WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

| | t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project | В | orough/City: | Matanusk | a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 02-Aug-12 | |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Applic | ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority | | | | Sampling Point: SW12_T53_10 | |
| | gator(s): CTS, EKJ | Landform (hill | llside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Flat | | | |
| | relief (concave, convex, none): flat | | Slope: | % / 1.6 | - | |
| | gion : Southcentral Alaska | | 52.811588236 | | | |
| | | Lat(| 02.011000230 | 07 | | |
| | ap Unit Name: | | | <u> </u> | NWI classification: PEM1E | |
| Are \ | | significantly | disturbed? | | (If no, explain in Remarks.) Iormal Circumstances" present? Yes ● No ○ Indeed, explain any answers in Remarks.) | |
| BUM | MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map sho | wing sam | pling point | locations | s, transects, important features, etc. | |
| | Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No C |) | _ | | | |
| | Hydric Soil Present? Yes ● No C |) | | | pled Area | |
| | Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No C |) | wi | thin a W | etland? Yes No | |
| Rem | arks: Hgwslm = wet sedge-willow meadow | | | | | |
| | ETATION -Use scientific names of plants. L | Absolute | Dominant | Indicator | Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species | |
| 1. | e Stratum | <u>% Cover</u> | _Species?_ | Status | That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A) | |
| 2. | | | | - | Total Number of Dominant | |
| 3. | | | | | Species Across All Strata: 4 (B) | |
| 4. | | 0 | | | Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B) | |
| 5. | | 0 | | | | |
| 0. | Total Cover | | | | Prevalence Index worksheet: | |
| Sai | oling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover: | | of Total Cover: | 0 | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: | |
| | | | | | OBL Species 47.2 x 1 = 47.2 FACW Species 11.2 x 2 = 22.40 | |
| | Dasiphora fruticosa | | | FAC | | |
| | Salix fuscescens | | | FACW | | |
| 3. | Andromeda polifolia | | | FACW | FACU Species 0 x4 = 0 UPL Species 0 x5 = 0 | |
| 4. | Vaccinium oxycoccos | 0.1 | | OBL | | |
| 5. | | | | | Column Totals: <u>59.4</u> (A) <u>72.6</u> (B) | |
| 6. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.222 | |
| 7. | | 0 | | | | |
| 8. | | 0 | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: | |
| 8. 9. | | 0 0 | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% | |
| 8. | | 0 0 0 | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 | |
| 8. 9. 10. | | 0 0 0 0 0 12.1 | of Total Cover | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) | |
| 8. 9. 10. | Total Cover | 0 0 0 0 0 12.1 | \checkmark | : <u>2.42</u> OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in | |
| 8. 9. 10. | Total Cover rb Stratum 50% of Total Cover: | 0 0 0 0 12.1 6.05 20% | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 | |
| 8. 9. 10. <u>He</u> l | Total Cover **D Stratum | 0 0 0 0 12.1 6.05 20% | \checkmark | OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) | |
| 8. 9. 10. <u>He</u> 1. 2. | Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Carex magellanica Comarum palustre | 0 0 0 0 12.1 6.05 20% 15 20 2 | \checkmark | OBL OBL OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. | |
| 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. | Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Carex magellanica Comarum palustre Parnassia palustris | 0 0 0 0 12.1 6.05 20% 15 20 2 10 0.1 | \checkmark | OBL OBL OBL FACW | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. | |
| 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Carex magellanica Comarum palustre Parnassia palustris Carex microglochin | 0 0 0 12.1 6.05 20% 15 20 2 10 0.1 0.1 | \checkmark | OBL OBL OBL FACW OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m | |
| 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. | Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Carex magellanica Comarum palustre Parnassia palustris Carex microglochin Viola palustris | 0 0 0 12.1 6.05 20% 2 10 0.1 0.1 | \checkmark | OBL OBL OBL FACW | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground | |
| 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. | Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Carex magellanica Comarum palustre Parnassia palustris Carex microglochin Viola palustris | 0 0 0 12.1 6.05 20% 2 2 10 0.1 0.1 0.1 | \checkmark | OBL OBL OBL FACW OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) | |
| 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. | Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Carex magellanica Comarum palustre Parnassia palustris Carex microglochin Viola palustris | 0 0 0 12.1 6.05 20% 2 2 10 0.1 0.1 0.1 | \checkmark | OBL OBL OBL FACW OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes 60 | |
| 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. | Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Carex magellanica Comarum palustre Parnassia palustris Carex microglochin Viola palustris | 0 0 0 12.1 6.05 20% 2 10 0.1 0.1 0.1 0 | \checkmark | OBL OBL OBL FACW OBL | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes 60 Hydrophytic | |
| 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. | Total Cover 50% of Total Cover: Eriophorum angustifolium Carex aquatilis Carex magellanica Comarum palustre Parnassia palustris Carex microglochin Viola palustris | 0 0 0 12.1 6.05 20% 2 10 0.1 0.1 0 0 47.3 | | OBL OBL OBL OBL FACW OBL FACW | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ✓ Dominance Test is > 50% ✓ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 ☐ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground Total Cover of Bryophytes 60 | |

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW12_T53_10 Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) **Redox Features** Depth <u>Loc</u> 2 (inches) Color (moist) Color (moist) % Type ¹ ¹Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils:³ **Hydric Soil Indicators:** Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) **Underlying Layer** Alaska Alpine swales (TA5) Histic Epipedon (A2) Alaska Redox With 2.5Y Hue **✓** Other (Explain in Remarks) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Thick Dark Surface (A12) ³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, Alaska Gleyed (A13) and an appropriate landscape position must be present Alaska Redox (A14) ⁴ Give details of color change in Remarks Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15) Restrictive Layer (if present): Yes ● No ○ Type: **Hydric Soil Present?** Depth (inches): Remarks: Assume histic epipedon, water at surface

| HYDROLOGY | | | | |
|--|----------------|---|--|---|
| Wetland Hydrology Indica | itors: | Secondary Indicators (two or more are required) | | |
| Primary Indicators (any one | is sufficient) | Water Stained Leaves (B9) | | |
| ✓ Surface Water (A1) | | | ☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Image | gery (B7) Drainage Patterns (B10) |
| ✓ High Water Table (A2) | | | Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surfa | Face (B8) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) |
| ✓ Saturation (A3) | | | Marl Deposits (B15) | Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) |
| ☐ Water Marks (B1) | | | Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) | Salt Deposits (C5) |
| Sediment Deposits (B2) | | | Dry-Season Water Table (C2) | Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) |
| ☐ Drift Deposits (B3) | | | Other (Explain in Remarks) | Geomorphic Position (D2) |
| Algal Mat or Crust (B4) | | | | Shallow Aquitard (D3) |
| ☐ Iron Deposits (B5) | | | | ☐ Microtopographic Relief (D4) |
| Surface Soil Cracks (B6) | | | | ✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5) |
| Field Observations: | | | | |
| Surface Water Present? | Yes 💿 | No O | Depth (inches): 1 | |
| Water Table Present? | Yes 💿 | No \bigcirc | Depth (inches): | Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ● No ○ |
| Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) | Yes | No \bigcirc | Depth (inches): | |
| Describe Recorded Data (stre | am gauge, r | monitor wel | I, aerial photos, previous inspection) if av | vailable: |
| Remarks: | | | | |
| semi-floating bog | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0