WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project	Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Bo	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 04-Jul-13	_				
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority Sampling Point: SW13_T124_05										
Investigator(s): JER Landform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Footslope										
Local r	elief (concave, convex, none): convex		Slope:	%/ 5.8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	ion : Southcentral Alaska	lat: 6	2.77956986		Long.: -149.109540344 Datum: NAD83					
-			2.11930900	43						
	p Unit Name:				NWI classification: PEM1/SS1B					
	Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes 💿 No 🔿 (If no, explain in Remarks.)									
		• •	disturbed?		lormal Circumstances" present? Yes No					
Are Vegetation 🔲 , Soil 🗋 , or Hydrology 🔲 naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)										
SUMN	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show	wing sam	pling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.					
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes O No O									
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes		ls	the Sam	ipled Area					
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes		w	ithin a W	/etland? Yes $ullet$ No $igloodow$					
	rks: toeslope dissected by many small creeks	·								
	. , ,									
VECE	TATION - Lice estantific names of plants Li	ct all coa	oioc in tho	nlat						
VLGL	TATION - Use scientific names of plants. Li	st an spec	cies in the	piot.	Dominance Test worksheet:					
Trod	Stratum	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Number of Dominant Species					
	Picea glauca	10		FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)					
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant					
3.		0			Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B)					
4.		0			Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 75.0% (A/B)					
5.		0								
	Total Cover:				Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by:					
Sap	ing/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	5 20% (of Total Cover	: 2						
-					$\begin{array}{c c} OBL \text{ Species} & \underline{0} & x \ 1 = & \underline{0} \\ FACW \text{ Species} & 28 & x \ 2 = & 56 \end{array}$					
	Picea glauca	<u>3</u> 5		FACU	FAC Species 74 x 3 = 222					
	Salix pulchra	35		FACW	FACU Species 26 x 4 = 104					
	Salix barclayi Salix richardsonii	5		FAC	UPL Species $0 \times 5 = 0$					
		1		FAC						
6.		0			Column Totals: <u>128</u> (A) <u>382</u> (B)				
7.		0			Prevalence Index = B/A =2.984					
8		0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:					
9.		0			Dominance Test is > 50%					
		0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0					
	Total Cover:	49			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in					
Her	50% of Total Cover:	24.5 20%	of Total Cove	r: 9.8	Remarks or on a separate sheet)					
1.	Equisetum arvense	25	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)					
2.	Oxyria digyna	5		FACU	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must					
3.	Valeriana capitata	5		FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.					
4.	Geranium erianthum	5		FACU	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u>					
5.	Dodecatheon frigidum	10		FACW	Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes					
6.	Viola palustris	3		FACW	(Where applicable)					
7.	Mertensia paniculata	3		FACU	% Bare Ground					
8.	Calamagrostis canadensis	3		FAC	Total Cover of Bryophytes					
9.	Cornus suecica	5		FAC						
10.	Sanguisorba canadensis	<u>5</u> 69		FACW	Hydrophytic					
		Vegetation Present? Yes • No ·								
	50% of Total Cover:	34.5 20% (or rotal cover	:13.8						

Remarks: sedros 2, carpod 2, arttil 2, boyric 25, luzarcu 1, epiang 1, claytonia sarment 1, rumarc 3, herlan 2, pedver2, corsue 5, sphag 20, mnium-like 15, aullpal 10, acodel 1

Profile Descript Depth	cription: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features		ators)	_					
(inches)	Color (moi	ist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-6			100					Fibric Organics	
6-8			100					Hemic Organics	
8-19	10YR	3/2	100		-		-	Sandy Loam	high organic content and grvl inclusions
	. <u> </u>								
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Reduo	ced Matrix ² Location		-		annel. M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicators for Pr	oblemati	c Hydric So	oils: ³		
Histosol o	r Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Ch	nange (TA	4)		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder
✓ Histic Epip	edon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	•	,		Underlying Layer	
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	Vith 2.5Y H	lue	L	Other (Explain in Remark	(s)
	< Surface (A12)			³ One indicator of	hydrophyt	tic venetatio	n one nrir	mary indicator of wetland h	vdrology
Alaska Gle				and an appropriat					yalology,
Alaska Re	()			⁴ Give details of co	olor chang	e in Remark	s		
Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A15)					-		
Restrictive Laye									
Type: fros								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes $ullet$ No $igloo$
Depth (incl	nes): 19								
HYDROLO	GY								
Wetland Hyd	rology Indica	tors:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)
	tors (any one is	s sufficient)						Water Stai	ned Leaves (B9)
Surface V	. ,			Inundation V		-			Patterns (B10)
-	✓ High Water Table (A2)								
Saturation	. ,			Marl Deposits	. ,	(64)		_	f Reduced Iron (C4)
Water Ma	Deposits (B2)			Hydrogen Su				Salt Depos	Stressed Plants (D1)
				Dry-Season V				_	ic Position (D2)
	J Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks) Image: Geomorphic Position (D2) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Image: Geomorphic Position (D3)						()		
	□ Iron Deposits (B5) □ Microtopographic Relief (D4)								
	oil Cracks (B6)								l Test (D5)
Field Observa	ations:								
Surface Wate	r Present?	Yes \bigcirc	No 🖲	Depth (inche	s):				
Water Table F	Present?	Yes 🖲	No \bigcirc	Depth (inche	s): 5		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔾
Saturation Pre		Yes 🖲	No 〇	Depth (inche	s): 3				
(includes capillary fringe) ICS O INO O INO O INO DEpth (inclus). IS Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:									
Remarks:									
small streams	running through	n plot							