WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Alaska Region

Applic	t/Site: Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project		Borough/City:	Matanusk	ka-Susitna Borough Sampling Date: 21-Jun-12							
Applic	ant/Owner: Alaska Energy Authority				Sampling Point: SW12_T33_01							
Invest	igator(s): SLI, EKJ		Landform (h	dform (hillside, terrace, hummocks etc.): Ridgetop								
	relief (concave, convex, none): concave	% / 16.	<u> </u>									
	gion : Interior Alaska Mountains	l at ·	_									
	ap Unit Name:	Lut	02.7000001									
			0 Vo	No O	NWI classification: Upland							
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No O												
		-	•		tornal olloanistarioes present:							
Are	vegetation — , Soii — , or Hydrology — i	iaturally	problematic?	(it nee	eded, explain any answers in Remarks.)							
SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS - Attach site map show	ving sa	mpling poin	t locations	s, transects, important features, etc.							
	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes O No •)		410								
	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ○ No ●)			pled Area /etland? Yes ○ No ●							
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No •)	W	ithin a W	retiand? res UNO U							
Rem	arks: alpine vegetation on small rocky ridge.											
VEG	ETATION -Use scientific names of plants. Li	st all sp	ecies in the	plot.								
		Absolute		Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:							
Tre	ee Stratum_	% Cove		Status	Number of Dominant Species							
1.		0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:							
2.		0			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)							
3.		0			Percent of dominant Species							
4.		0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)							
5.		0			Prevalence Index worksheet:							
	Total Covers		_		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:							
	500/ (T 10											
Sa	pling/Shrub Stratum 50% of Total Cover:	0 20	% of Total Cove	r: <u>0</u>	OBL Species x 1 =							
		<u>0</u> 20'		r: <u>0</u> FACU	OBL Species 0 x1 = 0 FACW Species 1 x2 = 2							
	Loiseleuria procumbens		_									
1.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica	15		FACU	FACW Species 1 x 2 = 2							
1.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica	15		FACU	FACW Species 1 x 2 = 2 FAC Species 9 x 3 = 27							
1. 2. 3.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis	15 10 7		FACU UPL UPL	FACW Species 1 x 2 = 2 FAC Species 9 x 3 = 27 FACU Species 22 x 4 = 88 UPL Species 17 x 5 = 85							
1. 2. 3. 4.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus	15 10 7 5 3		FACU UPL UPL FACU	FACW Species 1 x 2 = 2 FAC Species 9 x 3 = 27 FACU Species 22 x 4 = 88 UPL Species 17 x 5 = 85 Column Totals: 49 (A) 202 (B)							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum	15 10 7 5 3		FACU UPL UPL FACU FAC	FACW Species 1 x 2 = 2 FAC Species 9 x 3 = 27 FACU Species 22 x 4 = 88 UPL Species 17 x 5 = 85							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum	15 10 7 5 3		FACU UPL FACU FAC FAC	FACW Species 1 x 2 = 2 FAC Species 9 x 3 = 27 FACU Species 22 x 4 = 88 UPL Species 17 x 5 = 85 Column Totals: 49 (A) 202 (B)							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1		FACU UPL FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species 1							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1 1		FACU UPL FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FACW	FACW Species 1							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 1		FACU UPL UPL FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC	FACW Species $\frac{1}{1}$ \times 2 = $\frac{2}{2}$ FAC Species $\frac{9}{2}$ \times 3 = $\frac{27}{2}$ FACU Species $\frac{22}{17}$ \times 4 = $\frac{88}{2}$ UPL Species $\frac{17}{17}$ \times 5 = $\frac{85}{20}$ Column Totals: $\frac{49}{49}$ (A) $\frac{202}{49}$ (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = $\frac{4.122}{4.122}$ Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana Total Cover:	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 45 22.5 20 5 20	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACU UPL FACU FAC	FACW Species $\frac{1}{1}$ \times 2 = $\frac{2}{2}$ FAC Species $\frac{9}{2}$ \times 3 = $\frac{27}{2}$ FACU Species $\frac{22}{17}$ \times 4 = $\frac{88}{2}$ UPL Species $\frac{17}{2}$ \times 5 = $\frac{85}{2}$ Column Totals: $\frac{49}{2}$ (A) $\frac{202}{2}$ (B) Prevalence Index = $\frac{8}{2}$ FACU Species $\frac{17}{2}$ \times 5 = $\frac{85}{2}$ Column Totals: $\frac{49}{2}$ (A) $\frac{202}{2}$ (B) Prevalence Index = $\frac{8}{4}$ Frevalence Index is $\frac{8}{2}$ Solution Indicators: Dominance Test is $\frac{8}{2}$ Dominance Test is $\frac{8}{2}$ Of Prevalence Index is $\frac{8}{2}$ In Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover:	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 2 222.5 20	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACU UPL FACU FAC	FACW Species 1 $x 2 = 2$ FAC Species 9 $x 3 = 27$ FACU Species 22 $x 4 = 88$ UPL Species 17 $x 5 = 85$ Column Totals: 49 (A) 202 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.122 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anemone parviflora Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 45 22.5 20 1 1 1	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACU UPL FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC UPL UPL	FACW Species $\frac{1}{1}$ \times 2 = $\frac{2}{2}$ FAC Species $\frac{9}{2}$ \times 3 = $\frac{27}{2}$ FACU Species $\frac{22}{17}$ \times 4 = $\frac{88}{2}$ UPL Species $\frac{17}{2}$ \times 5 = $\frac{85}{2}$ Column Totals: $\frac{49}{2}$ (A) $\frac{202}{2}$ (B) Prevalence Index = $\frac{8}{2}$ FACU Species $\frac{17}{2}$ \times 5 = $\frac{85}{2}$ Column Totals: $\frac{49}{2}$ (A) $\frac{202}{2}$ (B) Prevalence Index = $\frac{8}{4}$ Frevalence Index is $\frac{8}{2}$ Solution Indicators: Dominance Test is $\frac{8}{2}$ Dominance Test is $\frac{8}{2}$ Of Prevalence Index is $\frac{8}{2}$ In Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana Total Coverset Stratum Anemone parviflora Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Tofieldia coccinea	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 45 222.5 20 1 1	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACU UPL FACU FAC	FACW Species 1 $x 2 = 2$ FAC Species 9 $x 3 = 27$ FACU Species 22 $x 4 = 88$ UPL Species 17 $x 5 = 85$ Column Totals: 49 (A) 202 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.122 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anemone parviflora Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Tofieldia coccinea Carex concinna	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 45 222.5 20 1 1	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACU UPL FACU FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC FAC UPL UPL	FACW Species 1 $x 2 = 2$ FAC Species 9 $x 3 = 27$ FACU Species 22 $x 4 = 88$ UPL Species 17 $x 5 = 85$ Column Totals: 49 (A) 202 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.122 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anemone parviflora Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Tofieldia coccinea Carex concinna	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACU UPL FACU FAC	FACW Species 1 x 2 = 2 FAC Species 9 x 3 = 27 FACU Species 22 x 4 = 88 UPL Species 17 x 5 = 85 Column Totals: 49 (A) 202 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.122 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: □ Dominance Test is > 50% □ Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 □ Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 6.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anemone parviflora Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Tofieldia coccinea Carex concinna	15 10 7 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACU UPL FACU FAC	FACW Species 1 $x 2 = 2$ FAC Species 9 $x 3 = 27$ FACU Species 22 $x 4 = 88$ UPL Species 17 $x 5 = 85$ Column Totals: 49 (A) 202 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.122 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 10. Hee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anemone parviflora Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Tofieldia coccinea Carex concinna	15 10 7 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACU UPL FACU FAC	FACW Species 1							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 6. 7. 8. 6. 7. 8. 6. 7. 8. 6. 7. 8.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana Total Covers 50% of Total Covers Anemone parviflora Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Tofieldia coccinea Carex concinna	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 45 22.5 20.5 20 0 0 0	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	FACU UPL FACU FAC	FACW Species 1 $x 2 = 2$ FAC Species 9 $x 3 = 27$ FACU Species 22 $x 4 = 88$ UPL Species 17 $x 5 = 85$ Column Totals: 49 (A) 202 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.122 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is > 50% Prevalence Index is ≤ 3.0 Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain) Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable)							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 9.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana Total Cover: 50% of Total Cover: Anemone parviflora Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Tofieldia coccinea Carex concinna	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 45 22.5 20.5 20 0 0 0 0	\[\forall \]	FACU UPL FACU FAC	FACW Species 1 x 2 = 2 FAC Species 9 x 3 = 27 FACU Species 22 x 4 = 88 UPL Species 17 x 5 = 85 Column Totals: 49 (A) 202 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.122 Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: □ Dominance Test is > 50% □ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 □ Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) □ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Plot size (radius, or length x width) 10m % Cover of Wetland Bryophytes (Where applicable) % Bare Ground 50 Total Cover of Bryophytes							
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. He 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 9.	Loiseleuria procumbens Diapensia lapponica Dryas ajanensis Arctous alpinus Empetrum nigrum Vaccinium uliginosum Rhododendron tomentosum Rhododendron lapponicum Vaccinium vitis-idaea Betula nana Total Covers 50% of Total Covers Anemone parviflora Anthoxanthum monticola ssp. alpinum Tofieldia coccinea Carex concinna	15 10 7 5 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 222.5 20 1 1 1 0 0 0	\[\sum \]	FACU UPL FACU FAC	FACW Species 1							

US Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0

SOIL Sampling Point: SW12_T33_01

Profile Description		the depth ne	eeded to docun	nent the indicator or co	nfirm the ab		ators)					
(inches)	Color (mo	oist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks			
0-4	7.5YR	3/2	85		_			Sandy Loam	15% angular gravels			
4-5	2.5YR	2.5/2						Sandy Loam	25% semi-ang to angular coarse gravel to c			
5-15	2.5Y	4+/3	60					Coarse Sand	40% semi-ang to angular coarse gravel to c			
		41/3						Course surfu	- 10 70 Seriii-arig to arigular coarse graver to c			
								-				
¹Type: C=Con	centration. D=	=Depletion	. RM=Reduce	ed Matrix ² Location	n: PL=Por	e Lining. RC	=Root Cha	annel. M=Matrix				
Hydric Soil In	ndicators:			Indicators for Pr	oblemati	c Hydric So	oils: ³					
Histosol or	Histel (A1)			Alaska Color Ch	nange (TA	nge (TA4) Alaska Gleyed Without Hue 5Y or Redder						
Histic Epipe	edon (A2)			Alaska Alpine s	wales (TA	5)		Underlying Layer				
Hydrogen S	Sulfide (A4)			Alaska Redox V	Vith 2.5Y H	Hue		Other (Explain in Remarks)				
☐ Thick Dark	Surface (A12))		_								
Alaska Gley	yed (A13)			³ One indicator of and an appropriat				mary indicator of wetland h	ydrology,			
Alaska Red	ox (A14)					•	•	esent				
Alaska Gley	yed Pores (A1	5)		4 Give details of co	olor chang	e in Remark	S					
Restrictive Laye	r (if present):											
Type:								Hydric Soil Present	? Yes O No 💿			
Depth (inch	es):											
HYDROLO	GY											
Wetland Hydr	ology Indica	itors:						Secondary Indi	cators (two or more are required)			
Primary Indicat	ors (any one	is sufficient	t)					Water Stai	ned Leaves (B9)			
Surface W	ater (A1)			Inundation V	isible on A	erial Image	ry (B7)		atterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)				Sparsely Veg	etated Cor	ncave Surfac	ce (B8)		hizospheres along Living Roots (C3)			
Saturation (A3)				Marl Deposits	(B15)			_	f Reduced Iron (C4)			
Water Marks (B1)				Hydrogen Su				☐ Salt Depos				
Sediment Deposits (B2)			☐ Dry-Season V					Stressed Plants (D1)				
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)				U Other (Explai	n in Rema	rks)			ic Position (D2)			
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)									uitard (D3)			
☐ Iron Depo	` '								raphic Relief (D4)			
	oil Cracks (B6)							☐ FAC-neutra	I Test (D5)			
Field Observa Surface Water		Voc	No ●	Danth (in the	-)-							
				Depth (inche	s):							
Water Table P		Yes C	No 💿	Depth (inche	s):		Wetla	nd Hydrology Presen	t? Yes O No 🖲			
Saturation Pre (includes capill		Yes C	No 💿	Depth (inche	s):							
Describe Record	ded Data (stre	am gauge,	monitor wel	l, aerial photos, prev	vious inspe	ection) if ava	ilable:					
Remarks:												
no wetland hyd	rology indicate	nrs										
no wedana nya	i ology illulcati	013										

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Alaska Version 2.0