WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	B	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 10-Jul-13								
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority Sampling Point: SW13_T127_05												
Investigator(s): SLI, SCB	e, hummocks etc.): Mountainslope											
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	%/ 4.4	° Elevation: 114										
Subregion : Southcentral Alaska	Lat.: 6	52.940312000	 04	Long.: -148.993000001 Datum: NAD83								
Soil Map Unit Name:	_			NWI classification: PEM1B								
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No O (If no, explain in Remarks.)												
Are Vegetation , soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No												
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology anaturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)												
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.												
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No												
Hydric Soil Present? Yes 💿 No 🖯												
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No O within a Wetland? Yes No O												
Remarks: mesic meadow w large (up to 0.5m) hummocks												
VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. Li	st all spe	cies in the	plot.									
	Absolute	Dominant	-	Dominance Test worksheet:								
Tree Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)								
1	0			Total Number of Dominant								
2.	0			Species Across All Strata:4(B)								
3	0			Percent of dominant Species								
4	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)								
5	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:								
Total Cover:				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:								
Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover	0	OBL Species x 1 =								
1. Salix rotundifolia	2		FAC	FACW Species 2.1 x 2 = 4.2								
2. Salix reticulata	1		FAC	FAC Species28 x 3 =84								
3. Salix pulchra	1		FACW	FACU Species <u>6</u> $x 4 = 24$								
4				UPL Species x 5 =								
5				Column Totals: <u>36.1</u> (A) <u>112.2</u> (B)								
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A =3.108								
7												
8	0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%								
9	0			Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0								
10												
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	-	of Total Cover	r: 0.8	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)								
1. Carex podocarpa	10	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)								
2. Artemisia norvegica	5		FACU	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must								
3. Rhodiola integrifolia	5	\checkmark	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.								
4. Festuca altaica	5	\checkmark	FAC									
5. Aconitum delphiniifolium	2		FAC	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>								
6. Rubus arcticus	2		FAC	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)								
7. Sanguisorba officinalis	1		FACW	% Bare Ground								
8. Anemone narcissiflora	1		FACU	Total Cover of Bryophytes								
9. Polemonium acutiflorum	1		FAC									
10. Alopecurus magellanicus	0.1		FACW	Hydrophytic								
Total Cover:				Vegetation								
50% of Total Cover: <u>1</u>	6.05 20%	of Total Cover	6.42	Present? Yes • No ·								

Remarks: arclat 5, euqarv tr, polbis 1, cerber tr, carbig 1, trispi tr, claytonia sarmentosa tr, petfri tr, veronica tr. total shrub c over <5%, thus no dominant shrub species.

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the abso Matrix Redox Feature							cators)				
Depth Color (moist)		%	Color (moist)		%	% Type ¹		Texture	Remarks		
0-1								<u>Loc</u> ²	Hemic Organics		
1-6	2.5Y	3/1	50						Clay Loam		
6-20		3/2	80	10YR	4/4	20			Clay Loam	thixotropic, collapsing into pit.	
									0,		
	·										
									<i>a</i>		
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduce	ed Matrix	² Location	: PL=Por	e Lining. RC	C=Root Cha	nnel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil I	indicators:			Indicat	tors for Pro	oblemati	c Hydric S	oils: ³			
Histosol o	r Histel (A1)			Alas	ska Color Ch	ange (TA	4) ⁴		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder	
	pedon (A2)			Alas	ska Alpine sv	wales (TA	5)	_	Underlying Layer		
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alas	ska Redox W	/ith 2.5Y F	lue		Other (Explain in Remark	s)	
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)			3 One i	" -top of	- duranta d	···	nein	to diverse of workload b	1 1	
	eyed (A13)				ndicator of I appropriate				nary indicator of wetland h esent	ydrology,	
✓ Alaska Re	. ,				details of co		•				
Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A15)		. Give (IOI Change		G			
Restrictive Lay	er (if present):										
Type: clay									Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🖲 No 🔿	
Depth (inc	hes): 1										
Remarks:											
6-20in: w angu	ılar gravels-cobl	oles									
HYDROLO	GY										
	rology Indicat	ors:							Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indica	ators (any one is	sufficient	:)						Water Stai	ned Leaves (B9)	
	Vater (A1)			🗌 In	undation Vis	sible on A	erial Image	ry (B7)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
	er Table (A2)				oarsely Vege		ncave Surfa	ce (B8)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)		
✓ Saturatio	. ,			_	arl Deposits	. ,			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		
Water Marks (B1)									Salt Depos		
	Deposits (B2)				ry-Season W		. ,			Stressed Plants (D1)	
Drift Dep				∐ Ot	ther (Explair	1 in Rema	rks)			ic Position (D2)	
Iron Dep	or Crust (B4)								Shallow Ac	graphic Relief (D4)	
· _ ·	osits (BS) Goil Cracks (B6)									al Test (D5)	
Field Observ	. ,										
Surface Wate		Yes C) No 🖲	D	epth (inches	s):					
Water Table		-	No 🖲		epth (inches			Wetlar	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Saturation Pr						,		TT CCIC.	id flydrology i less.		
(includes cap		Yes 💌) No 🔿	De	epth (inches	s): 6					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Remarks:											
toeslope. soils in 6-20in layer collapsing into pit (thixotropic) - indicates water level in addition to saturation?											