

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project Borough/City: Matanuska-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 11-Jul-13
 Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority Sampling Point: **SW13 T126 10**
 Investigator(s): SLI, SCB Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Hillside
 Local relief (concave, convex, none): concave Slope: % / 11.2 ° Elevation: 790
 Subregion: Southcentral Alaska Lat.: 62.8860138749 Long.: -149.388066266 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: _____ **NWI classification: Upland**

Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No
 Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <input type="radio"/> No <input checked="" type="radio"/>
Remarks: <u>slope/sdobe on small crest.</u>	

VEGETATION -Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
Tree Stratum				Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B) Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>60.0%</u> (A/B)
1. <u>Picea glauca</u>	<u>1</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FACU	
2. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
3. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
4. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
5. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
Total Cover:		<u>1</u>		Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL Species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW Species <u>1</u> x 2 = <u>2</u> FAC Species <u>59.1</u> x 3 = <u>177.3</u> FACU Species <u>6.1</u> x 4 = <u>24.4</u> UPL Species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>66.2</u> (A) <u>203.7</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.077</u>
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	50% of Total Cover: <u>0.5</u>	20% of Total Cover: <u>0.2</u>		
1. <u>Betula glandulosa</u>	<u>20</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
2. <u>Vaccinium uliginosum</u>	<u>20</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
3. <u>Empetrum nigrum</u>	<u>10</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
4. <u>Arctous ruber</u>	<u>5</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
5. <u>Spiraea stevenii</u>	<u>1</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FACU	
6. <u>Vaccinium vitis-idaea</u>	<u>0.1</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
7. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
8. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
9. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
10. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
Total Cover:		<u>56.1</u>		
Herb Stratum	50% of Total Cover: <u>28.05</u>	20% of Total Cover: <u>11.22</u>		
1. <u>Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum</u>	<u>2</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	UPL	
2. <u>Artemisia norvegica</u>	<u>2</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FACU	
3. <u>Festuca altaica</u>	<u>2</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
4. <u>Pedicularis labradorica</u>	<u>1</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FACW	
5. <u>Carex bigelowii</u>	<u>1</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
6. <u>Pedicularis lapponica</u>	<u>1</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FAC	
7. <u>Spinulum annotinum</u>	<u>0.1</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	FACU	
8. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
9. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
10. _____	<u>0</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
Total Cover:		<u>9.1</u>		
50% of Total Cover:	<u>4.55</u>	20% of Total Cover:	<u>1.82</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
 Dominance Test is > 50%
 Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0
 Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m
 % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) _____
 % Bare Ground _____
 Total Cover of Bryophytes _____

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No

Remarks: abundant lichens including stereo, cladina spp. total tree cover <5% thus no dominant tree species.

SOIL

Sampling Point: **SW13_T126_10**

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators)

Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-2		100					Sapric Organic	
2-4	10YR	3/2	100				Silt Loam	w coarse sand-fine gravels
4-7	7.5YR	2.5/2	100				Loam	w coarse sand-fine gravels
7-12	10YR	4/3	100				Loam	w subang gravels to cobbles
12-20	2.5Y	3/2	100				Loam	

¹Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix

Hydric Soil Indicators:

Histosol or Histel (A1)
 Histic Epipedon (A2)
 Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
 Thick Dark Surface (A12)
 Alaska Gleyed (A13)
 Alaska Redox (A14)
 Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:³

Alaska Color Change (TA4)⁴ Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer
 Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) Other (Explain in Remarks)
 Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue

³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, and an appropriate landscape position must be present
⁴ Give details of color change in Remarks

Restrictive Layer (if present):
 Type: _____
 Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes No

Remarks:
 no hydric soil indicators

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (any one is sufficient)

Surface Water (A1) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
 High Water Table (A2) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
 Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15)
 Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
 Sediment Deposits (B2) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
 Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks)
 Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
 Iron Deposits (B5)
 Surface Soil Cracks (B6)

Secondary Indicators (two or more are required)

Water Stained Leaves (B9)
 Drainage Patterns (B10)
 Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)
 Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
 Salt Deposits (C5)
 Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
 Geomorphic Position (D2)
 Shallow Aquitard (D3)
 Microtopographic Relief (D4)
 FAC-neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present? Yes No Depth (inches): _____
 Water Table Present? Yes No Depth (inches): _____
 Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): _____
 (includes capillary fringe)

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Remarks:
 no wetland hydrology indicators