| WETLAND DE Project/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project | | ATION DA | | - Alaska Region a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 03-Aug-12 | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Applicant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority | | | | Sampling Point: SW12_T37_05 | | | | | | |
| Investigator(s): CTS, EKJ | L | andform (hill | side, terrac | e, hummocks etc.): Mountainslope | | | | | | |
| Local relief (concave, convex, none): convex | | Slope: | % / 22.0 | | | | | | | |
| Subregion : Southcentral Alaska | Lat.: 6 | 2.809648318 | 32 | Long.: -149.556575723 Datum: NAD83 | | | | | | |
| Soil Map Unit Name: | | | | NWI classification: Upland | | | | | | |
| Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this ti | me of vear? | Yes | • No () | (If no, explain in Remarks.) | | | | | | |
| Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology | significantly naturally pro | disturbed? blematic? | (If nee | lormal Circumstances" present? Yes $ullet$ No $igodot$ eded, explain any answers in Remarks.) | | | | | | |
| Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hydric Soil Present? Yes O No 🖲 | | ls | Is the Sampled Area | | | | | | | |
| | | wi | ithin a W | /etland? Yes 🔾 No 🖲 | | | | | | |
| <u>Wetland Hydrology Present?</u> Yes ∪ No ● Remarks: | / | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| VEGETATION - Use scientific names of plants. Li | st all spec | cies in the Dominant | plot. Indicator | Dominance Test worksheet: | | | | | | |
| Tree Stratum | % Cover | Species? | Status | Number of Dominant Species | | | | | | |
| 1. Betula neoalaskana | 25 | \checkmark | FACU | That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) | | | | | | |
| 2. Picea glauca | 15 | \checkmark | FACU | Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:6(B) | | | | | | |
| 3. | 0 | | | Percent of dominant Species | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0 | | | That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>33.3%</u> (A/B) | | | | | | |
| 5 | 0 | | | Prevalence Index worksheet: | | | | | | |
| Total Cover: | 40 | | | Total % Cover of: Multiply by: | | | | | | |
| Sapling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover: | 20 20% (| of Total Cover: | 8 | OBL Species x 1 = | | | | | | |
| 1. Vaccinium ovalifolium | 15 | \checkmark | FAC | FACW Species <u>0</u> x 2 = <u>0</u> | | | | | | |
| 2. Alnus viridis | 5 | \checkmark | FAC | FAC Species <u>56</u> x 3 = <u>168</u> | | | | | | |
| 3. Sorbus scopulina | 2 | | FACU | FACU Species <u>218</u> x 4 = <u>872</u> | | | | | | |
| 4. Betula neoalaskana | 2 | | FACU | UPL Species x 5 = | | | | | | |
| 5. Menziesia ferruginea | | | FACU | Column Totals: <u>274</u> (A) <u>1040</u> (B) | | | | | | |
| 6 7 | 0 | | | Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.796</u> | | | | | | |
| | | | | Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: | | | | | | |
| 8 9 | | | | Dominance Test is > 50% | | | | | | |
| 10. | 0 | | | $\square Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0$ | | | | | | |
| Total Cover | | of Total Cover | | Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in | | | | | | |
| | | | | Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) | | | | | | |
| Cornus canadensis Dryopteris expansa | <u>80</u> 70 | \checkmark | FACU FACU | | | | | | | |
| 2. Dryopteris expansa 3. Rubus pedatus | <u></u> | | FACU | ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. | | | | | | |
| 4 Spipulum appotinum | 20 | | FACU | | | | | | | |
| 5. Calamagrostis canadensis | | | FAC | Plot size (radius, or length x width) <u>10m</u> | | | | | | |
| 6. Streptopus amplexifolius | 1 | | FACU | % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) | | | | | | |
| 7. Trientalis europaea | 1 | | FACU | % Bare Ground <u>1</u> | | | | | | |
| 8. Equisetum sylvaticum | 1 | | FAC | Total Cover of Bryophytes 0 | | | | | | |
| 9. Chamaenerion angustifolium | 1 | | FACU | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 10 0 Hydrophytic | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Cover: _1 50% of Total Cover: _1 | - | of Total Cover: | 41.8 | Vegetation Present? Yes No • | | | | | | |

Remarks:

| Matrix | | needed to doc | cument the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Redox Features | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Depth (inches) | Color (moist) | | % | Color (moist) | % | 1 | Loc 2 | Texture | Remarks | |
| 0-1 | 100 | | 100 | | | | | Fibric Organics | | |
| 1-4 | 7.5YR | 2.5/2 | 80 | | | | | Loamy Sand | 20% roots | |
| 4-7 | 10YR | 6/2 | 100 | | | | - | Loamy Sand | | |
| 7-9 | 5YR | 2.5/2 | 100% | | | | | Loamy Sand | few rounded gravel and roots | |
| 9-14 | 5YR | 2.5/2 | 100% | | | | | Sandy Loam | few rounded gravel and roots | |
| 14-16 | 5YR | 2.5/2 | 100% | | _ | | | Sandy Loam | few rounded gravel and roots | |
| 16-18 | 7.5R | 2.5/3 | 100% | | | | | Sandy Loam | few rounded gravel and roots | |
| 18-20 | 7.5YR | 3/4 | 90 | | | | | Silt Loam | 10% angular gravel | |
| ¹ Type: C=Con | centration. D | =Depletio | n. RM=Redu | ced Matrix ² Locatio | n: PL=Por | re Lining. RO | C=Root Cha | annel. M=Matrix | | |
| ¹ Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix ² Location: PL=Pore Lining. RC=Root Channel. M=Matrix Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: ³ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils: Histosol or Histel (A1) Alaska Color Change (TA4) Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder | | | | | | | | | | |
| Histic Epipe | . , | | | Alaska Alpine | • • | , | | Underlying Layer | | |
| | Sulfide (A4) | | | Alaska Redox | With 2.5Y | Hue | | Other (Explain in Rema | arks) | |
| Thick Dark | Surface (A12 | 2) | | | | | | | | |
| Alaska Gleyed (A13) ³ One indicator of hydrophytic vegetation, one primary indicator of wetland hydrology, and an appropriate landscape position must be present | | | | | | | | | | |
| Laka Redox (A14) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alaska Gle | ed Pores (A1 | 15) | | ⁴ Give details of c | color chang | je in Remari | KS | | | |
| Restrictive Laye | r (if present) | : | | | | | | | | |
| Туре: | | | | | | Hydric Soil Present? Yes \bigcirc No $oldsymbol{igodol}$ | | | | |
| Depth (inches): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks: | | | | | | | | | | |
| no hydric soil in | dicators | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| HYDROLO | GY | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetland Hydr | ology Indic | ators: | | | | | | Secondary In | dicators (two or more are required) | |
| Primary Indicat | ors (any one | is sufficier | nt) | | | | | Water Stained Leaves (B9) | | |
| Surface Water (A1) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) | | | | ery (B7) | Drainage Patterns (B10) | | | | | |
| | High Water Table (A2) Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) | | | | ce (B8) | Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) | | | | |
| | Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) | | | | | Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) | | | | |
| | Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) | | | | Salt Deposits (C5) | | | | | |
| | Sediment Deposits (B2) | | | | | Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) | | | | |
| Drift Deposits (B3) Other (Explain in Remarks) | | | | | Geomorphic Position (D2) | | | | | |
| Algal Mat or Crust (B4) | | | | | Shallow Aquitard (D3) | | | | | |
| | Iron Deposits (B5) | | | | | Microtopographic Relief (D4) | | | | |
| | oil Cracks (B6) |) | | | | | | ∐ FAC-neu | tral Test (D5) | |
| Field Observa | | V (| | | | | | | | |
| Surface Water | Present? | res | 🗅 🛛 No 🖲 | Depth (inche | es): | | 1 | | | |

Wetland Hydrology Present?

Water Table Present?YesNoSaturation Present?
(includes capillary fringe)YesNo

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Depth (inches):

Depth (inches):

Remarks:

no wetland hydrology indicators

Yes 🔘 No 🖲