WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Borough/City: M	atanuska-Susitna Borough	Sampling Date:	08-Jul-13				
Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority		Samplir	ng Point:S	N13_T101_07				
Investigator(s): WAD, BAB	Landform (hillside	e, terrace, hummocks etc.):	Bench					
Local relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky	Slope: %	/ 2.0 ° Elevation: 852						
Subregion : Copper River Basin Lat.:	62.6649934046	Long.: -147.467102	767 D	atum: NAD83				
Soil Map Unit Name: NWI classification: PSS1/4B								
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology asignificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)								
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ()	No ()	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes $ullet$ No $igodoldsymbol{ imes}$
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ()	No ()	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ()	No ()	
Remarks:	103 ()		

VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. List all species in the plot.

		Absolute Dominant		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:					
Tree Stratum		% Co		Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species				
1.				0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>5</u> (A)			
2.				0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)			
3.				0			Percent of dominant Species			
4.				0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)			
5.			_	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:			
		Total Cover:	(<u>۱</u>			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sap	ling/Shrub Stratum 50% of	Total Cover:	0	20% c	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species $0 \times 1 = 0$			
1.	Vaccinium uliginosum			20	\checkmark	FAC	FACW Species 60.1 x 2 = 120.2			
2.	Energy attacks attacks			15		FAC	FAC Species69 x 3 =207			
3.	Dhe de de a duca teas este com			20	\checkmark	FACW	FACU Species x 4 =			
4.				10		FAC	UPL Species x 5 =			
5.	Salix pulchra		_	5		FACW	Column Totals: 129.1 (A) 327.2 (B)			
6.	Salix barclayi			5		FAC				
7.	Vacainium vitia idaga			3		FAC	Prevalence Index = B/A = 2.534			
8.	Picea mariana		_	35	\checkmark	FACW	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
9.				0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%			
				0			✓ Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0			
Total Cover:							Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in			
Herb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: 5				6.5 20% of Total Cover: 22.6			Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
1.	Equisetum arvense		_	8	\checkmark	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
2.	Petasites frigidus		_(0.1		FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must			
3.	Carex bigelowii			8	\checkmark	FAC	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
4.			_	0			Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m			
5.			_	0			% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes			
				0			(Where applicable)			
7.			_	0			% Bare Ground			
8.			_	0			Total Cover of Bryophytes 20			
9.			_	0						
10.			_	0			Hydrophytic			
Total Cover: <u>16.1</u>						Vegetation				
	50% of	Total Cover:8	8.05	20% c	of Total Cover:	3.22	Present? Yes No			
Remarks:										

SOIL

	Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Matrix Redox Features										
Depth (inches)	Depth					Type ¹	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks		
0-2					10151)		1700	100	Fibric Organics		
2-3									Hemic Organics		
3-4					·	-	·		Sapric Organics		
				1.01/10		10					
4-7	2.5Y	4/3	90	10YR	4/6	10	RM	PL	Silty Clay Loam		
7-8	10YR	2/1							Silty Clay Loam	organic rich	
8-13	2.5Y	4/2	100			-					
					- ,						
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration. D=	Depletion.	RM=Redu				-		nnel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:			Indicat	ors for Pro	blematic	Hydric S	oils: ³			
Histosol o	r Histel (A1)			Alas	ka Color Cha	ange (TA4	4		Alaska Gleyed Without H	ue 5Y or Redder	
Histic Epip	pedon (A2)				ka Alpine sw		-	_	Underlying Layer		
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)			🖌 Alas	ka Redox W	ith 2.5Y H	lue		Other (Explain in Remark	s)	
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)			3 One ii	ndicator of h	wdrophyt	ic voqotati	on ono nrim	ann indicator of wotland h	vdrology	
Alaska Gle	eyed (A13)							must be pre	nary indicator of wetland h esent	yarology,	
Alaska Re	. ,			4 Give	details of col	or change	in Pomar	ke			
Alaska Gle	eyed Pores (A15	5)		Give t				N5			
Restrictive Lay	er (if present):										
Type: Silt	y Clay Loam								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Depth (inc	hes): 4										
Remarks: Borderline value and chroma on redox colors to meet Alaska Redox with 2.5Y Hue.											
HYDROLO	GY										
	rology Indica	tors:							Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)	
Primary Indica	ators (any one is	s sufficient)						Water Stai	ned Leaves (B9)	
Surface V	Vater (A1)			🗌 In	undation Vis	sible on A	erial Image	ery (B7)	🗌 Drainage P	atterns (B10)	
	er Table (A2)			🗌 Sp	arsely Vege	tated Con	cave Surfa	ice (B8)	Oxidized R	hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	
Saturatio	. ,				arl Deposits	• •				f Reduced Iron (C4)	
Water Ma					drogen Sulf				Salt Depos		
_	Deposits (B2)				y-Season W		• •			Stressed Plants (D1)	
Drift Dep				∐ Ot	her (Explain	in Remai	rks)		Geomorphi		
Iron Dep	or Crust (B4)								Shallow Aq	raphic Relief (D4)	
·	ioil Cracks (B6)								FAC-neutra		
Field Observ	. ,									1 1 201 (20)	
Surface Wate		Yes 🖲	No O	De	epth (inches): 1					
Water Table I	Present?	Yes 🔿	No 🖲		epth (inches			Wetlar	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 🖲 No 🔾	
Saturation Pro								T Celui	na myarology mesen		
Saturation Present? Yes Ves No Depth (inches): 4											
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:											
Remarks:											