WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Projec	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	В	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 08-Jul-13
Applic	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T101_06
	igator(s): WAD, BAB		Landform (hill	side, terrac	ee, hummocks etc.): Channel (active)
	relief (concave, convex, none): concave		Slope:		7 ° Elevation: 849
	gion : Copper River Basin		62.666354536		Long.: -147.471637607 Datum: NAD83
		Lat	02.000304030	01	
	ap Unit Name:		- \	<u> </u>	NWI classification: PEM1F
	matic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this t				
		• ,	/ disturbed?		ionnai oli odinotanoco procont.
Are v	/egetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐	naturally pr	obiematic?	(If nee	eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sho	wing sam	pling point	locations	s, transects, important features, etc.
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No				
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No				pled Area
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No		wi	thin a W	etland? Yes ● No ○
Rem	arks:				
/EGI	ETATION -Use scientific names of plants. L	ist all sne	cies in the	nlot	
	2 3 Scientific flames of plants. L			•	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tre	ee Stratum	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1.		0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B)
3.					Percent of dominant Species
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
	Total Cover	r: <u>0</u>			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sa	oling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species 81 x 1 = 81
1	Salix pulchra	10	✓	FACW	FACW Species 12 x 2 = 24
2.	Salix pulcnra		П		FAC Species 0.1 x 3 = 0.300
3.					FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0
4.		_			UPL Species 0 x 5 = 0
5.					Column Totals: 93.1 (A) 105.3 (B)
6.					
7.		0			Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.131
8.		0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.		0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
10.		0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0
	Total Cover				☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in
He	rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	5 20%	of Total Cover		Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1.	Carex aquatilis	30		OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
2.	Equisetum fluviatile			OBL	Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
3.	Comarum palustre			OBL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4.	Arctagrostis latifolia	0.1		FACW	Plot size (radius, or length x width)
5.	Equisetum sylvaticum			FAC	% Cover of Wetland Bryophytes
					(Where applicable)
					% Bare Ground
×			\Box		Total Cover of Bryophytes
			$\overline{\Box}$		
9.		0			Uvdronhytic
9.	Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation
9.		r: <u>83.1</u>	of Total Cover:	16.62	

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13_T101_06 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) **Redox Features** Depth <u>Loc</u> 2 (inches) Color (moist) Color (moist) % Type ¹ ¹Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:³ **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) **Underlying Layer** Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) Histic Epipedon (A2) Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue **✓** Other (Explain in Remarks) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Thick Dark Surface (A12) ³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, Alaska Gleyed (A13) and an appropriate landscape position must be present Alaska Redox (A14) ⁴ Give details of color change in Remarks Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15) Restrictive Layer (if present): Yes ● No ○ Type: **Hydric Soil Present?** Depth (inches): Remarks: assume hydric soils due to flowing water and channel morpohlogy HYDROLOGY

HIDROLOGI							
Wetland Hydrology Indica	Secondary Indicators (two or more are required)						
Primary Indicators (any one is	s sufficient)	Water Stained Leaves (B9)					
✓ Surface Water (A1)		☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery	(B7) Prainage Patterns (B10)				
High Water Table (A2)		Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface	e (B8) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)				
Saturation (A3)		Marl Deposits (B15)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)				
☐ Water Marks (B1)		Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Salt Deposits (C5)				
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)				
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)		Other (Explain in Remarks)	✓ Geomorphic Position (D2)				
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present?	Yes 💿 No (Depth (inches): 12					
Water Table Present? Yes O No •		Depth (inches): 0	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○				
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes No •		Depth (inches): 0					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:							
Remarks:							
Remarks.							

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0