WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	В	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 08-Jul-13			
Applica	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW13_T101_01			
	gator(s): WAD, BAB	I	_andform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Bench					
Local	relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky		Slope:					
	gion : Copper River Basin	lat: 6	· 32.671372890					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		02.07 1372090	71				
	ap Unit Name:		. V	■ N= ○	NWI classification: PSS3/1B			
Are \		•	disturbed?		(If no, explain in Remarks.) Iormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○ Ideded, explain any answers in Remarks.)			
SUMI	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show			·				
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ● No C)						
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ● No C)	Is the Sampled Area					
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○)	within a Wetland? Yes ● No ○					
Rem								
	ETATION -Use scientific names of plants. Li	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:			
	e Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 3 (A)			
1.					Total Number of Dominant			
2.					Species Across All Strata:3 (B)			
3.					Percent of dominant Species			
4. 5.					That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)			
5.	Tatal Cavan				Prevalence Index worksheet:			
_	Total Cover:		of Total Course		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
Sap	lling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover	0	OBL Species <u>0.1</u> x 1 = <u>0.1</u>			
1.	Rhododendron tomentosum	55	✓	FACW	FACW Species 63 x 2 = 126			
2.	Betula nana	45	✓	FAC	FAC Species <u>58.1</u> x 3 = <u>174.3</u>			
3.	Vaccinium uliginosum	10		FAC	FACU Species 0 x 4 = 0			
4.	Empetrum nigrum			FAC	UPL Species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u>			
5.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	1		FAC	Column Totals: <u>121.2</u> (A) <u>300.4</u> (B)			
6.					Prevalence Index = B/A =2.479_			
7.								
8.					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50%			
9.					Dominance Test is > 50%			
10		Λ			Duninlanca Indonés 42.0			
10.	Total Cover	0			✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0			
<u>Hei</u>	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover:	113 56.5 20%			Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
<u>Her</u>	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Rubus chamaemorus	113 56.5 20%	of Total Cover	FACW	 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) 			
1. 2.	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Rubus chamaemorus Eriophorum angustifolium	113 56.5 20% 8 0.1		FACW	 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must 			
1. 2. 3.	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Rubus chamaemorus Eriophorum angustifolium Equisetum sylvaticum	8 0.1 0.1		FACW	 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) 			
1. 2. 3. 4.	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Rubus chamaemorus Eriophorum angustifolium Equisetum sylvaticum	8 0.1 0.1 0		FACW	 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must 			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Rubus chamaemorus Eriophorum angustifolium Equisetum sylvaticum	8 113 56.5 20% 8 0.1 0.1 0 0		FACW	□ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) □ 10m ⁰ Cover of Wetland Bryophytes			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Rubus chamaemorus Eriophorum angustifolium Equisetum sylvaticum	8 0.1 0.1 0 0 0 0		FACW OBL FAC	□ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) ७ Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Rubus chamaemorus Eriophorum angustifolium Equisetum sylvaticum	8 0.1 0.1 0 0 0 0		FACW	□ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Rubus chamaemorus Eriophorum angustifolium Equisetum sylvaticum	8 0.1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW OBL FAC	□ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) ७ Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Rubus chamaemorus Eriophorum angustifolium Equisetum sylvaticum	8 0.1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW OBL FAC	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes 30			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Rubus chamaemorus Eriophorum angustifolium Equisetum sylvaticum	8 0.1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW OBL FAC	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes Hydrophytic Vegetation			
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Rubus chamaemorus Eriophorum angustifolium Equisetum sylvaticum	8 0.1 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		FACW OBL FAC	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes Hydrophytic			

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW13_T101_01

		e depth need	ed to docum	ent the indicator or co	nfirm the abs		ators)				
Depth (inches)	Color (mois	it)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	_Loc_2	Texture	Remarks		
0-4				COIOI (IIIOIOI)		.,,,,		Fibric Organics			
4-7								Hemic Organics	<1cm sand layer at top of layer		
7-12								Sapric Organics	discontinuous narrow bands of sand		
7-12			100								
			100					Silt Loam	frozen		
1				2							
		Depletion. R	M=Reduce	d Matrix ² Location Indicators for Pr				annel. M=Matrix			
Hydric Soil In						4	olis:]	57. 5.11		
Histosol or	. ,			Alaska Color Ch			_	Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Underlying Layer			
✓ Histic Epipe				☐ Alaska Alpine s☐ Alaska Redox V	-	-		Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Hydrogen S	. ,			Alaska Redux v	VIUI 2.51 F	iue	_	J outer (Explain in Remain			
	Surface (A12)			³ One indicator of	hydrophyt	ic vegetatio	n, one prin	mary indicator of wetland h	nydrology,		
Alaska Gley	. ,			and an appropriat							
Alaska Red	ox (A14) red Pores (A15)			4 Give details of co	olor change	e in Remark	(S				
Restrictive Layer	r (if present):										
Type: seaso	onal frost							Hydric Soil Present	? Yes ● No O		
Depth (inche	es): 12										
HYDROLOG	3 Y										
Wetland Hydro	ology Indicat	ors:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)		
Primary Indicat	ors (any one is	sufficient)						Water Stai	ned Leaves (B9)		
Surface Wa	ater (A1)			☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)				✓ Drainage Patterns (B10)			
☐ High Water Table (A2)				Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)			
✓ Saturation (A3)				Marl Deposits (B15)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			
Water Marks (B1)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)				Salt Deposits (C5)			
Sediment [Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season V	Vater Table	e (C2)		Stunted or	Stressed Plants (D1)		
☐ Drift Depos	` '			Other (Explai	n in Rema	rks)			ic Position (D2)		
	or Crust (B4)							✓ Shallow Ac			
☐ Iron Depos	. ,								graphic Relief (D4)		
☐ Surface So	il Cracks (B6)							✓ FAC-neutra	al Test (D5)		
Field Observa	tions:										
Surface Water	Present?	Yes 🔾		Depth (inche	s):						
Water Table Pr	esent?	Yes 🔾	No 💿	Depth (inche	s):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes 💿 No 🔾		
Saturation Pres (includes capill		Yes	$_{No}\bigcirc$	Depth (inche	s): 6						
Describe Record	ed Data (strea	m gauge, m	onitor well	, aerial photos, prev	vious inspe	ction) if ava	ailable:				
Remarks:											
seasonal frost is	ice rich										
scasonal most is	ice nul										

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0