## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Applica	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project	Bo	orough/City:	Matanusk	a-Susitna Borough Sampling Date:	05-Aug-12
	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW	V12_T34_05
Investi	gator(s): SLI, KMK	l	Landform (hill	side, terrac	e, hummocks etc.): Toeslope	
	relief (concave, convex, none): hummocky		Slope:	%/ 4.9		
	gion : Southcentral Alaska		52.893488176			tum: NAD83
-			02.093400170	99		
	ap Unit Name:				NWI classification: Upland	
Are V		-	disturbed?		(If no, explain in Remarks.) ormal Circumstances" present? Yes ( ded, explain any answers in Remarks.)	• No ()
SUMI	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show	wing sam	pling point	locations	, transects, important features, e	etc.
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes • No C	)	_			
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes O No 🖲	)	Is the Sampled Area			
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes • No C	)	wi	thin a W	etland? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $oldsymbol{igodol}$	
Rem	arks: eastern aspect toeslope, adjacent to emergent w		acterized by S	W12 T34	06.	
VEGE	<b>ETATION -</b> Use scientific names of plants. Li	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
	e Stratum	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	3 (A)
1.					Total Number of Dominant	
2.		0			Species Across All Strata:	<u>5</u> (B)
3.		0			Percent of dominant Species	
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:6	<u>0.0%</u> (A/B)
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:	
	Total Cover		(		Total % Cover of: Multiply b	by:
Sap	bling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20%	of Total Cover:	0	OBL Species x 1 =	0
1.	Vaccinium uliginosum	20	$\checkmark$	FAC	FACW Species <u>13</u> x 2 =	26
1. 2.	Vaccinium uliginosum Vaccinium vitis-idaea	20		FAC FAC	FACW Species         13         x 2 =           FAC Species         44         x 3 =	<u>    26    </u> 132
2.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea	3 20 7		FAC	FAC Species 44 x 3 =	132
2. 3.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum	3		FAC FAC	FAC Species $44$ x 3 =FACU Species11x 4 =UPL Species4x 5 =	<u>132</u> 44 20
2. 3. 4.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra	3 20 7 2		FAC FAC FACW	FAC Species $44$ x 3 =FACU Species $11$ x 4 =UPL Species $4$ x 5 =Column Totals:72(A)	132 44 20 222 (B)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona	3 20 7		FAC FAC FACW FACU	FAC Species $44$ x 3 =FACU Species $11$ x 4 =UPL Species $4$ x 5 =Column Totals:72(A)	<u>132</u> 44 20
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum	3 20 7 2 1		FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW	FAC Species $44$ x 3 =FACU Species $11$ x 4 =UPL Species $4$ x 5 =Column Totals:72(A)	132 44 20 222 (B)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata	3 20 7 2 1 3		FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL	FAC Species $44$ x 3 =FACU Species $11$ x 4 =UPL Species $4$ x 5 =Column Totals: $72$ (A)Prevalence Index = B/A = $3$	132 44 20 222 (B)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii	3 20 7 2 1 3 1		FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       _3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	132 44 20 222 (B)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii	3 20 7 2 1 3 1 0 0 57		FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL FACU	FAC Species $44$ x 3 =FACU Species11x 4 =UPL Species4x 5 =Column Totals:72(A)Prevalence Index = B/A =3Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: $\bigcirc$ $\checkmark$ Dominance Test is > 50%	  (B) 3.083
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii Total Cover	3 20 7 2 1 3 1 0 0 57		FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL FACU	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       _3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         Prevalence Index is ≤3.0         Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide su Remarks or on a separate sheet)	  (B) 3.083
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii <b>Total Cover 50% of Total Cover</b> : Carex atrofusca	3 20 7 2 1 3 1 0 0 57 28.5 20% 5 20%	of Total Cover	FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL FACU FACU	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:       ✓         Dominance Test is > 50%       Prevalence Index is ≤3.0         Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide su Remarks or on a separate sheet)       Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (I)	  (B) 
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Her</b> 1.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii  Total Cover b Stratum 50% of Total Cover: Carex atrofusca Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Aatar alpinum yar yijarapapari	$ \begin{array}{r}             3 \\             20 \\             7 \\             2 \\           $	of Total Cover	FAC           FAC           FACW           FACU           FACW           FACU           FACW           UPL           FACU           FACU           FACW           UPL           FACU           FACU	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       _3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         Prevalence Index is ≤3.0         Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide su Remarks or on a separate sheet)	132 44 20 222 (B) 3.083 upporting data in Explain)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Her</b> 1. 2.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii <b>Total Cover 50%</b> of Total Cover: Carex atrofusca Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Aster alpinus var. vierhapperi Disbaeigetrum algigum	$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\ 20\\ 7\\ 2\\ 1\\ 3\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 5\\ 28.5\\ 20\%\\ 5\\ 3\\ 1\\ 2 \end{array} $	of Total Cover	FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL FACU FACU FACW UPL	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:       ✓         Dominance Test is > 50%       Prevalence Index is ≤3.0         Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide su Remarks or on a separate sheet)       Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (I) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrol be present, unless disturbed or problematic.       A	132 44 20 222 (B) 3.083 upporting data in Explain) ogy must
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. <b>Her</b> 1. 2. 3.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii <b>Total Cover b Stratum</b> 50% of Total Cover: Carex atrofusca Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Aster alpinus var. vierhapperi Diphasiastrum alpinum Attenzioia approximate	$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\ 20\\ 7\\ 2\\ 1\\ 3\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 5\\ 28.5\\ 20\%\\ 5\\ 3\\ 1\\ 2\\ 2 3 \end{array} $	of Total Cover	FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL FACU FACW UPL UPL UPL	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:       ✓         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         ○       Prevalence Index is ≤3.0         ○       Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide su Remarks or on a separate sheet)         ○       Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (I) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrol be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Plot size (radius, or length x width)	132 44 20 222 (B) 3.083 upporting data in Explain)
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii <b>Total Cover 50%</b> of Total Cover: Carex atrofusca Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Aster alpinus var. vierhapperi Diphasiastrum alpinum	$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\ 20\\ 7\\ 2\\ 1\\ 3\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 5\\ 28.5\\ 20\%\\ 5\\ 3\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 1 \end{array} $	of Total Cover	FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL FACU FACU FACW UPL FACW UPL FACW UPL FACU	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:       ✓         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         ○       Prevalence Index is ≤3.0         ○       Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide su Remarks or on a separate sheet)         ○       Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (I) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrol be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Plot size (radius, or length x width)         % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes	132 44 20 222 (B) 3.083 upporting data in Explain) ogy must
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 10. <b>Her</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii <b>Total Cover 50%</b> of Total Cover: Carex atrofusca Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Aster alpinus var. vierhapperi Diphasiastrum alpinum Artemisia norvegica Rhodiola integrifolia	$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\ 20\\ 7\\ 2\\ 1\\ 3\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 5\\ 28.5\\ 20\%\\ 5\\ 3\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 1 \end{array} $	of Total Cover	FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL FACU FACU FACW UPL UPL FACW UPL UPL FACU FACU FACU	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:       ✓         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         ○       Prevalence Index is ≤3.0         ○       Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide su Remarks or on a separate sheet)         ○       Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (I) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrol be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Plot size (radius, or length x width)         % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)	132 44 20 222 (B) 3.083 upporting data in Explain) ogy must
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 10. <b>Her</b> 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii <b>Total Cover b Stratum</b> Carex atrofusca Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Aster alpinus var. vierhapperi Diphasiastrum alpinum Artemisia norvegica Rhodiola integrifolia	$ \begin{array}{c} 3\\ 20\\ 7\\ 2\\ 1\\ 3\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 57\\ 28.5\\ 20\%\\ 5\\ 3\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 1\\ 0\\ 1\\ 0 \end{array} $	of Total Cover	FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL FACU FACU FACW UPL UPL FACW UPL UPL FACU FACU FACU	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:       ✓         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         ○       Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0         ○       Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide su Remarks or on a separate sheet)         ○       Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (I) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrol be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Plot size (radius, or length x width)         % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)         % Bare Ground	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii <b>Total Cover 50%</b> of Total Cover: Carex atrofusca Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Aster alpinus var. vierhapperi Diphasiastrum alpinum Artemisia norvegica Rhodiola integrifolia	$ \begin{array}{r} 3\\ 20\\ 7\\ 2\\ 1\\ 3\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 5\\ 28.5 20\%\\ 5\\ 3\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0 \end{array} $	of Total Cover	FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL FACU FACU FACW UPL UPL FACW UPL UPL FACU FACU FACU	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:       ✓         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         ○       Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0         ○       Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide su Remarks or on a separate sheet)         ○       Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (I) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrol be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Plot size (radius, or length x width)         % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)         % Bare Ground	132 44 20 222 (B) 3.083 upporting data in Explain) ogy must
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii	$ \begin{array}{r} 3\\ 20\\ 7\\ 2\\ 1\\ 3\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 5\\ 28.5 20\%\\ 5\\ 3\\ 1\\ 2\\ 3\\ 1\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0 \end{array} $	of Total Cover	FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL FACU FACU FACW UPL UPL FACW UPL UPL FACU FACU FACU	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       _3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:       ✓         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         ○       Prevalence Index is ≤3.0         ○       Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide su Remarks or on a separate sheet)         ○       Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (I <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrol be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Plot size (radius, or length x width)         % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)         % Bare Ground         Total Cover of Bryophytes	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Vaccinium vitis-idaea Empetrum nigrum Salix pulchra Cassiope tetragona Rhododendron tomentosum Luetkea pectinata Spiraea stevenii	3 20 7 2 1 3 1 0 0 28.5 20% 5 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 0 0 0 1 3 1 0 0 0 1 3 1 0 0 0 1 3 1 0 0 0 1 3 1 0 0 0 1 3 1 0 0 0 1 3 1 0 0 0 1 3 1 0 0 0 1 3 1 0 0 0 1 3 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	of Total Cover	FAC FAC FACW FACU FACW UPL FACU FACU FACU FACU FACU FACU FACU FACU	FAC Species       44       x 3 =         FACU Species       11       x 4 =         UPL Species       4       x 5 =         Column Totals:       72       (A)         Prevalence Index = B/A =       3         Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:       ✓         ✓       Dominance Test is > 50%         ○       Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0         ○       Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide su Remarks or on a separate sheet)         ○       Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (I) <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrol be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Plot size (radius, or length x width)         % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)         % Bare Ground	

	tion: (Describe to the depth needed to doo Matrix			cument the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators) Redox Features						
Depth (inches)	Color (moist)		%	Color (moist)		Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc 2	Texture	Remarks	
05								Fibric Organics		
.5-2							L	Hemic Organics		
2-6.5	5YR	4/3	100		_			Silt		
6.5-7								Sapric Organics		
7-14	5YR	4/3	100					Silt		
14-16								Sapric Organics		
16-18	7.5YR	4/3	100							
	7.511	- <del>-</del> -7/5		·						
17		Daulatia								
- Type: C=Con	icentration. L	D=Depletio	n. RM=Rea	uced Matrix <sup>2</sup> Location		5		annel. M=Matrix		
Hydric Soil In	ndicators:			Indicators for P		4	oils:	7		
	Histosol or Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Change (TA4)		Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5 Underlying Layer	Y or Redder			
Histic Epip	. ,				•	,	Г	Other (Explain in Remarks)		
	Sulfide (A4)	2)			wiui 2.51	nue	_			
Alaska Gle	Surface (A1	Z)						mary indicator of wetland hydro	ology,	
_				and an appropria	te landsca	pe position	must be pr	esent		
Alaska Redox (A14) Alaska Gleyed Pores (A15)				<sup>4</sup> Give details of color change in Remarks						
		-								
Restrictive Laye	er (if present)	):								
Type:								Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲	
Depth (inch	ies):									
Remarks:										
disturbed, burie	ed organics th	nroughout.	high chrom	a red soils do not mer	rt any hydr	ric soil indica	ators.			
HYDROLO	GY									
Wetland Hydr	rology Indic	ators:						Secondary Indicator	rs (two or more are required)	
Primary Indicat	tors (any one	e is sufficie	nt)					Water Stained Leaves (B9)		
Surface Water (A1)			Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)				Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)		Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)				
Saturation (A3)			Marl Deposits (B15)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			
Water Marks (B1)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)				Salt Deposits (C5)			
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Dry-Season Water Table (C2)				Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Drift Deposits (B3)			Other (Explain in Remarks)				Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Algal Mat	or Crust (B4)	)						Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Iron Deposits (B5)								Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Surface So	oil Cracks (B6	5)						FAC-neutral Te	st (D5)	
Field Observa	tions:									

Surface Water Present?

Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)

Water Table Present?

Remarks:

 $_{\rm Yes} \odot \ _{\rm No} \odot$ 

Yes  $\odot$  No  $\bigcirc$ 

Yes  $\bullet$  No  $\bigcirc$ 

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor well, aerial photos, previous inspection) if available:

Depth (inches):

Depth (inches): 15

Depth (inches): 8

Wetland Hydrology Present?

Yes 💿 No 🔾