Saturation Pro (includes cap	illary fringe)		No O		epth (inches					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:										
Remarks:										
some water in depressions										
Some water in										